

**Zeitschrift:** Mitteilungen aus dem Gebiete der Lebensmitteluntersuchung und Hygiene = Travaux de chimie alimentaire et d'hygiène  
**Herausgeber:** Bundesamt für Gesundheit  
**Band:** 88 (1997)  
**Heft:** 3

**Artikel:** Determination of fat content and fatty acid composition through 1-min transesterification in the food sample. Part II, Solubilization of the fat, results  
**Autor:** Suter, Bea / Grob, Konrad / Pacciarelli, Bruno  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-982325>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 22.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Determination of Fat Content and Fatty Acid Composition through 1-min Transesterification in the Food Sample

### II. Solubilization of the Fat, Results

*Key words:* Fatty acid methyl esters, Fat content in foods, Solubilization of fat

*Bea Suter, Konrad Grob, Bruno Pacciarelli and Aleksandra Novoselac*  
Official Food Control Authority of the Canton of Zürich (Kantonales Labor), Zürich

### Introduction

Recently we described a method for determining fat content and/or fatty acid composition through base-catalyzed transesterification directly in the foodstuff (1). Since transesterification is faster than saponification, selection of suitable conditions enables the conversion of all the fatty acid esters into methyl esters (FAMES) even in the presence of water. This in turn enables the formation of FAMES directly in the foods, even if the latter mainly consist of water, such as milk. The FAMES can be used for determining the fatty acid composition as well as the fat content of a food sample after adding up the components and calculation through the internal standard and a global response factor.

Direct transesterification in the foods circumvents extraction of the fat, thus avoiding the laborious procedures applied presently, yields a better defined result, i.e. the quantity of the fatty acids as opposed to the «fat extract» consisting of any apolar material, and avoids the stress on the fat material to be analyzed during hydrolysis of the food, i.e. preventing the formation of artifacts.

The previous paper described the transesterification that takes one min at ambient temperature. The large amount of methanol and methoxide added accelerates transesterification and favors it over saponification. Dioxane is added as a mediator, creating a one phase system of the fat, the methanol/methoxide solution and possibly water. With dioxane, the system remains in one phase also when the extraction solvent (e.g. heptane) is added and is split into two phases only upon addition of the aqueous hydrogen citrate solution. This results in a perfect extraction virtually without shaking.

A system of three or four internal standards enabled to check the completeness of transesterification, the extent of saponification, and the accuracy of the GC

analysis for each sample analyzed (1). The main internal standard, triundecanin, forms FAME-11, which is compared with a standard not participating in the reaction (a hydrocarbon). An insufficient amount of FAME-11 may be the result of incomplete transesterification or advanced saponification. The latter is recognized by the loss of a third internal standard, FAME-9. If a second hydrocarbon is added, also discrimination by the GC analysis can be monitored (primarily of interest with vaporizing injection). The hydrocarbon(s) were adjusted to the selectivity of the stationary phase.

Samples with enclosed fat, such as cheese, meat, milk powder, nuts, and cereals, require a pretreatment because the fat is not reached by the reagent within the short time available during transesterification otherwise. Complete access to the fat is essential not only for determining the fat content, but also for fatty acid composition.

In some dry foods, such as powdered milk, the fat becomes accessible after soaking with water. Water dissolves part of the sample (e.g. sugar) and swells the structure, facilitating diffusion. Other samples are heated in dimethyl formamide (DMF). DMF was chosen because it dissolves a wide range of components and well swells others. Its relatively high boiling point (150 °C) might be even more important: refluxing causes the temperature to rapidly exceed the boiling point of water. Water evaporates within the food sample and the resulting vapors disrupt the structure.

This paper discusses to which samples these pretreatments should be applied. It compares the fat contents thus determined with results obtained by the various conventional methods previously applied to the given sample. Obviously, results are not necessarily the same, since the conventional methods may include materials of low polarity other than fat, whereas the FAME method may include fatty acids from polar compounds which are not included in the material extracted as fat by the conventional methods.

## Experimental

### *Materials*

Gaschromatograph Mod. 8000 with on-column injector and FID, autosampler AS800, integration system ChromCard, all from C.E. Instruments (Milan, Italy), Blender (Büchi B-400), magnetic stirrer/heating plate, 50 ml Erlenmeyer flasks with glass stoppers.

Triundecanin (tri-11), 1-tetradecene (C14:1), methyl nonanoate (FAME-9), heptane purum, dimethylformamide (DMF) puriss, disodium hydrogen citrate purum, all from Fluka; 30% sodium methoxide in methanol, methanol p.A., 1,4-dioxane p.A., all from Merck.



Solutions: disodium hydrogen citrate in water, 15 g/100 ml; sodium methoxide in methanol, 5 g/100 ml; internal standards (tri-11, FAME-9, C14:1) in dioxane, 100 mg/100 ml. These solutions should be stored at ambient temperature, since the transesterification is conceived to occur at this temperature (reaction rates).

