

**Zeitschrift:** Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft =  
Bulletin de la Société Entomologique Suisse = Journal of the Swiss  
Entomological Society

**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Entomologische Gesellschaft

**Band:** 68 (1995)

**Heft:** 3-4

**Artikel:** A comparative study on the reproductive strategies of a larviparous and  
an oviparous fly associated with carrion

**Autor:** El-Shazly, Mohammed M. / El-Sherif, Hanaa A. / Omar, Aziza H.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-402601>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 27.03.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## A comparative study on the reproductive strategies of a larviparous and an oviparous fly associated with carrion

MOHAMMED M. EL-SHAZLY, HANAA A. EL-SHERIF & AZIZA H. OMAR

Entomology Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

The basic developmental and reproductive parameters as well as age specific survivorship and fecundity of the oviparous Western banded blow fly, *Chrysomya albiceps* (WIEDEMANN) (Calliphoridae) and the larviparous gray flesh fly *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY) (Sarcophagidae) were studied under laboratory controlled conditions. The bionomic strategies of both species were investigated by analyzing life tables at optimum conditions. Analysis of data revealed that both species were "r-strategists". The gross reproductive rate (GRR), net reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ), mean generation time in days ( $T$ ), intrinsic rate of natural increase ( $r_m$ ), and the finite rate of population increase ( $\lambda$ ) were 114.87, 79.26, 15.18, 0.29 and 0.75, for *C. albiceps*, respectively. The corresponding values for *P. argyrostoma* were 207.03; 181.09; 32.79 ; 0.16 and 0.85, respectively.

Keywords: *Chrysomya* , *Parasarcophaga*, Development, Bionomic strategy, Population growth

### INTRODUCTION

The Western banded blow fly *Chrysomya albiceps* (WIEDEMANN) and the Gray flesh fly *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY) are among the most common calyptrate muscoid flies in Egypt. The medical and veterinary importance of both species, together with other myiasis causing calliphorids and sarcophagids, have been documented by earlier and recent authors (STRICKLAND & ROY, 1942; HERMS, 1947; NOBLE & NOBLE, 1961; KENNEY *et al.*, 1976 and EL-SHERIF, 1986). Detailed information on the reproduction and development of *C. albiceps* were given by SMIT (1931), CUTHBERTSON (1933) OMAR (1974, 1992). On the other hand, the biology and the reproductive cycle of *P. argyrostoma* have been dealt with by ZOHDY & MORSY (1982) and SHOELLER-RACCAUD *et al.* (1992).

Although many blow flies and flesh flies are similar in food habits and occupy almost the same biotopes, comparative studies concerning different ecological aspects between different species of the two families are relatively few (SO & DUDGEON, 1989, 1990 and EESA *et al.*, 1993).

Therefore, the aim of the present paper is to compare the rate of population growth between *C. albiceps* and *P. argyrostoma* by constructing fecundity life tables and analyzing age specific survivorship under specified experimental conditions.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The relationships between the intrinsic rate of natural increase ( $r_m$ ) and the natural life tables of artificial populations of *C. albiceps* and *P. argyrostoma* were

determined by constructing the appropriate life tables for each species separately (BIRCH, 1948). The exact form of these relations was quoted from MAY (1981):

$$\sum [L_x M_x \exp(-r_m X)] \approx 1 \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

where  $L_x$  is the number of females, as a proportion of unity, alive at age interval  $x$  (=1 day);  $M_x$  is the number of female progeny per female per day.

$$r_m = \frac{\ln R_0}{T} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where  $R_0$  is the net reproductive rate, i.e., number of times a population will multiply itself per generation time ( $T$ ), the latter could be defined also by the straight forward statistical fashion (Equation 3)

$$T = \frac{\sum L_x M_x X}{\sum L_x M_x} \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

The finite rate of natural increase ( $\lambda$ ) and the gross reproductive rate (GRR) were calculated from formulae 4 & 5

$$\lambda = e^r \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

Where  $r$  designated  $r_m$ .

