

Zeitschrift: Helvetica Physica Acta
Band: 64 (1991)
Heft: 8

Artikel: The dependence of the QED short-distance enhancement factor on the top quark mass
Autor: Barker, F.C. / Rasche, G. / Woolcock, W.S.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-116341>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 07.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

The dependence of the QED short-distance enhancement factor on the top quark mass

F.C. Barker¹ and G.Rasche

*Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität,
Schönberggasse 9, CH-8001 Zürich, Switzerland*

and

W.S. Woolcock

*Department of Theoretical Physics IAS,
The Australian National University, GPO Box 4, Canberra ACT 2601,
Australia*

(18. VI. 1991)

We point out that the QED short-distance enhancement factor $S(m_p, m_Z)$, which contributes to the radiative corrections to superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear β^+ decays, is independent of the mass of the top quark provided that this mass is greater than the Z^0 mass. This contradicts a paper published recently. We give the correct expression for $S(m_p, m_Z)$ and its numerical value.

¹ Permanent address: Department of Theoretical Physics IAS, The Australian National University, GPO Box 4, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia.

Two independent analyses of data on the f_t values for superallowed nuclear β^+ decays within 0^+ isospin triplets have appeared recently [1, 2]. Both lead to values of $|V_{ud}|$ which, when combined with values of $|V_{us}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ obtained from data on the semileptonic decays of hadrons containing strange and bottom quarks respectively, enable a test to be made of the unitarity of the quark mixing matrix. If one accepts the standard model of the electroweak interaction in its usual form, this matrix must be unitary.

The QED short-distance enhancement factor $S(m_p, m_Z)$ contributes to the radiative correction to superallowed decays and therefore affects the value of $|V_{ud}|$. Both analyses take the value of $S(m_p, m_Z)$ from Marciano and Sirlin [3], who used a top quark mass $m_t = 40$ GeV. Wilkinson [4] claimed that, after making what he considered to be a reasonable adjustment to the nuclear mismatch correction for superallowed decays, the dependence of $S(m_p, m_Z)$ on m_t is such that use of the more recent value $m_t = 130 \pm 30$ GeV leads to values of $|V_{ud}|$ consistent with unitarity. The formula that Wilkinson used for $S(m_p, m_Z)$, which was taken from ref. [3], is valid, however, only for $m_b < m_t < m_W$. Different formulae are required if $m_W < m_t < m_Z$, or if $m_t > m_Z$. It is now practically certain that $m_t > m_Z$ [5, 6], in which case $S(m_p, m_Z)$ no longer depends explicitly on m_t . We here give these modified formulae for $S(m_p, m_Z)$, and the value of $S(m_p, m_Z)$ for the presently accepted values of particle masses.

The radiative correction of $O(\alpha)$ to the f_t values for superallowed decays was given by Sirlin [7] as

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{g}(E, E_0) \right\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[4 \ln \left(\frac{m_Z}{m_p} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{m_p}{m_A} \right) + 2C + \mathcal{A}_g \right] \right\} \quad (1)$$

(for notation see ref. [2]). Marciano and Sirlin [3] incorporated the effect of leading logarithmic corrections of order $(\alpha \ln m_Z)^n$ by means of a renormalisation group analysis, with the result that the expression (1) should be replaced by

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[\ln \left(\frac{m_p}{m_A} \right) + 2C \right] + \frac{\alpha(m_p)}{2\pi} \left[\bar{g}(E, E_0) + \mathcal{A}_g \right] \right\} S(m_p, m_Z) . \quad (2)$$

The factor $S(m_p, m_Z)$ effectively replaces $1 + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{m_Z}{m_p} \right)$.

The expression given by Marciano and Sirlin [3] for $S(m_p, m_Z)$ involves the running QED fine structure constant $\alpha(\mu)$, which is a function of the mass scale μ . In the modified minimal subtraction scheme, it evolves according to

$$\alpha^{-1}(\mu_2) = \alpha^{-1}(\mu_1) - b_0 \ln(\mu_2/\mu_1) \quad (\mu_2 > \mu_1) , \quad (3)$$

provided b_0 is constant in the interval (μ_1, μ_2) . Also one has [8]

$$S(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \left[\alpha(\mu_2) / \alpha(\mu_1) \right]^{2/\pi b_0} . \quad (4)$$

The value of b_0 changes each time one passes through the mass of an elementary fermion (lepton or quark) or of the W^\pm :

$$b_0 = \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_f Q_f^2 \theta(\mu - m_f) - \frac{7}{2\pi} \theta(\mu - m_W) , \quad (5)$$

where at each quark mass one has to take account of the three colour degrees of freedom. Thus one has

$$\alpha^{-1}(\mu) = \alpha^{-1}(0) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_f Q_f^2 \ln \left(\frac{\mu}{m_f} \right) , \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha^{-1}(0) = \alpha^{-1} + 1/6\pi = 137.089$ [3], and an extra term $(7/2\pi) \ln(\mu/m_W)$ is added to the right side of eq. (6) if $\mu > m_W$. The sum in eq. (6) is over fermions f for which $m_f < \mu$. Also $S(m_p, m_Z)$ is obtained by multiplying factors as in eq. (4) for each subinterval in which b_0 is constant.