GC analysis was either performed on a 3 m × 0.25 mm i.d. column coated (in the laboratory) with an 0.15 µm film of PS-255 (a methyl silicone from Petrarch Systems through Fluka) or on a 25 m × 0.25 mm i.d. column designed for the FAME-method, coated with an immobilized Carbowax of 0.15 µm film thickness (BGB-Analytik, Adliswil, Switzerland). Both columns were equipped with a 10 cm section of 0.53 mm i.d. deactivated precolumn to enable automatic on-column injection. Inlet pressures were 10 and 100 kPa, respectively (hydrogen). Injection occurred at 80 °C column temperature (0.2 min). For the 25 m column, temperature was then programmed at 20 °/min to 180 °C and at 15 °C to 250 °C (3 min).

## *Methods*

### *Direct transesterification*

50–500 mg of homogenized food (containing a maximum of 50 mg of fat) were accurately weighed into a 50 ml Erlenmeyer flask and transesterified as described below.

### *Slurry with water*

50–500 mg of homogenized food (containing a maximum of 50 mg of fat) were accurately weighed into a 50 ml Erlenmeyer flask. 0.5 ml of water were added, the sample was shaken and allowed to stand for 5 min before transesterification.

### *Heating in dimethyl formamide (DMF)*

50–500 mg of homogenized food (containing a maximum of 50 mg of fat) were accurately weighed into a 50 ml Erlenmeyer flask. 2.5 ml of DMF were added and the sample refluxed 15 min (unless stated otherwise) under stirring. Samples were cooled to ambient temperature before transesterification.

For many samples, a much shorter heat treatment was sufficient. However, the 15 min were maintained in the interest of simplicity and also because shortening was not considered as an important advantage.

### *Transesterification*

5 ml of dioxane were added, containing 5 mg each of the internal standards tri-11, FAME-9, and C14:1. After mixing, dispersion and partial dissolution, 5 ml of 5% methoxide/methanol were added (Vortex, 3 s). 60–90 s later, 25 ml of heptane were admixed and the reaction stopped by adding 10 ml of the hydrogen citrate solution. Samples without DMF remained in one phase with the extraction solvent until the aqueous solution was added, i.e. extraction was complete with hardly any shaking. With samples containing DMF, however, heptane is not miscible and the phases



must be thoroughly mixed in order to extract the FAMES. The supernatant was analyzed by GC-FID after 1:10 dilution of the FAME extract in heptane. Heptane was chosen as solvent in order to enable on-column injection at 80 °C.

### *Conventional methods for comparison*

Results of the proposed FAME-method were compared with those obtained by the conventional methods previously used for the same sample. Methods are named as in the headings of tables 1–6.

*Acidic hydrolysis* followed a Swiss official method (2), similar to the method of Weibull-Stoldt (3), and involved heating in 4 M hydrochloric acid for 20 min, filtration through paper, washing of the residue, drying of the residue on the filter, Soxhlet extraction with petroleum ether, removal of the solvent, and weighing of the residue thus obtained.

*Enzymatic hydrolysis* followed the Swiss official method (4) and involved enzymatic cleavage of starch and proteins, extraction with methanol/chloroform, evaporation of the solvent, and weighing of the residue.

The gravimetric determination of fat in cheese according to *Schmid-Bondzynski* involves hydrolysis in 25% hydrochloric acid, addition of ethanol, extraction, and removal of the solvent (5).

The butyrometric fat determination in cheese according to *Gerber-van Gulik* hydrolyzes the sample with sulphuric acid and reads the amount of supernatant fat on the scale (6).

The fat determination in milk according to *Röse-Gottlieb* involves a pretreatment with ethanol and ammonia, then extraction with diethyl ether/petroleum ether, evaporation of the solvent, and gravimetry (7).

The method called *SLMB* in table 3 is designed for the determination of the fat content in margarines. The fat is mixed with sodium sulphate, then extracted with hexane, the hexane removed and the residue weighed (8).

The *Büchi/Caviezel* method (Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland, B815/820/821) was introduced recently. The fat is saponified in the food sample, the free fatty acids are extracted and analyzed by a specialized GC-FID system. The results listed in tables 1–6 were obtained at Büchi.

## **Results**

The results listed in tables 1–6 were selected from over a thousand analyses. Selection occurred such that acceptable and unacceptable results were equally represented. Some particularly difficult samples were included to illustrate possible problems. Analyses were usually run as duplicates from the same homogenate.

## *Milk and milk products*

Table 1 compares fat contents determined in milk and milk products by various methods. The first three columns report results by the proposed FAME-method, either without pretreatment («direct»), through preparation of a slurry in water, or heat treatment with DMF. The other methods are shortly described in the experimental section and results usually represent means of two analyses (except for Büchi).

For milk, the FAME as well as the Büchi method gave higher fat contents than labelled. However, the label indicates a guaranteed minimum fat content. Generally, the direct method applied to yoghurt yielded results in good agreement with those obtained through acidic hydrolysis or the method of Röse-Gottlieb. Some exceptional samples gave lower results after heat treatment with DMF than with direct transesterification (line 6), which was probably the effect of precipitating lumps. DMF pretreatment is meaningful if the fat in flavoring additives, e.g. nuts, should be included. Thus, milk, yoghurt, curd, and cream can normally be transesterified without pretreatment. Cheese, however, must be pretreated by the DMF procedure, as low and poorly reproducible results were obtained otherwise (line 16). The same applies to cheese products, such as cheese spread and cheese fondue.