$$\text{GRR} = \sum M_x \quad \text{Equation 5}$$

To calculate the above statistics, it was necessary to calculate several life table properties including survival of eggs, sex ratio, larval and pupal survival and schedules for oviposition or larviposition of the two species under the same experimental conditions. Thus, the development of both species was followed-up by constructing artificial population for each species separately, at 27 °C, 60% R.H. and 14L-10D long day conditions. The effect of cannibalism on larval survivorship and thence the other innate population parameters was completely avoided by supplying the insects with sufficient amounts, of non-fatty meat. Each population consisted of 200 pairs of newly emerged adults, raised in sufficiently large rearing cages (30x30x60 cm). Detailed descriptions of rearing *C. albiceps* and *P. argyrostoma* have been given by OMAR (1974); ZOHDI & MORSY (1982); EL-SHERIF (1986), and OMAR (1992).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Development of immature stages*

Duration of immature stages of *C. albiceps* and *P. argyrostoma* is given in Tab.1 which reflects a highly significant difference between larval and pupal duration of these two species. *C. albiceps* exhausted 5.37 and 5.51 days in larval and pupal stages, respectively. The corresponding values for *P. argyrostoma* were 7.16 and 10.95 days.

Tab.1: Development and survival of immature stages of *P. argyrostoma* as compared to *C. albiceps* .

Developmental Stage	Stage Duration in Days Mean±SD		Significance Test	% Survival		Significance Test
	<i>Parasarcophaga</i>	<i>Chrysomya</i>		<i>Parasarcophaga</i>	<i>Chrysomya</i>	
Eggs	-	1±0	-	-	79%	-
Larva	7.16±0.47	5.37±0.5	<0.0001	94%	93%	NS*
Pupa	10.95±0.38	5.51±0.5	<0.0001	96%	95%	NS*

\* Non Significant  $p>0.05$

At constant temperature of 27 °C, OMAR (1974) found that the total larval and pupal stages of *C. albiceps* were 5.5 and 5.2 days respectively. The corresponding figures for *P. argyrostoma* were 7.1 and 13.5 days at 25 °C (ZOHDI & MORSY, 1982). Therefore, it might be concluded that the developmental rate is higher in the blow fly *C. albiceps* than in the gray flesh fly *P. argyrostoma*.

Age specific survivorship curves (Fig. 1) show that survival of *P. argyrostoma* is higher than *C. albiceps*. Indeed, statistical analysis did not reflect any significant difference in the survival of the two species (Tab. 1). The lower survivorship of *C. albiceps* could be attributed to the egg mortality as indicated by the number of hatched larvae within 24 hours from oviposition. Such egg mortality caused a sudden drop in the survivorship of the population (Fig. 1).

### Reproductive Biology

*Sexual Maturation*: Tab. 2 indicates that the short preoviposition period (4.25 days) of *C. albiceps* was associated with short female life span (18.07 days), whereas the longer prelarviposition period of *P. argyrostoma* (10.07 days) was associated with longer female life span (30.99 days).




Fig. 1: Age Specific Survivorship of *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* as compared to *Chrysomya albiceps*.


Tab. 2: Reproductive biology of *P. argyrostoma* as compared to *C. albiceps*

Parameter Tested	Mean $\pm$ SD *		Significance Test
	<i>Parasarcophaga</i>	<i>Chrysomya</i>	
Preoviposition or prelarviposition period (days)	10.07 $\pm$ 0.36	4.25 $\pm$ 0.65	<0.0001
Oviposition or larviposition period (days)	2.78 $\pm$ 0.49	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00	<0.0001
Male Longevity (days)	28.08 $\pm$ 5.95	12.06 $\pm$ 4.10	<0.0001
Female Longevity (days)	30.99 $\pm$ 7.07	18.07 $\pm$ 4.60	<0.0001
Total fecundity larva or egg per female	461.07 $\pm$ 49.1	229.43 $\pm$ 30.33	<0.0001

\* Standard Deviation

A brief survey of recent articles shows a more or less similar figure; thus, FERRAZ & VIENNA-FERRAZ (1992) recorded mean prelarviposition periods of 21.4 and 15.3 days for the sarcophagids, *Peckia chrysostoma* and *Adiscochaeta ingens*, respectively. The mean longevity of the former species was 59.7 days in the presence of protein rich food, the corresponding value of the latter species was 41.3 days. On the other hand, THOMAS (1993) recorded a typical life span of about 10 days and preoviposition period of 4-5 days for the calliphorid *Cochliomyia hominivorax*.