Marciano and Sirlin [3] in their eq. (11) give the expression for

$S(m_p, m_Z)$ when $m_b < m_t < m_W$. For the case when $m_t > m_W$, the first three factors remain the same. When $m_W < m_t < m_Z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= 40/9\pi, & m_b < \mu < m_W, \\ b_0 &= 17/18\pi, & m_W < \mu < m_t, \\ b_0 &= 11/6\pi, & m_t < \mu < m_Z, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

giving, instead of the last three factors of eq. (11) of ref.[3], the three factors

$$\left[\frac{\alpha(m_W)}{\alpha(m_b)} \right]^{9/20} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_t)}{\alpha(m_W)} \right]^{36/17} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{\alpha(m_t)} \right]^{12/11}. \quad (8)$$

When $m_t > m_Z$, b_0 remains at $17/18\pi$ up to m_Z , so that there are just two additional factors and the complete expression becomes

$$S(m_p, m_Z) = \left[\frac{\alpha(m_c)}{\alpha(m_p)} \right]^{3/4} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_\tau)}{\alpha(m_c)} \right]^{9/16} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_b)}{\alpha(m_\tau)} \right]^{9/19} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_W)}{\alpha(m_b)} \right]^{9/20} \left[\frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{\alpha(m_W)} \right]^{36/17}. \quad (9)$$

We calculate the values of $\alpha(\mu)$ using the present mass values for the charmed and bottom quarks and for the W and Z bosons : $m_c = 1.35$ GeV, $m_b = 5.0$ GeV, $m_W = 80.19$ GeV and $m_Z = 91.18$ GeV. The relative values of the $\alpha^{-1}(\mu)$ can then be obtained from eq. (6). In order to calculate absolute values of $\alpha^{-1}(\mu)$ from eq. (6), one may use effective masses of the up, down and strange quarks. The values of $\alpha^{-1}(\mu)$ given by Marciano and Sirlin [3] are obtained by taking the masses of these three light quarks as all equal to 0.07 GeV, as stated by Wilkinson [4]. This gives, in particular,

$$\alpha^{-1}(m_p) = 133.93, \quad (10)$$

which was the value used in the analyses of refs.[1, 2]. Also eq. (9) gives

$$S(m_p, m_Z) = 1.02249, \quad (11)$$

which is close to the value 1.02256 given in ref. [3] for $m_t = 40$ GeV.

The quark contribution to the last term in eq. (6) can also be obtained directly by using dispersion theory fits to experimental data on $\sigma(e\bar{e} \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$. In this way, Degraasi *et al.* [9] obtain $\alpha^{-1}(m_Z) = 127.8$ (1) using their eq. (A.2). A more recent calculation by Jegerlehner [10] on the basis of [11] gives for the 5 quark contribution at m_Z the value 0.0282 (8) instead of the number 0.02875 (90) in eq. (A.2). The uncertainty in this number reflects the latitude in fitting the data. With Jegerlehner's new value, eq. (A.2) of ref. [9] gives

$$\alpha^{-1}(m_Z) = 127.85 \text{ (11) .} \quad (12)$$

From eq. (6),[†] the corresponding values of the other $\alpha^{-1}(\mu)$ are then

$$\alpha^{-1}(m_p) = 133.82 \text{ (11)} \quad (13)$$

and $\alpha^{-1}(m_c) = 133.51$, $\alpha^{-1}(m_\tau) = 133.20$, $\alpha^{-1}(m_b) = 131.81$, $\alpha^{-1}(m_W) = 127.89$ (all with an uncertainty 0.11), leading to

$$S(m_p, m_Z) = 1.02251 \text{ (2) .} \quad (14)$$

Since this second approach avoids the use of uncertain effective masses for the light quarks, it seems preferable to the first approach. Replacement of the values of $\alpha^{-1}(m_p)$ and $S(m_p, m_Z)$ used in the analyses of refs. [1,2] by the values (13) and (14) increases the values of $|V_{ud}|^2$ by only $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ and therefore has no influence on the test of unitarity.

We are grateful to A. Sirlin for drawing our attention to ref. [9] and to F. Jegerlehner for useful discussions. One of us (F.C.B.) wishes to thank the Swiss National Foundation for financial support during his stay in Zürich.

[†] It would not be consistent to calculate the values of all the $\alpha(\mu)$ occurring in eq. (9) from Jegerlehner's values of the quark contribution for each value of μ , since such values would not satisfy eqs. (3) and (5), which are the basis for eqs. (4) and (9).

References

- [1] J.C. Hardy, I.S. Towner, V.T. Koslowsky, E. Hagberg and H. Schmeing, Nucl. Phys. A 509 (1990) 429.
- [2] W. Jaus and G. Rasche, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 166.
- [3] W.J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 22.
- [4] D.H. Wilkinson, Phys. Lett. B 241 (1990) 317.
- [5] V. Barger, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 1313.
- [6] J. Ellis and G.L. Fogli, Phys. Lett. B 249 (1990) 543.
- [7] A. Sirlin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50 (1978) 573.
- [8] K. Aoki, Z. Hioki, R. Kawabe, M. Konuma and T. Muta, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. 73 (1982) 1.
- [9] G. Degrassi, S. Fanchiotti and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B351 (1991) 49; A. Sirlin, private communication.
- [10] F. Jegerlehner, private communication.
- [11] F. Jegerlehner, Z. Phys. C 32 (1986) 195; H. Burkhardt, F. Jegerlehner, G. Penso and C. Verzegnassi, Z. Phys. C 43 (1989) 497.