Evaporated milk can be transesterified directly. For sweetened evaporated milk, however, it was observed that the direct method provided far too low fat contents (line 25). Addition of water, dissolving the sugar, gave satisfactory results. They were better than those obtained upon treatment with DMF, presumably because the particles tended to agglomerate to lumps in DMF (lines 26, 27). Samples 26 and 27 were used in ring tests organized by Nestlé and the results under «Label» obtained by the methods of Mojonnier and Gerber are means of 37 and 7 laboratories, respectively.

Powdered milk and products for bottle feeding of babies based on powdered milk again yielded far too low results when analyzed directly (lines 28 and 30). After addition of water, fat contents determined by the FAME method were in good agreement with the results obtained by the method of Röse-Gottlieb and acid hydrolysis. The cocoa milk (line 33) was a ready-to-drink preparation of milk, cocoa and sugar.

The analysis of butter is technically easy. However, the conventional methods are preferred, because they are well established and the FAME-method does not provide sufficient precision: in routine analysis, relative standard deviations may reach 2% (1), which is too much for this determination, particularly in view of the high profit achievable by a slight reduction of the fat content.

## *Meat products*

The determination of fat contents in meat and meat products by direct transesterification usually resulted in too low values (line 8 in table 2). Heating to 60 °C in dioxane sometimes extracted the fat well (line 9), but not for all samples (line 10).



*Table 1.* Fat concentrations (%) in milk and milk products as determined by various methods. Label, fat content declared on the label or (for ring test samples) obtained by other laboratories. Conventional methods, (1) acidic hydrolysis; (2) Gerber; (3) Schmid-Bondzynski; (4) Röse-Gottlieb. dm, fat in dry matter; RT, sample from ring test. Values in italic, means of results of at least 4 results with standard deviations mostly given in table 7. Values given as range: poorly reproducible results

|    | Sample  | Label<br>% fat | FAME-method |       |           | Conventional Method          | Büchi     |
|----|---------|----------------|-------------|-------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|    |         |                | Direct      | Water | DMF       |                              |           |
| 1  | Milk    | 3.8            | 4.06/4.08   |       |           | 3.87 (1)                     | 4.00/4.06 |
| 2  | Milk    | 3.9            | 4.09/4.09   |       |           | 3.66 (1)                     | 3.98/3.93 |
| 3  | Milk    |                | 4.1/4.0     |       |           | 4.0 (2)                      |           |
| 4  | Yoghurt | 3.0            | 2.83/2.89   |       |           | 2.84 (1)                     | 3.29/3.19 |
| 5  | Yoghurt | 2.8            | 2.86/2.92   |       |           | 2.83 (1)                     | 3.27/3.23 |
| 6  | Yoghurt | 4.0            | 4.2/4.2     |       | 3.2/4.0   | 3.9 (4)                      |           |
| 7  | Yoghurt | 1.0            | 2.9/2.9     |       |           | 2.8 (4)                      |           |
| 8  | Yoghurt | 3.5            |             |       | 4.6/4.6   | 4.3 (4)                      |           |
| 9  | Curd    | 0.1            | 0.49/0.47   |       |           | 0.38 (4)                     |           |
| 10 | Curd    | 0.2            | 0.19/0.19   |       |           |                              |           |
| 11 | Curd    | 16             | 16.4/16.7   |       |           | 17.0 (4)                     |           |
| 12 | Kefir   | 2              | 2.01        |       |           |                              |           |
| 13 | Cream   | 15.0           | 15.3        |       |           | 15.0 (4)                     |           |
| 14 | Cream   | 15.0           | 15.2        |       |           | 15.0 (4)                     |           |
| 15 | Cream   | 35.0           | 35.7/36.9   |       |           | 34.0 (1), 33.8 (2)           | 35.4/34.7 |
| 16 | Cheese  | 45 dm          | 14.8–21.9   |       |           | 32.3 (1), 31.5 (2), 31.6 (3) | 32.2/31.9 |
| 17 | Cheese  | 19             |             |       | 20.3/20.4 | 18.2 (1), 19.0 (2), 18.3 (3) | 19.0/19.0 |



