

Zeitschrift: Schweizerische numismatische Rundschau = Revue suisse de numismatique = Rivista svizzera di numismatica
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Numismatische Gesellschaft
Band: 50 (1971)

Artikel: Coins of Punic Sicily. Part I
Autor: Jenkins, G. Kenneth
Kapitel: 4: Thermai - Solus
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-174005>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 31.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Thermai — Solus

Thermai

(Plate 22)

The inhabitants of the former Himera, after its destruction in 409 B.C., were allowed to go to settle at Thermai (modern Termini Imerese); it seems likely that the new settlement remained under Punic influence during the fourth century as is suggested by the Punic character of many of the coins³⁷.

Nos. 1–4 are typically Punic in style and general aspect. All have the altar symbol in the exergue, without any inscription; the attribution is however assured from the presence of the altar on nos. 5–6 where there is an inscription in Greek. The issue is a small and compact one which cannot have lasted for a very long time. The head on R 4 (no. 4) is of a type derived from Euainetos which might well occur in the «Carthage» series during the second half of the fourth century, and on this die there is a prow symbol. The other reverses are more remarkable for the very high degree of stylisation which is typical of Punic coins, and they have a close affinity to some of the earlier heads on the Ršmlqrt series nos. 7–8 (Plate 15), whose head shows something of the same treatment of the hair on top as is found at Thermai in the hair in the net at the back. The dating of the Thermai coins is indicated by the presence of two specimens of no. 1 in the Gibil Gabib hoard, which as mentioned above (p. 56–57), must have the same burial date as the Nissoria hoard, c. 330 B.C.³⁸.

With nos. 5–6, where the altar symbol is accompanied by a Greek inscription, it is very difficult to determine the date or even to be sure whether these coins really follow or precede the uninscribed ones. The head R 5 could well be the work of a Punic engraver, from its style, though there are no really close parallels to hand. The obverse of no. 6 however has three letters above the exergual line ΚΑΗ which have been regarded, no doubt rightly, as the signature of an engraver, who must be Greek; the presence of such a signature could perhaps be used as an argument for an earlier date, but is in any case exceptional.

³⁷ For the earlier coinage of Himera-Thermai, cf. SNG II (Lloyd) 1025, 1038, both of which Robinson suggests may be after 409. Connected with these, there seem to be a further series of bronzes in which the types of a boar, a standing nymph and a standing Herakles recur; e.g. Gabrici, tav. X, 42, 43, 45. Another small bronze piece probably belonging to this context has obverse female head r., legend I M; reverse standing Herakles (in BM, 1.16 g, apparently unpublished). Cf. also Imhoof-Blumer 1886, taf. VI, 7, 8.

In his unpublished notes Dr. Robinson has suggested that the charioteer on the Thermai tetradrachm no. 1, who wears a Phrygian helmet, may be Pelops, whose earlier appearance at the mint of Himera is known from SNG II 1016, e.g.

³⁸ A specimen of the Thermai issue was evidently also present in the hoard from Termini Imerese of 1900 (Noe² 1092, *NSc* 1900, p. 205 ff.); but even if it were determinable which variety this was, it would not be indicative for the dating since the Termini hoard should, from such data as are available, probably have been buried during the last quarter of the fourth century; the «Carthage» type with the Horse's head reverse was represented in it.

The problem of nos. 5–6 is not made any easier by the existence of another Greek issue, of didrachms and litrai only, which is of very good style though it seems difficult to deduce from it more than a general probability that the coins should belong to the middle or later fourth century ³⁹. The litra is of the same types, but has behind the head of Hera a monogram \mathcal{A} which ⁴⁰ either by chance or otherwise recalls the monogram found on a tetradrachm of Morgantina ⁴¹; this may suggest a comparatively late date. But there is no way of arriving at any certainty. There are some bronze coins (Pl. 22, A–C) related thematically to the silver which should belong to the same phase though their style is less fine; so far as that goes, these bronze coins might suggest that the Greek phases of Thermai (nos. 5–6) are late rather than early.

Solus (?)

A further tetradrachm known only from two extant specimens (Plate 22 X) is of the same general type as the other issues collected here and its style is as close to Thermai (e.g. no. 4) as to anything else. The attribution is far from sure. In the exergue is a single Punic letter which could be either kaph or vav. If it were the former, it would clearly be explicable as the initial of the Punic legend *kfra* which is the Punic name of Solus on the coins of that city (Plate 23, 16–24), though it is difficult to understand why the legend should be so drastically abbreviated. However the stylistic similarity to Thermai would suit Solus very well.

