

Zeitschrift: Mitteilungen / Vereinigung Schweizerischer Versicherungsmathematiker
= Bulletin / Association des Actuaires Suisses = Bulletin / Association of
Swiss Actuaries

Herausgeber: Vereinigung Schweizerischer Versicherungsmathematiker

Band: - (1987)

Heft: 1

Artikel: A partial ordering of claim amount distributions and its relation to ruin
probabilities in the Poisson model

Autor: Michel, R.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-967145>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 04.05.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

R. MICHEL, Wuppertal

A partial ordering of claim amount distributions and its relation to ruin probabilities in the Poisson model

For a compound Poisson process S_t , $t \geq 0$, specified by the Poisson parameter $\lambda > 0$ and the claim amount distribution Q on $(0, \infty)$ with finite mean, and the total of premiums $c > 0$ received in the time interval $(0, 1)$, the probability of ruin, given the initial reserve $x \geq 0$, is

$$\psi(x) = \mathfrak{P}\{x + ct - S_t < 0 \text{ for some } t > 0\} \quad (1)$$

where $x + ct - S_t$, $t \geq 0$, represents the so-called surplus process. (Observe that $\psi(x)$ does depend on λ , Q and c .)

It is the purpose of this paper to relate the ruin probabilities (1) for two surplus processes and to characterize this relation according to a suitable partial ordering of the corresponding claim amount distributions. For easier reference we at first quote some standard results on the ruin probability (1). We have

(a)

$$\psi(x) = \mathfrak{P}\left\{\sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - cW_i) > x\right\},$$

where X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. with common distribution Q , W_1, W_2, \dots are i.i.d. exponentially distributed with mean $1/\lambda$, and X_1, X_2, \dots are stochastically independent of W_1, W_2, \dots .

(b) If $c \leq \lambda\mu(Q)$, where $\mu(Q)$ denotes the mean of Q , then $\psi(x) = 1$, $x \geq 0$. (Observe that $\text{Var}(X_1 - cW_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) + (c/\lambda)^2 > 0$, which gives $\mathfrak{P}\{X_1 - cW_1 = 0\} < 1$.)

(c)

$$\psi(0) = \min\left(1, \frac{\lambda\mu(Q)}{c}\right).$$

(This follows from (b) and formula (2.9), p. 113, in *Gerber* [2], respectively.)

(d) For $c > \lambda\mu(Q)$ we have

$$\psi(x) = (1-p) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n D^{*n}(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$p = \frac{\lambda \mu(Q)}{c} = \psi(0) \quad (3)$$

and where D is the distribution on $[0, \infty]$ with Lebesgue-density

$$y \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} Q(y, \infty) 1_{[0, \infty)}(y). \quad (4)$$

(This immediately follows from the renewal equation (3.7), p. 115, in *Gerber* [2] in connection with the known representation of the solution of such an equation.)

Definition: For two claim amount distributions Q_1, Q_2 on $(0, \infty)$ with finite means we write

$$Q_1 \leq Q_2$$

if

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty) \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

where $D_i, i = 1, 2$, are the associated distributions with Lebesgue-densities given according to (4).

Remark 1:

(i) Relation (5) represents the classical partial ordering of distributions, called relation of stochastic dominance.

(ii) $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ and $Q_2 \leq Q_1$ imply $Q_1 = Q_2$.

(This follows from the fact that the Lebesgue-densities (4) are continuous from the right).

(iii) $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ is equivalent to

$$D_1^{*k}(x, \infty) \leq D_2^{*k}(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

A result on the implication of the considered partial ordering of claim amount distributions to ruin probabilities has been derived in *Taylor* [3], who shows that “ Q_1 is more dangerous than Q_2 ” (in the sense of *Bühlmann* et al. [1]) and $\mu(Q_1) = \mu(Q_2)$ imply $Q_1 \leq Q_2$, and that this in turn yields

$$\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), \quad x \geq 0,$$

for the corresponding ruin probabilities, given that

$$c_i = (1 + \beta) \lambda_i \mu(Q_i), \quad i = 1, 2$$

(where $\beta > 0$ is fixed).

As result of this paper we have the following complete characterization of the circumstances.

Theorem: For two claim amount distributions Q_1, Q_2 on $(0, \infty)$ with finite means,

$$Q_1 \leq Q_2$$

is equivalent to

$$\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), \quad x \geq 0, \tag{7}$$

for all $\lambda_i, c_i > 0, i = 1, 2$, with $c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) \leq c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$.

Remark 2:

(i) Obviously, $\mu(Q_1) = \mu(Q_2)$ and $c_i = (1 + \beta) \lambda_i \mu(Q_i), i = 1, 2$, imply $c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) = c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$.

(ii) The condition on the quantities $\lambda_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$, in (7) is necessary in the following sense:

If $\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), x \geq 0$, and if there exists $x_0 \geq 0$ with $\psi_1(x_0) < 1$ then

$$c_2 \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1) \leq c_1 \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2). \tag{8}$$

To see this we note that according to (b),

$$c_1 > \lambda_1 \mu(Q_1).$$

Hence, $c_2 \leq \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$ gives (8). In the case $c_2 > \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2)$ we use $\psi_1(0) \leq \psi_2(0)$ and apply (c) to obtain (8).