|    | Sample           | Label<br>% fat | FAME-method |           |           | Conventional Method          | Büchi     |
|----|------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|    |                  |                | Direct      | Water     | DMF       |                              |           |
| 18 | Cheese           | 45 dm          |             |           | 24.6/25.1 | 23.3 (1), 23.3 (2), 23.8 (3) | 24.7/24.8 |
| 19 | Cheese spread    | 18             |             |           | 19.6/19.9 | 19.2 (1)                     | 19.5/19.4 |
| 20 | Cheese spread    | 22             |             |           | 20.3/21.3 | 20.5 (1)                     | 21.1/21.5 |
| 21 | Cheese fondue    | 16             |             |           | 17.2/17.3 |                              |           |
| 22 | Evaporated milk  | 7.5            | 8.1/8.1     |           |           | 7.9 (4)                      |           |
| 23 | Evaporated milk  | 7.5            | 8.7/8.4     |           |           | 8.5 (1), 7.8 (4)             |           |
| 24 | Evaporated milk  | 8.0            | 8.0/8.2     |           |           | 8.6 (1), 8.8 (4)             |           |
| 25 | Sweet evap. milk | 8.0            | 0.4–2.2     |           | 7.6/7.9   | 8.0 (4)                      |           |
| 26 | Sweet evap. milk | 8.1 RT         |             | 7.8       | 6.2–9.4   | 8.2 (2)                      |           |
| 27 | Sweet evap. milk | 9.1 RT         |             | 9.1       | 9.8–11.1  | 9.2 (2)                      |           |
| 28 | Powdered milk    | 0.5            | 0.05/0.04   | 0.77/0.77 |           | 0.80 (4)                     |           |
| 29 | Powdered milk    | 0.5            |             | 0.96/1.03 |           | 1.00 (4)                     |           |
| 30 | Infant formula   | ca. 24         | 10.1/11.3   | 27.5/25.8 |           | 24.4 (1)                     | 24.6/24.6 |
| 31 | Infant formula   | 26             |             | 26.6/26.6 | 28.1/28.1 | 26.4 (1)                     |           |
| 32 | Cocoa-milk       | 1.2            | 1.29/1.28   |           |           | 1.23 (1)                     | 1.58/1.64 |
| 33 | Cream liqueur    |                | 4.6/4.7     |           |           | 5.1 (1)                      |           |
| 34 | Cream liqueur    |                | 16.2/15.9   |           |           | 15.6 (1)                     |           |

*Table 2.* Fat concentrations (%) in meat and meat products, with the options of a 15 min (standard) or 30 min DMF treatment. Direct, 60°, samples warmed in dioxane to 60 °C for 15 min prior to transesterification

|    | Sample        | Label<br>% fat | Fat by FAME method |             |                |           | Acid hydr. | Büchi     |
|----|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
|    |               |                | direct             | direct, 60° | DMF, 15 min    | 30 min    |            |           |
| 1  | Meat FAPAS    | 15.1 RT        |                    |             | 15.2/15.0/15.2 |           | 14.9       |           |
| 2  | Meat          |                |                    |             | 13.9/14.0      |           | 14.0       |           |
| 3  | Ham           |                |                    |             | 4.3/4.3        |           |            |           |
| 4  | Liver sausage |                |                    |             | 31.4/30.9      |           | 31.4       |           |
| 5  | Liver sausage |                |                    |             | 29.2/29.4      |           | 29.3       |           |
| 6  | Liver sausage |                |                    |             | 43.6/43.5/43.6 |           | 45.5       |           |
| 7  | Sausage       | 24.9 RT        |                    |             | 25.0/25.3/25.0 |           | 25.2       |           |
| 8  | Sausage       | 24             | 16.9/17.7          |             |                |           | 25.2       | 26.5/25.8 |
| 9  | Sausage       | 26             |                    | 22.6/22.6   |                |           | 22.1       | 22.8/23.6 |
| 10 | Sausage       | 3.0            | 4.2/4.6            | 4.6/4.9     |                |           | 6.2        | 7.6/7.7   |
| 11 | Sausage       |                |                    |             | 19.6/19.7      |           | 19.8       |           |
| 12 | Sausage       |                |                    |             | 27.6/27.6      |           | 26.6       |           |
| 13 | Sausage       |                |                    |             | 20.9/20.6      |           | 20.7       |           |
| 14 | Sausage       |                |                    |             | 23.1/22.9      |           | 22.9       |           |
| 15 | Sausage       |                |                    |             | 43.4/43.4      | 43.2/43.5 | 44.1       |           |
| 16 | Collared pork |                |                    |             | 11.6/11.8      |           | 11.7       |           |
| 17 | Collared pork |                |                    |             | 9.6/9.6        |           | 9.6        |           |
| 18 | Collared pork |                |                    |             | 7.1/7.0        |           | 7.3        |           |
| 19 | Collared pork |                |                    |             | 11.0/10.9      |           | 10.7       |           |



|    | Sample | Label<br>% fat | Fat by FAME method |             |                |           | Acid hydr. | Büchi |
|----|--------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------|
|    |        |                | direct             | direct, 60° | DMF, 15 min    | 30 min    |            |       |
| 20 | Bacon  | 15.0 RT        |                    |             | 14.7/14.6      | 14.7/14.9 | 14.9       |       |
| 21 | Salami | 30.4 RT        |                    |             | 28.9/27.8      |           | 30.7       |       |
| 22 | Salami |                |                    |             | 30.4/31.5/31.6 | 34.4      | 36.6       |       |
| 23 | Salami |                |                    |             | 30.3/29.1      |           | 31.0       |       |
| 24 | Salami |                |                    |             | 31.3/31.1/33.7 | 36.6      | 35.4       |       |



After treatment with DMF, however, the structures enclosing the fat were opened and the amounts of fat then determined corresponded well with the alternative methods. A homogenized meat sample from FAPAS® (CSL Food Science Laboratory, Norwich, UK) confirmed this (see line 1). The 15.1% fat content given under «Label» is the mean result of over 200 laboratories using acid hydrolysis. The same is observed for meat products, such as sausages, cold meat, collared pork. The results in lines 7 and 19 are from a sausage («servelat») and homogenized bacon serving as materials for ring tests organized by Migros-Genossenschafts-Bund. The mean fat content found by 6 laboratories was 24.9 and 15.0%, respectively.