³⁹ Close parallels are few; but cf. Argos (Kraay-Hirmer 518) of 370–350 B.C., and perhaps the facing Hera of Kroton, also of the middle fourth century (*PCG* III, C 2).

⁴⁰ Jameson 619; Paris Luynes 984; Weber 1364 (now BM).

⁴¹ *ZfN* 1935, pl. IX, 3; the date of this Morgantina tetradrachm, as of the very similar Syracuse Tudeer 106, are among the most difficult to define, but I would think that there is little reason to put them very early in the fourth century. The Syracuse is an adaptation from the latest class of Euainetos decadrachms, and the thick exergual line with the ethnic below it are more in line with the practice of Agathokles' time; the thick exergual line also occurs in a late phase of the $\varsigma\varsigma$ series (nos. 62, etc.).

Catalogue: Thermai

Plate 22

Tetradrachms

1	O 1	Quadriga l., charioteer wearing Phrygian helmet; in ex., altar; no legend	4	O 2	
	R 1	Female head l., hair in net, three dolphins; no legend 17.28 * London BMC, p. 254, no. 1 17.30 London Lloyd 1040 = Nav. 10, 214 16.51 Cambridge McC 2310 16.20 Helbing 24. 10. 1927, 2722 = Ready 213 17.00 Syracuse, Gibil Gabib 48297 17.65 Syracuse, Gibil Gabib 48298 — * Uncertain (cast in BM)		R 4	Female head l., wreath only; four dolphins; behind, prow 16.65 Hague 17.00 * London NC 1913, p. 226 fig. 3 17.18 London Lloyd 1039 = Hirsch 34, 171 = Nav. 4, 282 = Sambon 19. 12. 1907, 238 17.19 * Paris 585
2	O 2	Similar to O 1	5	O 3	Quadriga l., Nike above; in ex., altar
	R 2	Similar to R 1, but larger 17.08 * ANS = Hirsch 31, 162 16.91 Jameson 618 = Sambon 19. 12. 1907, 179 16.03 Priv. coll. Y = Hess-Leu 1957, 134 16.60 Vienna		R 5	Female head r., three dolphins; legend ΘEPMITAN 16.98 Jameson 1896 = Hirsch 30, 359 17.28 * Paris 586, NC 1910, p. 223
3	O 2		6	O 4	Similar, but in ex. legend ΘEPMITAN; above ex. line, engraver's signature KΛH
	R 3	Similar — * Uncertain (cast in BM)		R 5	16.95 * Pennisi, ZfN 1935, taf. IX, 1 = Sambon 19. 12. 1907 178 16.82 MMAG 43, 55

Didrachms

7	O 5	Head of Hera r., dolphin behind, legend ΘEPMITAN	(7)	8.38 * London PCG, pl. 26, 28
	R 6	Herakles seated on rock, holding club; behind, bow and quiver, etc.		8.19 Paris Luynes 938 — Berlin Regling MaK 733

Bronze

A	Obv. Head of Hera l., legend ΘEPMITAN Rev. Head of Herakles l. — * Priv. coll.	(B)	3.36 * London BMC 2
B	Obv. Head of Hera r. Rev. Head of Herakles r., legend ΘEPMITAN	C	Obv. Female head with hair tied, crescent below chin Rev. Head of Herakles r., legend ΘEPMITAN 4.81 * London Lloyd 1041

Solus (?)

Plate 22

Tetradrachms

X	O 1	Quadriga r., Nike above; in ex. Punic letter (kaph or vav)	17.17 *	London BMC, Siculo- Punic uncertain, p. 254, 1
	R 1	Female head r., wreath, dolphins	—	Palermo

For other coins of Solus, see plate 23, 15–24. I have not however included there the bronze type given by Imhoof-Blumer 1886, p. 270, no. 13 (cf. BMC, p. 254, no. 1, Gabrici, p. 169, no. 38) with obverse helmeted head, reverse free horse and caduceus; the letters flanking the head seem difficult to be sure about.

Solus – Eryx Legends

Solus (?) tetradrachm, Plate 22 X 1	4	Solus, Plate 23, 18	X977
Solus, Plate 23, 16	49 74	Eryx, Plate 24, 24	794
Solus, Plate 23, 17	*977		