

Zeitschrift: Mitteilungen / Schweizerische Aktuarvereinigung = Bulletin / Association Suisse des Actuaires = Bulletin / Swiss Association of Actuaries

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Aktuarvereinigung

Band: - (2005)

Heft: 1

Rubrik: Kurzmitteilungen

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 26.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

D. Kurzmitteilungen

HANS SCHMITTER, Zürich

An upper limit of the expected shortfall

1 Introduction

For many years reinsurers loaded risk premiums with loadings proportional to the expected value, to the variance or to the standard deviation of the aggregate claims. Recently, some reinsurers have replaced such loadings by a loading proportional to the difference between the expected shortfall and the expected value of the aggregate claims. The simple upper limit of the expected shortfall shown in this paper may be helpful for the actuarial practitioner. If the distribution function of the aggregate claims is continuous the result is the same as theorem 2 in Bertsimas et al. (2004). For the discontinuous case, on the other hand, the author did not come across any reference in the actuarial literature.

2 Deduction of the upper limit

Let $X \geq 0$ be a random variable, $F(x) = \text{Prob}(X \leq x)$ the distribution function of X , $E = E[X]$ and $V = \text{Var}(X)$. Consider an arbitrary probability p in the interval $0 < p < 1$ and let u denote an x -value for which $F(u) = p$. Such an u is called a p -quantile of the distribution of X . Note that $F(x)$ is assumed to be continuous at u . The conditional expected value $E[X | X > u]$ is called expected shortfall. Typically, p is chosen as 99%. The expected values of X and of X^2 can be split into

$$E[X] = p \cdot E[X | X \leq u] + (1 - p) \cdot E[X | X > u] \quad (1)$$

and

$$E[X^2] = p \cdot E[X^2 | X \leq u] + (1 - p) \cdot E[X^2 | X > u]. \quad (2)$$

Using the abbreviations

$$a = E[X | X \leq u] \quad \text{and} \quad b = E[X | X > u], \quad (3)$$

the conditional expected values to the right of (2) can be written as

$$E[X^2 | X \leq u] = a^2 + \text{Var}(X | X \leq u)$$

and

$$E[X^2 | X > u] = b^2 + \text{Var}(X | X > u),$$

hence, since the variances are ≥ 0 ,

$$E[X^2] \geq p \cdot a^2 + (1 - p) \cdot b^2. \quad (4)$$

Consider the two-point distribution which assumes the two values a and b with the probabilities p and $1 - p$, respectively. It follows from (1) and (3) that its expected value is E . Let W stand for the variance of this two-point distribution. From (4) it is seen that

$$V \geq W. \quad (5)$$

For two-point distributions with expectation E and variance W there are the relations

$$p \cdot a + (1 - p) \cdot b = E$$

and

$$p \cdot a^2 + (1 - p) \cdot b^2 = E^2 + W.$$


Solving for a and b we obtain

$$a = E - \sqrt{\frac{W \cdot (1 - p)}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = E + \sqrt{\frac{W \cdot p}{1 - p}}. \quad (6)$$

The upper limit of the expected shortfall is now found by

$$\begin{aligned} E[X | X > u] &= b && \text{(using (3))} \\ &= E + \sqrt{\frac{W \cdot p}{1 - p}} && \text{(using (6))} \\ &\leq E + \sqrt{\frac{V \cdot p}{1 - p}} && \text{(using (5)).} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If $F(x)$ is not continuous for all $x \geq 0$ there may be no p -quantile but $F(u) > p$ and $F(u-) < p$ ($F(u-)$ being the limit of $F(x)$ for $x < u$ when $x \rightarrow u$). Such a situation is shown in the following graph.



In this case we have

$$E[X | X < u] = \int_0^u \left(1 - \frac{F(x)}{F(u-)}\right) dx \quad (8)$$

$$E[X | X > u] = u + \int_u^\infty \left(1 - \frac{F(x) - F(u)}{1 - F(u)}\right) dx$$

and

$$E = F(u-) \cdot E[X | X < u] + ((F(u) - F(u-)) \cdot u + (1 - F(u)) \cdot E[X | X > u]).$$

The expected shortfall as defined so far, $E[X | X > u]$ is now slightly modified, namely to

$$\frac{E[X | X > u] \cdot (1 - F(u)) + u \cdot (F(u) - p)}{1 - p}. \quad (9)$$

The numerator in (9) is equal to the shaded area in the graph. In the appendix it is shown that (9) is equivalent to the definition given in Acerbi and Tasche (2002).

In order to deduce the upper limit of this modified expected shortfall, the two conditional random variables $X | X \leq u$ and $X | X > u$ are replaced by X_1 and

X_2 defined by their distribution functions:

$$G_1(x) = \text{Prob}(X_1 \leq x) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(x)}{p} & \text{for } 0 \leq x < u \\ 1 & \text{for } x \geq u \end{cases}$$

$$G_2(x) = \text{Prob}(X_2 \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < u \\ 1 - \frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - p} & \text{for } x \geq u \end{cases}$$

It follows from these definitions that $F(x)$, the distribution function of X , is the mixture $p \cdot G_1(x) + (1 - p) \cdot G_2(x)$.