Partially dried meat is more difficult to analyze, presumably because it lacks the water to form the vapors disrupting the structure. For a sample of salami from the above-mentioned ring test, with a mean fat content of 30.4% (line 20), the FAME-method gave slightly lower results (mean of 28.4%). The same tendency was observed for other salami samples when compared with the fat content determined after acidic hydrolysis (lines 20–23). Prolongation of the heat treatment to 30 min provided a satisfactory result for sample 23, but for salami 21 it appeared that still more time would have been needed.

### *Fatty products*

Table 3 summarizes results from a wide variety of products characterized by a high fat concentration. The determination of the fat content in margarines and analogous products is, of course, easy, because the fat is readily accessible. For mayonnaise, direct transesterification provided satisfactory results. Often they were slightly higher than those labelled. This could be explained by labelled fat contents being calculated from composition, whereas additional fatty acids, e.g. from emulgators, were not included. In fact, the results better agreed with those of the enzymatic method. With DMF treatment, fatty acid contents were still higher, probably because again more fatty acids from sources other than the oil were included. Also the analysis of salad sauce (lines 8 and 9) is quick and precise.

For some samples of ice cream, direct transesterification provided satisfactory results (line 12), but for others, the fat concentrations found were far too low (see lines 10 and 11). After heat treatment with DMF, however, the values reached those obtained by acid hydrolysis (line 14). Line 13 points to a possible problem: the result of the second determination with DMF treatment was 5.6% instead of the around 8.3% expected. This was due to poor dispersion or the formation of lumps enclosing fat during the DMF treatment, which is not reproducible, but can easily be observed by visual control.

In general, chocolate can be analyzed by direct transesterification. The results in line 16 are from a ring test sample of milk chocolate from Nestlé and the fat content under «Label» was obtained by the method of Weibull-Stoldt. The sample of line 18, a plain milk chocolate, was especially made by Halba AG, Wallisellen, for quality control of the fat analysis. The fat content listed under «Label» was calculated from the accurate mixture and the fat contents of the ingredients. The

Table 3. Fat concentrations (%) in fatty products. QC, sample for quality control. Method 5, SLMB; 6, enzymatic release

|    | Sample             | Label<br>% fat | Fat by FAME method |           | Convent.<br>methods | Büchi     |
|----|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
|    |                    |                | direct             | DMF       |                     |           |
| 1  | Margarine          | 83             | 85.2/84.4          |           | 82.3 (5)            | 83.3/82.3 |
| 2  | Margarine          | 40             | 41.0/41.0          |           | 41.4 (5)            | 40.1/40.4 |
| 3  | Margarine          | 40             | 42.3/41.1          |           | 40.3 (5)            | 42.3/41.3 |
| 4  | Margarine          | 35             | 36.3/36.7          |           | 36.2 (5)            | 34.9/35.2 |
| 5  | Mayonnaise         | 36.7           | 37.3               | 40.3/40.7 | 37.1 (6)            |           |
| 6  | Mayonnaise         | 81.6           | 83.2               | 84.1/83.5 | 83.9 (6)            |           |
| 7  | Mayonnaise         | 76.2           | 75.7               | 78.1/77.5 | 75.5 (6)            |           |
| 8  | Salad-sauce        | 28             | 29.8/29.4          |           | 28.7 (6)            | 30.5/29.9 |
| 9  | Salad-sauce        | 35             | 34.6               |           | 36.4 (6)            |           |
| 10 | Ice cream          | 10             | 13.9/13.7          |           | 18.1 (1)            | 17.7/17.6 |
| 11 | Ice cream          |                | 4.7/7.0            | 15.1/15.2 |                     |           |
| 12 | Ice cream          |                | 7.9/8.3            | 8.3/8.2   |                     |           |
| 13 | Ice cream          |                | 7.3/7.5            | 8.5/5.6   |                     |           |
| 14 | Ice cream          | 9.8            |                    | 15.7/16.1 | 15.7 (1)            | 16.6/17.2 |
| 15 | Chocolate          |                | 32.5               |           | 32.8 (1)            |           |
| 16 | Chocolate          | 34.2 RT        | 34.0/34.0          |           | 34.2 (1)            |           |
| 17 | Chocolate          |                | 32.4/31.7          |           | 31.7 (1)            | 32.7/34.2 |
| 18 | Chocolate          | 34             | 34.9/34.8          |           | 34.8 (1)            | 36.7/35.6 |
| 19 | Chocolate          |                | 30.3/29.7          |           | 30.8 (1)            |           |
| 20 | Chocolate diabetic | 39             | 39.2/37.3          | 41.1/39.2 | 39.4 (1)            |           |
| 21 | Chocolate diabetic | 37             | 35.8/36.3          | 36.8/38.2 | 35.2 (1)            |           |

data shows good agreement, but also that DMF-pretreatment is unnecessary. DMF pretreatment would only be necessary if chocolate contained a substantial proportion of ground nuts, as, e.g., the diabetic chocolates in lines 20–21, and the fat of these should really be included.