*Fecundity*: Fig. 2 illustrates the reproductive output per female in the two artificial populations irrespective to the number of ovipositing or larvipositing females. Thus a population of *C. albiceps* produce 27.02, 119.60, and 83.08 eggs on the third, fourth and fifth day after adult emergence, respectively. The corresponding values for a population of *P. argyrostoma* were 132.32, 219.52, and 61.33 larvae in the tenth, eleventh and twelfth day after adult emergence, respectively. The mean number of progeny per female was  $229.43 \pm 30.33$  eggs and  $461.07 \pm 49.1$  larvae, respectively, for the two species. Such estimation, however, does not reflect any true superiority in the innate capacity of natural increase of *P. argyrostoma* as shown in the life tables (Tab. 3 and 4).

Fig. 2: Age Specific Fecundity of *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* as compared to *Chrysomya albiceps*.

REPRODUCTION OF LARVIPAROUS AND OVIPAROUS FLIES

Tab. 3: Life table analysis of *P. argyrostoma*

X	Lx	Mx	LxMx	Equation
1	1.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	0.98	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	0.96	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	0.95	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
6	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
8	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
9	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
10	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
11	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
12	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
13	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
14	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
15	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
16	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
17	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
18	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
19	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
20	0.94	0.000	0.000	0.000
21	0.91	0.000	0.000	0.000
22	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
24	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
25	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
26	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
27	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
28	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
29	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
30	0.88	0.000	0.000	0.000
31	0.88	0.450	0.395	0.003
32	0.88	66.158	57.999	0.363
33	0.88	109.758	96.221	0.514
34	0.86	30.667	26.476	0.121
35	0.85	0.000	0.000	0.000
36	0.84	0.000	0.000	0.000
37	0.83	0.000	0.000	0.000
38	0.82	0.000	0.000	0.000
39	0.80	0.000	0.000	0.000
40	0.78	0.000	0.000	0.000
41	0.76	0.000	0.000	0.000
42	0.75	0.000	0.000	0.000
43	0.75	0.000	0.000	0.000
44	0.73	0.000	0.000	0.000
45	0.70	0.000	0.000	0.000
46	0.68	0.000	0.000	0.000
47	0.66	0.000	0.000	0.000
48	0.62	0.000	0.000	0.000
49	0.57	0.000	0.000	0.000
50	0.48	0.000	0.000	0.000
51	0.42	0.000	0.000	0.000
52	0.33	0.000	0.000	0.000
53	0.26	0.000	0.000	0.000
54	0.24	0.000	0.000	0.000
55	0.19	0.000	0.000	0.000
56	0.13	0.000	0.000	0.000
57	0.10	0.000	0.000	0.000
58	0.08	0.000	0.000	0.000
59	0.07	0.000	0.000	0.000
60	0.05	0.000	0.000	0.000
61	0.03	0.000	0.000	0.000
62	0.03	0.000	0.000	0.000
63	0.02	0.000	0.000	0.000
64	0.01	0.000	0.000	0.000
65	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000

List of Abbreviations

- x Age in days
- Lx Proportion of females alive at age x
- Mx Number of female progeny/female
- Ro Net reproductive rate
- T Mean generation time
- rm Intrinsic rate of natural increase
- l Finite rate of natural increase
- GRR Gross reproductive rate