The expected value of X_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_1] &= \int_0^u (1 - G_1(x)) dx \\ &= \int_0^u \left(1 - \frac{F(x)}{p}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{F(u-)}{p} \cdot \int_0^u \left(\frac{p}{F(u-)} - 1 + 1 - \frac{F(x)}{F(u-)}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{F(u-)}{p} \cdot \left(\frac{p - F(u-)}{F(u-)} \cdot u + E[X | X < u]\right) \quad (\text{using (8).}) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way the expected value of X_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_2] &= \int_0^\infty (1 - G_2(x)) dx \\ &= \int_0^u dx + \int_u^\infty \frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - p} dx \\ &= u + \frac{1 - F(u)}{1 - p} \cdot \int_u^\infty \frac{1 - F(u) + F(u) - F(x)}{1 - F(u)} dx \\ &= u \cdot \frac{F(u) - p}{1 - p} + \frac{1 - F(u)}{1 - p} \cdot E[X | X > u] \quad (\text{using (8).}) \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

From (9) and (10) it is seen that the expected shortfall is $E[X_2]$. Replacing the conditional random variables $X | X \leq u$ and $X | X > u$ by X_1 and X_2 , respectively, in (1), (2), (3), (4) and (7) we obtain the same upper bound of the expected shortfall as in the continuous case.

3 Numerical examples

The truncated Pareto distribution which is often used to model the distribution of excess losses may serve as an example of a continuous distribution. The necessary algebraic formulas are summarised for the cover c after the deductible d (the layer c vs d in the usual reinsurance notation):

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{d}{d+x}\right)^\alpha & \text{if } 0 \leq x < c \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq c \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Let $M = d + c$ and $k = \frac{M}{d}$. Then

$$E[X] = d \cdot \frac{1 - k^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} \quad (12)$$

$$E[X | X > u] = u + (d + u) \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{M}{d+u}\right)^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = 2 \cdot d^2 \cdot \left(\frac{k^{1-\alpha} - 1}{\alpha - 1} - \frac{k^{2-\alpha} - 1}{\alpha - 2} \right) - E[X]^2 \quad (14)$$

Choose for the numerical example $\alpha = 1.2$, $d = 1$, $c = 19$ and let $p = 0.95$. From (11) we obtain the solution $u = 11.139$ of the equation $F(u) = 0.95$ and from (13) the expected shortfall $E[X | X > u] = 16.907$. Using (12) and (14) we have $E[X] = 2.254$ and $\text{Var}(X) = 15.378$, and with (7) the upper limit of the expected shortfall becomes 19.347.

In order to illustrate the non-continuous case we take the Poisson distribution with $\lambda = 0.2$ and choose $p = 0.99$. For $u = 2$ we find $F(u-) = 0.9825$ and

$$F(u) = 0.9989.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X | X > u] \cdot (1 - F(u)) &= \sum_{n=u+1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \cdot n \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \cdot n - \sum_{n=0}^u e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \cdot n \\
 &= \lambda - \lambda \cdot \sum_{n=1}^u e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &= 0.2 - 0.2 \cdot 0.9825 \\
 &= 0.0035
 \end{aligned}$$

According to (9) the expected shortfall is thus 2.1. On the other hand, the upper limit is equal to

$$0.2 + \sqrt{\frac{0.2 \cdot 0.99}{0.01}} = 4.6.$$

References

- Acerbi, C., Tasche, D. (2002) Expected Shortfall: a natural coherent alternative to Value at Risk. *Economic Notes* by Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena SpA, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 379–388.
- Bertsimas, D., Lauprete, G.J., Samarov, A. (2004) Shortfall as a risk measure: properties, optimization and applications. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1353–1381.

Appendix

The random variable X in Acerbi and Tasche (2002) is replaced by Y in order to distinguish it from the random variable X in the present paper. Then the following notation is used:

Y is a profit-loss random variable, α is a probability, $y^{(\alpha)} = \sup\{y \mid P[Y \leq y] \leq \alpha\}$ is a quantile of the distribution of Y . The expected shortfall is defined as

$$ES^{(\alpha)}(Y) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(E[Y \mathbf{1}_{\{Y \leq y^{(\alpha)}\}}] - y^{(\alpha)} (P[Y \leq y^{(\alpha)}] - \alpha) \right). \quad (15)$$

Using $X = -Y$, $x = -y$, $u = -y^{(\alpha)}$ and $p = 1 - \alpha$ we have

$$\text{Prob}(Y \leq y) = 1 - \text{Prob}(X < x)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P[Y \leq y^{(\alpha)}] - \alpha &= 1 - \text{Prob}(X < u) - 1 + p \\ &= p - F(u). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Together with

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y \mathbf{1}_{\{Y \leq y^{(\alpha)}\}}] &= -E[X \mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq u\}}] \\ &= -E[X \mid X > u](1 - F(u)) - u(F(u) - F(u-)) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

the expression in the bracket to the right of (15) is

$$-E[X \mid X > u](1 - F(u)) - u(F(u) - p).$$

After multiplication with $\frac{-1}{1-p}$ this is equal to (9).

Hans Schmitter
 Swiss Reinsurance Company
 Mythenquai 50/60
 CH-8022 Zürich
 e-mail: Hans_Schmitter@swissre.com