### *Bakery products and cereals*

The determination of fat in bread and bakers' ware always requires pretreatment with DMF. Then, however, reproducible results are obtained which agree well with



the fat content determined by other methods (table 4). For cookies with cheese, a method was successful that was no longer applied later: heating of the sample in dioxane to 60 °C for 15 min before cooling and addition of the transesterification reagent. The samples of müesli consisted of a wide variety of cereals, nuts, and other dry components and required heat treatment in DMF. The samples in lines 12 and 13 consisted of infant cereales with milk, ring test samples from Nestlé, and the labelled fat content was determined by the method of Mojonner.

### *Ready meals*

The ready meals listed in the first lines of table 5 contained all the main components, such as meat, vegetables, and noodles. After heat treatment in DMF, good results were obtained. The vegetable pastes consistently yielded somewhat lower results than the conventional acid hydrolysis method. This included the ring test samples from Nestlé of lines 8–9, with mean fat contents of 18.5 and 18.6%, respectively, obtained by Weibull-Stoldt. The FAME-method yielded 17.1 and 18.0% fat only. Fat extracts obtained by acid hydrolysis from the product of line 10 contained, in fact, just 88.2 and 88.0% of fat as determined by the FAME method, i.e. it contained more than 10% apolar components other than fatty acid esters, maybe from surfaces of the plant materials. Corrected in this way, the deviation

*Table 4.* Fat concentrations (%) in bakery products and cereals. Results marked by an asterisk: samples heated in dioxane prior to transesterification

|    | Sample         | Label<br>% fat | Fat by FAME method |           | Acid hydr. | Büchi     |
|----|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
|    |                |                | direct             | DMF       |            |           |
| 1  | Bread          |                |                    | 1.8       | 1.9        |           |
| 2  | Bread          | 1              |                    | 0.9/1.1   |            |           |
| 3  | Cookies        | 2.7            |                    | 3.4/3.4   |            |           |
| 4  | Cookies        | 18             |                    | 18.2/18.2 |            |           |
| 5  | Gingerbread    | 12             |                    | 11.6/11.2 | 11.4       |           |
| 6  | Gingerbread    | 4              |                    | 5.4/5.5   | 5.8        |           |
| 7  | Cookies/cheese |                | 23.8/23.5*         |           | 23.5       | 23.7/23.2 |
| 8  | Fried cookies  | 34             |                    | 34.6/34.1 |            |           |
| 9  | Noodles        | 3              | 2.76/2.96          | 2.90/3.11 | 3.14       | 2.73/2.58 |
| 10 | Müesli         | 5.7            |                    | 5.7/5.8   |            |           |
| 11 | Müesli         | 20             |                    | 20.7/19.8 | 19.5       | 19.8/20.3 |
| 12 | Infant formula | 8.73 RT        |                    | 8.2       |            |           |
| 13 | Semolina       |                | 0.30/0.27          | 0.67      |            |           |



Table 5. Fat concentrations (%) in ready meals as well as puddings and dessert creams. Results marked by an asterisk: samples heated in dioxane prior to transesterification

|    | Sample                | Label % fat | Fat by FAME method |             | Acidic hydrol. | Büchi     |
|----|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|
|    |                       |             | direct             | DMF         |                |           |
| 1  | Ready meal            | 3.1         |                    | 5.2/5.6     | 4.9            |           |
| 2  | Ready meal            | 4.1         |                    | 5.6/5.8     | 5.1            |           |
| 3  | Ready meal            | 8.5         |                    | 9.0/8.6     | 8.7            |           |
| 4  | Ready meal            | 7           |                    | 8.3/8.3     | 8.3            |           |
| 5  | Ready meal            | 6           |                    | 5.1/5.2     | 5.0            |           |
| 6  | Ready meal            | 6           |                    | 3.9/4.0     | 3.7            |           |
| 7  | Cooked vegetables     | 4           | 2.68/2.52          |             | 2.81           | 2.93/3.03 |
| 8  | Vegetable paste       | 18.5 RT     |                    | 17.1        |                |           |
| 9  | Vegetable paste       | 18.6 RT     |                    | 18          |                |           |
| 10 | Vegetable paste       |             | 12.6/11.5          | 20.0/20.2   | 21.1           |           |
| 11 | Soyburger             | 6           | 3.1                | 6.6/6.7     |                |           |
| 12 | Pudding               | 4           | 3.5                | 4.1/4.0     |                |           |
| 13 | Pudding               |             | 4.2/4.1/3.9        | 3.8/3.4/3.3 |                |           |
| 14 | Pudding               | 4           | 4.41/4.46*         |             | 4.03           | 4.46/4.44 |
| 15 | Soybean cream dessert | 1.8         | 1.8                | 1.9/1.9     |                |           |

turns to the other side: the fat extract of 21.1% yielded 18.6% fat only, and the difference to the 20.1% obtained by the FAME method would have to be explained by fatty acids not included into the fat extract. As shown in line 11, the soyburger required the DMF pretreatment for solubilizing the fat.

Some puddings and cream desserts proved to be difficult to analyze. As shown in line 12, direct transesterification does not pick up all the fat present. On the other hand, an example is shown in line 13 of results which are lower with DMF treatment than with direct transesterification. This product formed visible lumps during the DMF treatment. The sample in line 14 yielded good results with heating to 60 °C in the dioxane phase prior to addition of the methanol/methoxide reagent.