Ro = 181.09  
T = 32.79  
rm = 0.16  
λ = 0.85  
GRR = 207.03

FERRAZ & VIENNA-FERRAZ (1992) recorded mean numbers of 183.8 and 78.5 viable larvae per female flesh fly *Peckia chrysostoma* and *Adiscochaeta ingens*, respectively. On the other hand, analysis of reproductive age distribution of the blow fly *C. bezziana* estimated a mean life time fecundity of 146 female progeny (SPRADBERY & VOGT, 1993). Working with the blow fly, *Lucilia sericata*, WALL (1993) found that females of this species matured an average of 223 oocytes per batch when given access to protein ad libitum in the laboratory. The impact of fecundity on the rate of population growth of any species can be judged only through an exact analysis of life table properties.

### Life Table Analysis

Previous workers studied the biology of *C. albiceps* and *P. argyrostoma* under various extrinsic factors (OMAR, 1974; ADHAM & HASSAN, 1979; ADHAM *et al.*, 1980 a,b; ZOHDY & MORSY, 1982, and SCHOELLER-RACCAUD *et al.*, 1992).

In the present investigation both species were raised under similar specified experimental conditions where food and space were unlimited to allow the population of each species to express its innate biotic potential. Thus data presented in Tab. 3+4 indicate that the net reproductive rate of *P. argyrostoma* is higher than that of *C. albiceps* ( $R_o = 181.09$  &  $79.26$ , respectively). Because the mean generation times (T) varied tremendously between both species ( $T = 32.79$  &  $15.18$ , respectively), it is not statistically useful to compare them using  $R_o$  which is the net replacement rate per generation.

The growth rate of the populations could be compared by estimation of the intrinsic rate of natural increase (rm) for the two species. It seemed that the rate is much greater in the blow fly than the flesh fly ( $rm = 0.29$  &  $0.16$ , respectively). PRICE (1984) pointed out that rm is a more useful statistics for comparing growth rates of populations of different species than  $R_o$ .

The finite rate of natural increase of a population (l) is the discrete analog of its instantaneous rate of natural increase ( $\lambda = e^r$ ) The comparison between life tables of *P. argyrostoma* and *C. albiceps* indicates that under the same environmental conditions the return time of a population of the former species is smaller than the latter ( $TR = 1/\lambda$ , SOUTHWOOD, 1981).

The data discussed above assume that, when there are no mortality factors other than physiological ones, a population of *P. argyrostoma* can multiply 181.09 times ( $R_o$ ) in an average of 32.79 days (T) with 0.16 daily rate of increase (rm); while *C. albiceps* will multiply 79.26 times in an average of 15.18 days with 0.29 daily rate of increase.

The reproductive strategy of the larviparous fly, *P. argyrostoma* is based on the egg protection, and consequently the elimination of an important factor limiting its population growth; viz egg mortality. This is evident from the age specific survivorship curve Fig. 1. Thus, the high value of GRR and  $R_o$  was arrived at by higher survivorship ( $R_o = \sum L_x.M_x$ ). On the other hand, the reproductive strategy of the oviparous fly, *C. albiceps* is based on the compensation of egg mortality by a high innate reproductive capacity (rm). This was achieved by the short generation time as (rm) is more sensitive to (T) than ( $R_o$ ) in Equation 2.

It may be evident from the biology and behaviour of certain insect species that they are r-strategists; however, the numerical values of different population parameters are prerequisite for the decision of the binomic strategy of any species. Thus, according to SOUTHWOOD (1981) and HUFFAKER (1984), r-strategists are character-