Proof of the theorem:

(i) At first we assume that $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ and that $\lambda_i, c_i > 0, i = 1, 2$, fulfill (8). If

$$c_2 \leq \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2),$$

then (b) gives $\psi_2(x) = 1, x \geq 0$, i.e. $\psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x), x \geq 0$. Hence, we may assume in the following that

$$c_2 > \lambda_2 \mu(Q_2). \quad (9)$$

With

$$a_k = D_1^{*k}(x, \infty), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

(where $x \geq 0$ is fixed) let

$$f(p) = (1-p) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p^k, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(p) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p^{k-1} [k - (k+1)p] \\ &= a_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)(a_{k+1} - a_k) p^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq a_k \leq a_{k+1}, k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$f'(p) \geq 0, \quad 0 < p < 1. \quad (11)$$

Let

$$p_i = \frac{\lambda_i \mu(Q_i)}{c_i}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

From (8) and (9),

$$0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < 1.$$

According to (2), this and (11) imply

$$\psi_1(x) = f(p_1) \leq f(p_2) \leq \psi_2(x),$$

where the last inequality follows from $a_k \leq D_2^{*k}(x, \infty), k = 1, 2, \dots$ (see (10) and (6)).

(ii) We now assume that (7) holds true. At first observe that $p = \lambda\mu(Q)/c < 1$ and (2) yield

$$pD(x, \infty) \leq \psi(x) \leq pD(x, \infty) + p^2, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

(Here we have used $D^{*k}(x, \infty) \geq D(x, \infty)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $D^{*k}(x, \infty) \leq 1$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$).

Exploiting (7) for

$$c_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\mu(Q_2)}{\mu(Q_1)}, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < \frac{1}{\mu(Q_1)}$$

and by setting $p = \lambda_1\mu(Q_1)$ we obtain from (7) and (12) for all $p \in (0, 1)$ and all $x \geq 0$,

$$pD_1(x, \infty) \leq \psi_1(x) \leq \psi_2(x) \leq pD_2(x, \infty) + p^2,$$

i.e.

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty) + p, \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

which gives

$$D_1(x, \infty) \leq D_2(x, \infty), \quad x \geq 0.$$

Remark 3:

A closer look at (11) reveals that $f'(p) > 0$, $0 < p < 1$, unless $f(p) = 0$, $0 < p < 1$. Hence, we also have the following result:

If $Q_1 \leq Q_2$, then $c_2\lambda_1\mu(Q_1) < c_1\lambda_2\mu(Q_2)$ and $\psi_1(x) < 1$, $\psi_2(x) > 0$ imply

$$\psi_1(x) < \psi_2(x).$$

Prof. Dr. R. Michel
 Fachbereich 7 – Mathematik
 Bergische Universität
 Gaußstrasse 20
 5600 Wuppertal 1
 West Germany

References

- [1] *Bühlmann, H., Galgiardi, B., Gerber, H.U., and Straub, E. (1977):* Some inequalities for stop-loss premiums. *Astin Bulletin* 9, 75–83.
- [2] *Gerber, H.U. (1979):* An Introduction to Mathematical Risk Theory. S.S. Huebner Foundation for Insurance Education. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.
- [3] *Taylor, G.C. (1984):* A heuristic review of some ruin theory results. Invited address to the Astin meeting of the 22nd International Congress of Actuaries.

Abstract

To a claim size distribution Q with mean μ we associate the distribution with Lebesgue-density $(1/\mu)Q(x, \infty)$, $x > 0$. (This is the ladder-height-distribution of the renewal process corresponding to the given Poisson-process). It is shown that the classical partial ordering (stochastic dominance) of these associated distributions represents a partial ordering of the claim size distributions, and that it completely characterizes a certain relation of the corresponding probabilities of ruin.

Zusammenfassung

Einer Schadenhöhenverteilung Q mit einem Mittelwert μ ordnen wir die Verteilung mit der Lebesgue-Dichte $(1/\mu)Q(x, \infty)$, $x > 0$, zu. (Es handelt sich dabei um die Leiterhöhenverteilung zu dem dem Poisson-Prozess entsprechenden Erneuerungsprozess.) Gezeigt wird, dass die klassische partielle Ordnung (stochastische Dominanz) dieser zugeordneten Verteilungen eine partielle Ordnung der Schadenhöhenverteilungen darstellt und dass dadurch ein Vergleich der entsprechenden Ruinwahrscheinlichkeiten vollständig charakterisiert ist.

Résumé

L'auteur associe à une distribution Q du montant d'un sinistre, de moyenne μ , la distribution – selon Lebesgue – de densité $(1/\mu)Q(x, \infty)$, $x > 0$ (ce qui fournit la distribution de la hauteur d'échelle du processus de renouvellement associé au processus de Poisson considéré). Il montre ensuite que l'ordonnancement partiel classique (dominance aléatoire) de ces distributions associées représente un ordonnancement partiel des distributions des montants des sinistres, et que ce moyen caractérise entièrement une certaine relation existant entre les probabilités de ruine correspondantes.