### *Various products*

Table 6 lists results from a variety of foodstuffs. The analysis of the fat content of nuts presupposes heat treatment with DMF. The results obtained for the samples of hazelnuts and coconut reasonably agreed with acid hydrolysis. For a sample of walnuts, heating in DMF during 30 min slightly increased the results (68.7/68.8%),

Table 6. Fat concentrations (%) in a variety of foodstuffs.

Results marked by an asterisk: samples heated in dioxane prior to transesterification

|    | Sample       | Label<br>% fat | Fat by FAME method |         |             | Acidic<br>hydr. | Büchi     |
|----|--------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
|    |              |                | direct             | water   | DMF, 15 min |                 |           |
| 1  | Hazelnut     |                |                    |         | 55.5/51.4   | 52.8            |           |
| 2  | Coconut      |                |                    |         | 67.9/68.2   | 67.5            |           |
| 3  | Walnut       |                |                    |         | 67.5/67.8   | 69.6            |           |
| 4  | Coffee       |                |                    |         | 13.1/13.7   | 14.0            | 14.1/14.1 |
| 5  | Egg          |                | 9.0/9.1            |         | 8.8/8.7     | 8.5             |           |
| 6  | Malt powder  | 3.3            | 0.5/0.5            | 1.6/1.7 | 2.8/2.8     | 2.7             |           |
| 7  | Malt powder  |                |                    |         | 2.71        | 2.66            |           |
| 8  | Malt powder  | 6              |                    | 8.0/7.5 | 6.8/6.9     | 6.7             | 8.25/8.37 |
| 9  | Cocoa drink  |                | 0.6                | 3.8/3.9 | 5.4/5.4     |                 |           |
| 10 | Cocoa drink  | 3.7 RT         |                    |         | 3.68        |                 |           |
| 11 | Cocoa drink  | 3.6 RT         |                    |         | 3.71        |                 |           |
| 12 | Cocoa powder | 20.3           |                    |         | 20.8/21.3   | 20.0            | 21.3/21.4 |
| 13 | Cocoa powder | 20.3           | 22.1/22.4*         |         | 22.3/21.9   | 20.5            | 24.3/24.5 |
| 14 | Tofu         | 5.3            | 5.8                |         | 6.8/6.7     |                 |           |

whereas additional 30 min had no further effect (68.8/68.1%). For the egg (line 5), similar fat contents were observed with or without heat treatment in DMF.

The malt powder in line 6 only released its fat after DMF treatment. Among the many samples analyzed, there was, however, also one with too low results after DMF treatment (line 8) owing to formation of lumps. Dispersion in water was more successful for that sample. For the analysis of the powders of malt- and cocoa-based breakfast drinks, DMF-treatment was clearly superior (line 9). The two ring test samples (lines 10 and 11) were from Nestlé and the fat contents under «Label» were determined by the method of Mojonier and by the IOCCC method (International Office of Cocoa, Chocolate and Sugar Confectionary). Cocoa powders (lines 12 and 13) do not well disperse in cold dioxane. They can either be analyzed through the DMF pretreatment or by warming the dioxane solution prior to transesterification. With DMF pretreatment, the analysis of Tofu was more reliable (line 14).

### *Reproducibility of results*

Table 7 reports some statistical data on the results for samples analyzed at least four times. From a homogenate, two analyses were performed in parallel, i.e. results were obtained as pairs. Additional pairs of analyses were carried out one day later



Table 7. Reproducibility of results

| Line / Table | Sample          | <i>n</i> | Mean fat (%) | ±    | % St. dev. |
|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|------|------------|
| 12/1         | Kefir           | 4        | 2.01         | 0.03 | 1.3        |
| 13/1         | Cream           | 6        | 15.3         | 0.19 | 1.3        |
| 14/1         | Cream           | 6        | 15.2         | 0.12 | 0.8        |
| 9/3          | Salad-sauce     | 4        | 34.6         | 0.34 | 1.0        |
| 15/3         | Chocolate       | 7        | 32.5         | 0.33 | 1.0        |
| 1/4          | Bread           | 4        | 1.83         | 0.03 | 1.6        |
| 12/4         | Infant formula  | 4        | 8.4          | 0.18 | 2.2        |
|              | Infant formula  | 4        | 8.2          | 0.19 | 2.4        |
| 13/4         | Semolina        | 4        | 0.67         | 0.03 | 4.2        |
| 8/5          | Vegetable paste | 4        | 17.1         | 0.15 | 0.9        |
| 9/5          | Vegetable paste | 4        | 18.0         | 0.26 | 1.4        |
| 7/6          | Malt powder     | 4        | 2.71         | 0.09 | 3.2        |
| 10/6         | Cocoa drink     | 4        | 3.68         | 0.19 | 5.1        |
| 11/6         | Cocoa drink     | 4        | 3.71         | 0.18 | 4.8        |
|              | Fatty glaze     | 51       | 35.0         | 0.95 | 2.7        |
|              | Meat loaf       | 10       | 26.7         | 0.30 | 1.0        |

*n* = number of analyses

at least. The sample of fatty glaze was used for internal quality control and was analyzed over a period of one year. The standard deviation is relatively high because it includes results from the very beginning of using this method. The sample of meat loaf was analyzed as 5 different cans over 3 weeks. For samples with more than 10% fat, relative standard deviations were below 1.5%.