Tab. 4: Life table of *C. albiceps*

X	Lx	Mx	LxMx	Equation
1	0.79	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	0.78	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	0.76	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	0.75	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	0.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
6	0.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	0.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
8	0.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
9	0.74	0.000	0.000	0.000
10	0.73	0.000	0.000	0.000
11	0.70	0.000	0.000	0.000
12	0.69	0.000	0.000	0.000
13	0.69	0.000	0.000	0.000
14	0.69	13.508	9.321	0.165
15	0.69	59.817	41.274	0.549
16	0.69	41.542	28.664	0.286
17	0.69	0.000	0.000	0.000
18	0.68	0.000	0.000	0.000
19	0.67	0.000	0.000	0.000
20	0.64	0.000	0.000	0.000
21	0.61	0.000	0.000	0.000
22	0.56	0.000	0.000	0.000
23	0.52	0.000	0.000	0.000
24	0.50	0.000	0.000	0.000
25	0.46	0.000	0.000	0.000
26	0.39	0.000	0.000	0.000
27	0.34	0.000	0.000	0.000
28	0.29	0.000	0.000	0.000
29	0.22	0.000	0.000	0.000
30	0.19	0.000	0.000	0.000
31	0.14	0.000	0.000	0.000
32	0.11	0.000	0.000	0.000
33	0.09	0.000	0.000	0.000
34	0.08	0.000	0.000	0.000
35	0.07	0.000	0.000	0.000
36	0.05	0.000	0.000	0.000
37	0.03	0.000	0.000	0.000
38	0.01	0.000	0.000	0.000
39	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
40	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000

**Ro = 79.26**  
**T = 15.18**  
**rm = 0.29**  
**λ = 0.75**  
**GRR = 114.87**

## List of Abbreviations

x	Age in days
Lx	Proportion of females alive at age x
Mx	Number of female progeny/female
Ro	Net reproductive rate
T	Mean generation time
rm	Intrinsic rate of natural increase
λ	Finite rate of natural increase
GRR	Gross reproductive rate

ized by a high  $rm$  arrived at by a large fecundity (large  $Ro$ ) and short generation time ( $T$ ). Therefore, FORSYTH & ROBERTSON (1975) considered that the sarcophagid *Blaseoxipha fletcheri* was K-strategist because of the low fecundity of this species (11 larvae per female compared with 50-170 in other sarcophagids). The previous data on the distribution and biology of *P. argyrostoma* and *C. albiceps* (OMAR, 1979, and MOHAMMED & ABDEL-RAHMAN, 1982), together with data presented in the present work, place the two species towards the r-end of the spectrum in spite of the differences in their reproductive biology, age specific survivorship, fecundity, and population growth rates.

## REFERENCES

- ADHAM, F.K. & HASSAN, A.O. 1979. Laboratory studies on the mating behavior of the blow flies, *Chrysomya albiceps* (WIEDEMANN) (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *J. Egy. Soc. Parasit. 9(1)*: 105-113.

