

<b>Zeitschrift:</b>	Museum Helveticum : schweizerische Zeitschrift für klassische Altertumswissenschaft = Revue suisse pour l'étude de l'antiquité classique = Rivista svizzera di filologia classica
<b>Herausgeber:</b>	Schweizerische Vereinigung für Altertumswissenschaft
<b>Band:</b>	53 (1996)
<b>Heft:</b>	1
<b>Artikel:</b>	Horace's punic sailor
<b>Autor:</b>	Allen, Archibald
<b>DOI:</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41327">https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41327</a>

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 09.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Horace's Punic Sailor

By Archibald Allen, The Pennsylvania State University

This is the text of *Carm.* 2.13.13ff. which D. R. Shackleton Bailey prints in the second edition of his Teubner *Horatius* (Stuttgart 1991):

15            *quid quisque vitet numquam homini satis  
cautum est in horas. navita Bosphorum  
† Poenus † perhorrescit neque ultra  
caeca timet aliunde fata;  
  
miles sagittas et celerem fugam  
Parthi, catenas Parthus et Italum  
robur: sed improvisa leti  
vis rapuit rapietque gentis.*

The despairing obeli of line 15 may well represent the best that can be done with the manuscripts' *Poenus*, but one can still hope; I should like to suggest a more radical removal of the epithet than that proposed recently in this journal by J. Delz<sup>1</sup>.

Scholarly puzzlement about the identification of the sailor as 'Punic' or 'Carthaginian' dates back to the ancient commentators. Porphyrio notes: *Bos-forum. fauces sunt Pontici maris, unde <cum> longissime Africa sit, quid ita Poenus navita eum perhorrescat?*<sup>2</sup> But the problem with *Poenus* is not simply one of geographical inappropriateness, the far remove of Carthage from the Bosphorus. The sailor in question, like the soldier and the Parthian of lines 17ff., is a type, introduced to illustrate the thesis that people are never sufficiently on their guard, from hour to hour, against the dangers which threaten each of them; thus the sailor shudders in dread at the Bosphorus but fails to exhibit proper fear for other, unseen perils, and so, too, the soldier and the Parthian dread only the dangers which are immediate and obvious. *A priori*, therefore, *Poenus* is suspect since it does not identify the sailor as a recognisable type, comparable to the *miles* and the *Parthus*. The convenient suggestion that it might stand here for *Sidonius* or *Tyrius* (so Orelli), making the

1 "Horatius ex Horatio emendatus ...", *MusHelv* 50 (1993) 214ff. (219f.). I refer to several editions of Horati Carmina by editors' names: P. Hofmann Peerlkamp (Amsterdam <sup>2</sup>1862); J. C. Orelli (rev. by J. G. Baiter/W. Hirschfelder, Berlin <sup>4</sup>1886); E. C. Wickham (Oxford <sup>2</sup>1877); A. Kiessling/R. Heinze (Berlin <sup>6</sup>1917); R. G. M. Nisbet/M. Hubbard (Comm. on Bk. 2, Oxford 1978).

2 Pomponi Porfyrionis Commentum in Horatium Flaccum (= *Scholia antiqua in Q. Horatium Flaccum*, vol. I), rec. Alfred Holder (Innsbruck 1894) 72.

*navita* Phoenician, and so a very typical seaman (cf. *Epod.* 16.59 *Sidonii ... nautae*) can be regarded only as that, a convenient suggestion.

Of emendations, Lachmann's *Thynus*, printed by Kiessling/Heinze and deemed 'tempting' by Wickham, brings the sailor's home much closer to the Bosphorus, but, as Nisbet/Hubbard object, "the Thyni ... were not typical sailors, and seem too unimportant to balance the Romans and Parthians of the next stanza". Peerlkamp proposed *Bosphori / aestus* (*vel simile aliquid*); word order, he felt, demands that if *Poenus* is read with *navita* it must also be read – nonsensically – with *miles*, just as *navita* and *miles* and *Parthus* are each to be read with *perhorrescit*. Moser's *Bosphori / portas* is similar (and I may admit that I have thought of *Bosphori / undas*). Friedrich's *unum* emphasises the narrow focus of the sailor's dread, but is probably too emphatic. Delz recalls Horace's other mentions of the Bosphorus, in each of which the strait receives a participial epithet (*Carm.* 2.20.14 *gementis litora Bosphori*, and *Carm.* 3.4.30 *insanientem ... Bosphorum*), and he therefore emends *Poenus* to *torvum*.

Since no feasible ethnic epithet appears to be available for the sailor, and since none of the attempts to enlarge or embellish the reference to the Bosphorus is really compelling, we should perhaps look for an adjective which will cohere well with the preceding statement (*quid quisque vitet numquam homini satis / cautum est*): an epithet for *navita* which can be shared by *miles* and *Parthus* meaning "on his guard". Nisbet/Hubbard offered *prudens*, in the sense of *providens*, citing *Carm.* 2.10.2f. *dum procellas / cautus horrescit*. I would suggest *tutus* and claim that what lies beneath the manuscripts' *Poenus* is the core of an early gloss, *impune*, which had been inserted to clarify the adverbial function of the adjective:

*navita Bosphorum*  
*tutus perhorrescit ...*

For *tutus* = 'on one's guard', 'watchful' (*OLD*, s.v., 2a), one may compare *Sat.* 2.1.20 (*cui male si palpere, recalcitrat undique tutus*)<sup>3</sup>, *Ars* 28 (*serpit humi tutus nimium timidusque procellae*), and *Ars* 266 (*tutus et intra / spem veniae cautus*). As C. O. Brink observes (on *Ars* 28), "*tutus* oscillates between 'safe', 'apparently safe', and 'on one's guard'"<sup>4</sup>. If, then, Horace did write *tutus perhorrescit*, the *navita*, the *miles*, and the *Parthus* who shudder 'cautiously' at familiar dangers may also be thought to shudder 'safely' or 'without harm', so that *tutus* might reasonably be glossed by *impune* – especially in the light of *Carm.* 1.17.5 *impune tutum per nemus arbutos / quaerunt*. And from an intrusive *impune* came *Poenus*, the very epithet which occurs – hardly by coincidence – just 40 lines earlier, at 2.12.3 (*nec Siculum mare / Poeno purpureum sanguine*).

3 On the probably early date of *Carm.* 2.13 (33 B.C.?), close to that of *Satires* Bk. 2 (30 B.C.?), see E. A. Schmidt, "The Date of Horace, Odes 2.13", in: N. Horsfall (ed.), *Discendi Peritus. Studies in Celebration of Otto Skutsch's Eightieth Birthday*, BICS Suppl. 51 (1988) 118ff.

4 *Horace on Poetry* (Cambridge 1971) 112.