## Conclusion

In this study, emphasis was put on the determination of the fat content. The prerequisite for the analysis of the fatty acid composition is, however, the same: all fatty-acid-containing components in the food sample must be reached. Hence, the procedure is equally well suited if the FAMES are prepared just for the analysis of the fatty acid composition.

The limitations of the methods are reached at the following three points: Complete solubilization of the fat is difficult in partially or fully dried meat and



sausages: owing to the lack of water, heating in DMF does not result in the disrapture of the structure. DMF nevertheless extracts the fat if more time is given; 30 min appears to be a minimum. The second problem concerns precipitation of lumps instead of formation of a homogeneous suspension, as observed in the instance of a malt powder, an ice cream, a yoghurt, and some puddings. Since the amounts of fat thus determined are mostly too low, the lumps seem to enclose some fat. The problem is easily detected by visual control. Usually it does not occur regularly and mostly a second attempt is more successful. The third limitation concerns the precision primarily of the GC analysis. In the routine of a non-specialized laboratory, long term reproducibility easily reach  $\pm 2\%$ , which may be too high for particular analyses, such as the determination of the fat content of butter.

Table 8 summarizes the recommended procedures for the various foodstuffs.

*Table 8.* Recommended sample pretreatment for the foodstuffs investigated. Direct, no pretreatment; DMF, heat treatment in DMF; water, forming a slurry with water

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Bread                     | DMF                |
| Cheese                    | DMF                |
| Chocolate                 | direct             |
| Chocolate with nuts       | DMF                |
| Cocoa powder              | DMF                |
| Cookies                   | DMF                |
| Cream                     | direct             |
| Cream liqueur             | direct             |
| Curd                      | direct             |
| Evaporated milk           | DMF                |
| Eggs                      | direct             |
| Ice cream                 | DMF                |
| Malt powder               | DMF or water       |
| Margarines                | direct             |
| Mayonnaise                | direct             |
| Meat/fish                 | DMF                |
| Milk                      | direct             |
| Milk powder               | water              |
| Müesli                    | DMF                |
| Noodles                   | DMF                |
| Nuts                      | DMF, 30 min        |
| Pudding                   | water or DMF       |
| Ready meal                | DMF                |
| Salad sauce               | direct             |
| Salami                    | DMF, $\geq 30$ min |
| Sausages                  | DMF                |
| Semolina                  | DMF                |
| Sweetened evaporated milk | water              |
| Tofu                      | DMF                |
| Yoghurt                   | direct             |

## *Acknowledgements*

Several ring test samples for the internal control were obtained from Nestlé through Dr. A. Dieffenbacher and from Migros. A chocolate sample of accurately known composition was from H. Mikle, Halba AG, Wallisellen. The results obtained by the Büchi/Caviezel method, courtesy of Büchi AG, Flawil, Switzerland.

## *Summary*

For various products, direct transesterification of fatty acid esters in foodstuffs presupposes a short pretreatment, i.e. either the formation of a slurry in water (e.g. powdered milk) or a heat treatment in DMF (e.g. cheese, meat, bakers' ware or cereals). Fat contents determined by the new FAME method agree well with results obtained by conventional methods and are further confirmed by comparison with results from ring test samples.

## *Zusammenfassung*

Die direkte Umesterung von Fettsäureestern in Lebensmitteln setzt für manche Produkte eine Vorbehandlung voraus: entweder eine Aufschlämmung in Wasser (z. B. Milchpulver) oder eine Erhitzung in DMF (z. B. Käse, Fleisch, Backwaren und Cerealien). Die nach der neuen FAME-Methode bestimmten Fettgehalte stimmen mit Resultaten verschiedener konventioneller Methoden akzeptabel überein und konnten über den Vergleich mit Proben von Ringversuchen weiter abgesichert werden.

## *Résumé*

La transestérification des esters d'acides gras dans les denrées présuppose pour plusieurs produits un prétraitement, soit une suspension à l'eau (p.e. lait en poudre), soit un échauffement court dans DMF (p.e. fromage, viande, pâtisseries ou céréales). Les teneurs en matière grasse déterminées d'après la nouvelle méthode FAME s'accordent avec les résultats de plusieurs méthodes conventionnelles et ont été confirmées en comparaison avec des résultats d'essais interlaboratoires.

## *Literature*

1. Suter, B., Grob, K. and Pacciarelli, B.: Determination of fat content and fatty acid composition through 1-min transesterification in the food sample; principles. *Z. Lebensm.-Unters.-Forsch.* 204, 252–258 (1997).
2. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 22/5.1. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1991.
3. DIN 10342 (Nov. 1981).
4. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 14/3.3.1. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1994.
5. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 5/04. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1970.



6. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 5.03. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1970.
7. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 1/1.3.2. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1987.
8. Schweiz. Lebensmittelbuch, Kapitel 7/3.5. Eidg. Drucksachen- und Materialzentrale, Bern 1996.

Bea Suter  
Konrad Grob  
Bruno Pacciarelli  
Aleksandra Novoselac  
Official Food Control Authority of the  
Canton of Zürich (Kantonales Labor)  
P.O. Box  
CH-8030 Zürich