- ADHAM, F.K., ZOHDI, N.M. & YERAMIAN, M.A. 1980a. Autogeny in *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). *J. Egy. Soc. Parasit.* 10: 83-87.
- ADHAM, F.K., ZOHDI, N.M. & YERAMIAN, M.A. 1980b. Studies on the mating behavior of flesh fly, *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). *J. Egy. Soc. Parasit.* 10(2): 349-357.
- BIRCH, L.C. 1948. The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 17: 15-26.
- CUTHBERTSON, A. 1933. The habits and life histories of some Diptera in Southern Rhodesia. *Proc. Rhod. Sci. Ass.* 32: 81.
- EESA, N.M., EL-SIBAE, M.M., & SIBAE, M.M. 1993. Population dynamics of some synanthropic fly species in different habitats in Buraydah, Saudi Arabia. *J. Egy. Soc. Parasitol.* 23(1): 133-140.
- EL-SHERIF, H.A. 1986. *Effect of juvenile hormone analogs on the biology, morphology and physiology of the gray flesh fly, Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). M.Sc. Thesis. Cairo Univ. Egypt. pp. 23-25.
- FERRAZ, M.V. & VIENNA-FERRAZ, M. 1992. Comparison of the reproductive behavior between isolated *Peckia chrysostoma* (WIEDEMANN, 1830) and *Adiscochaeta ingens* (WALKER, 1849) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) females reared in laboratory. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 87(1): 131-139.
- FORSYTH, A.B. & ROBERTSON, R.J. 1975. K-reproductive strategy and larval behaviour of the pitcher plant sarcophagid fly, *Blaesoxipha fletcheri*. *Can. J. Zool.* 53: 174-179.
- HERMS, W.B. 1947. *Medical entomology with special reference to the health and well-being of man and animals*. Macmillan Company, New York.
- HUFFAKER, C.B., BERRYMAN, A.A. & LAING, J.E. 1984. Natural control of insect populations. In: HUFFAKER, C.B. & RABB, R.L. (Eds) *Ecological Entomology*, pp. 360-389. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- KENNEY, M., EVELAND, L.K., YERMAKOV, V. & KASSOUNY, D.P. 1976. Two cases of enteric myiasis in man, pseudomyiasis and true intestinal myiasis. *Am. J. Clin. Path.* 1966: 786-791.
- MAY, R.M. 1981. Models for single populations. In: MAY, R.M. (Ed.) *Theoretical Ecology, Principles and Applications*, pp. 5-29, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- MOHAMMED, S.K., & ABDEL-RAHMAN, H.A. 1982. Seasonal abundance of Sarcophagidae (Diptera) in two localities in Egypt. *Bull. Soc. Ent. Egipt.* 64: 89-94.
- NOBLE, E.R. & NOBLE, G.A. 1961. *Parasitology: The biology of animal parasites*. Henry Kimpton, London.
- OMAR, A.H. 1974. *Biological studies on the blow fly, Chrysomya albiceps* (WIEDEMANN) in Egypt. M.Sc. Thesis, Cairo Univ. p 171.
- OMAR, A.H. 1992. Effect of larval population density on the dynamics of growth in *Chrysomya albiceps* (WIEDEMANN) II - Effect on the variations observed in subsequent development of pupa and adults. *J. Egipt. Ger. Soc. Zool.* 9(D): 17-29.
- PRICE, W. P. 1984. *Insect Ecology*. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. p. 607.
- SCHOELLER-RACCAUD, J., MENDES, H. & SCHOELLER, R.J. 1992. Ovipary and reproductive cycles in *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (Diptera: Cyclorrhapha). *Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr.* 117(3): 297-302.
- SMIT, B. 1931. A study of sheep blow flies of South Africa. *17th Rep. Dir. Vet. Serv. Orderstep.*: 299.
- SO, P.M. & DUDGEON, D. 1989. Larval growth dynamics of *Hemipyrellia ligurriens* (Calliphoridae) and *Boettcherisca formosensis* (Sarcophagidae) in crowded and un-crowded cultures. *Res. Popul. Ecol.* 31(1): 113-122.
- SO, P.M. & DUDGEON, D. 1990. Inter-specific competition among larvae of *Hemipyrellia ligurriens* (Calliphoridae) and *Boettcherisca formosensis* (Sarcophagidae) (Diptera). *Res. Popul. Ecol.* 32(2): 337-384.
- SOUTHWOOD, T.R.E. 1981. Bionomic strategies and population parameters. In: MAY, R.M. (Ed.). *Theoretical Ecology, Principles and Applications*. 2nd Ed. pp. 30-52, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- STRICKLAND, C. & ROY, D.N. 1942. Myiasis-producing Diptera in man. *Ind. J. Med. Res.* 29: 863-865.
- SPRADBERY, J.P. & VOGT, W.G. 1993. Mean life expectancy of old world screw worm fly *Chrysomya bezziana*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomol.* 7(2): 147-154.
- THOMAS, D.B. 1993. Behavioral aspects of screw worm ecology. *J. Kans. Ent. Soc.* 66(1): 13-30.
- WALL, R. 1993. The reproductive output of the blow fly *Lucilia sericata*. *J. Insect Physiol.* 39(9): 743-750.
- ZOHDI, N.M. & MORSY, L.E. 1982. On the biology of the gray flesh fly *Parasarcophaga argyrostoma* (ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY). *J. Egipt. Soc. Parasit.* 12(1): 85-95.

(received October 7, 1994; accepted after revision May 10, 1995)