

**Zeitschrift:** Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie  
**Herausgeber:** Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie  
**Band:** 50 (2000)  
**Heft:** 1: Enquêtes philologiques : de Démocrite à Luc

**Bibliographie:** English summaries

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 05.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## ENGLISH SUMMARIES

S. IMHOOF, *Logos* and happiness in Democritus, RThPh 2000/I, p. 3-20.

*This article aims at explaining the use of the word logos in Democritus. The term appears in various semantic configurations : sometimes opposing «doing» to «saying», where Democritus most often insists on action ; other times designating «reason», where the concept has an decisive role in Democritus' ethics. He insists on the essential role of human responsibility and elaborates a concept of the «Law of the soul», the soul being the directive principle for the body.*

J. BARNES, An Aristotelian definition of comedy, RThPh 2000/I, p. 21-30.

*In the second book of his Poetics, long since lost, Aristotle discussed comedy. A summary of the passage as well as some fragments of the text itself have been thought to be found in a much later text, the most interesting of which would offer us a definition of comedy. Examination of this text leads to the disappointing conclusion that it does not concern an Aristotelian fragment.*

J. JOOSTEN, A theology of the Septuagint? Methodological reflections on the interpretation of the Greek version, RThPh 2000/I, p. 31-46.

*Does the Greek version of the Old Testament have its own particular theology? Normally one responds in the affirmative. But to elaborate upon the theology of an ancient translation is a risky business which presents many theological obstacles. This article offers a critical presentation of existing approaches and attempts to identify some paths for future research.*

A. SAUGE, Translating the Gospel without loosing it's savour? Critical remarks on the translation of Luke 14, RThPh 2000/I, p. 47-58.

*Luke chapter 14 presents a digest of various problems of translation. The author takes support from a division into three parts : by healing a man with the dropsy, Jesus affirms his personal authority ; then, by a parable, he explains how one participates in the Kingdom banquet ; finally, by three concluding precepts, he indicates what it takes to be his disciple. Syntactical and semantic considerations and contextual analysis show that in each of these three a literal interpretation is deficient and that the translation must be revised.*