

**Zeitschrift:** Helvetica Physica Acta

**Band:** 45 (1972)

**Heft:** 5

**Artikel:** On the uniqueness of the energy density in the infinite volume limit for quantum field models

**Autor:** Osterwalder, K. / Schrader, R.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114411>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 07.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# On the Uniqueness of the Energy Density in the Infinite Volume Limit for Quantum Field Models

by **K. Osterwalder**<sup>1)</sup> and  
**R. Schrader**<sup>2)</sup>

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. 02138, USA

(25. II. 72)

*Abstract.* We isolate two properties of the vacuum energy  $E_V$  (for volume  $V$ ) that are sufficient to ensure the existence and uniqueness of  $\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} E_V/V$ . The first property has been recently verified by Glimm and Jaffe for the  $P(\varphi)_2$  quantum field model. The second property is shown to hold in a simplified  $P(\varphi)_2$  model where the free field energy  $H_0$  is replaced by the number operator  $N$ .

The construction of quantum field models has progressed rapidly in the last few years [3]. Such models are obtained by starting from cut-off Hamiltonians  $H(g)$  with a space cut-off  $g$ , and by taking the infinite volume limit, i.e. the limit  $g \rightarrow 1$ .

A function  $g$  is called a space cut-off if  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g$  is of compact support. (Sometimes one requires additional smoothness properties for  $g$ .) We note that  $g_a(\cdot) \equiv g(\cdot - a)$  is also a space cut-off for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The operators  $H(g)$  are given by

$$H(g) = H_0 + \lambda H_I(g) + \text{counterterms}, \quad (1)$$

where  $H_0$  is the free Hamiltonian and  $H_I(g)$  is the interaction. The parameter  $\lambda$  is the coupling constant and is supposed to be positive. In the  $P(\varphi)_2$  models  $H(g)$  is given by

$$H_I(g) = \int : P(\varphi(x)) : g(x) dx, \quad \text{counterterms} = 0, \quad (1')$$

where  $P(\xi)$  is a polynomial of even degree with real coefficients, the coefficient of the leading term is one. The symbol  $:$  denotes Wick ordering with respect to the free vacuum. In this case the operators  $H(g)$  are known to be self-adjoint, semi-bounded linear operators in the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  of a free boson field  $\varphi(x)$  of mass  $m > 0$ .

Although a great deal is known about the theory in the infinite volume limit [1, 2], nothing is known about uniqueness. The simplest object to study is the ground state energy  $E(g)$ , the minimum eigenvalue of  $H(g)$ . Our purpose is to study its behavior when  $g$  tends to 1. (The convergence of  $E(g)/\text{vol. supp. } g$  should yield convergence of  $\omega_g$  as  $g \rightarrow 1$ .) The following theorem is general and model independent.

<sup>1)</sup> Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP 31239X.

<sup>2)</sup> Supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract F44620-70-C-0030.

We introduce some notation. For a given space cut-off  $g$  let  $V_g = \text{support of } g$ ,  $|V_g| = \text{measure of } V_g$ , and

$$V'_g = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; g(x) = 1\}, \quad V''_g = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; 0 < g(x) < 1\}.$$

Also for a given sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  of space cut-offs, we write  $V_n = V_{g_n}$ , etc. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the convex set of all space cut-off functions and let  $E(\cdot)$  be any real valued function on  $\mathcal{C}$  which is translation invariant, i.e.  $E(g_a) = E(g)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition: Property P.** We say  $E(\cdot)$  has property P if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $g, h \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $g + h \in \mathcal{C}$

$$|E(g + h) - E(h)| \leq c(|V_g| + 1).$$

Note that (P) implies the linear bound on  $|E(g)|$ , namely

$$|E(g)| \leq c(|V_g| + 1). \quad (2)$$

**Definition: Property S.** We say that  $E(\cdot)$  has property S if there exists  $\Delta_0 > 0$  and a monotonically decreasing function  $\rho: [\Delta_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x) = 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} E(g_i) \leq E\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_i\right) + \rho(\Delta) \left(\sum_{i \in I} |V_i| + 1\right),$$

for all finite families  $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \in \mathcal{C}$  where each  $V_i$  is an interval and  $\Delta = \inf \text{dist}(V_i, V_j) > \Delta_0$ .

**Remarks.** If  $E(g)$  is the ground state energy for the  $P(\varphi)_2$  Hamiltonian  $H(g)$ , given by (1) and (1') then property P is known to hold, see Glimm and Jaffe [2]. Property S has not yet been established in that case. However, we will show below that S holds for the ground state energy of a modified Hamiltonian

$$H(g) = N + \lambda \int : P(\varphi(x)) : dx, \quad (3)$$

where  $N$  is the number operator. We note that in this case  $E(g)$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $H(g)$ , satisfying the estimate (2) for some  $c > 0$ . More generally  $E(g)$  has property P [2].

For the discussion of the infinite volume limit we now make precise the way we will let the space cut-off  $g$  tend to 1.

**Definition.** A sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  of space cut-offs tends to 1 if

- a)  $V'_n$  is an interval  $[\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and
- b)  $|V_n| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|V''_n| \cdot |V_n|^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Condition a) may be weakened, but for simplicity we will work with this definition. The main result of this paper is the

**Theorem.** *Let  $E(\cdot)$  be any translation invariant real valued function on the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of all cut-offs  $g$  which has properties  $P$  and  $S$ . Then for any sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , tending to 1, the limit*

$$e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(g_n) |V_n|^{-1}$$

*exists and is independent of the special choice of the sequence.*

If  $E(g)$  is the ground state energy for a Hamiltonian  $H(g)$ , then the theorem gives sufficient conditions for the uniqueness of the energy density in the infinite volume limit. In particular  $e$  is unique if  $H(g)$  is given by (3).

**Corollary.** *Let  $e = e(\lambda)$  denote the infinite volume energy density for the ground state obtained from a  $P(\varphi)_2$  Hamiltonian or from a Hamiltonian given by (3), and assume uniqueness. Then  $e(\lambda)$  is a convex function of the coupling constant for non-negative values of  $\lambda$ .*

The corollary implies that  $e(\lambda)$  is continuous in  $\lambda$ . It would be interesting to know for the  $P(\varphi)_2$  model, where  $e(\lambda) \leq 0$ , whether  $e(\lambda) < 0$ . At least we may conclude that if  $e(\lambda) < 0$  for some  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , then  $e(\lambda) < 0$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .

To prove the corollary it is of course sufficient to show that  $E(g) = E(\lambda, g)$  is a convex function of  $\lambda$  for any space cut-off  $g$ . However, this follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g) \\ &= (\Omega(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g), \{\alpha H(\lambda_1, g) + (1 - \alpha)H(\lambda_2, g)\} \Omega(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g)) \\ &\geq \alpha E(\lambda_1, g) + (1 - \alpha)E(\lambda_2, g), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g)$  is the ground state of the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g) &= H_0 + (\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2)H_I(g), \quad \text{or} \\ H(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2, g) &= N + (\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2)H_I(g); \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of the theorem:** We use arguments familiar from discussions of the thermodynamic limit of the free energy density of continuous systems. In statistical mechanics the perturbation property  $P$  is replaced by a monotonicity property, and the subadditivity property  $S$  follows from a temperedness condition on the potential, see e.g. [4], chapter III and literature quoted there.

We start from a special sequence of space cut-offs. Denote by  $\xi_i(x)$  the characteristic function of the interval  $[-i, +i]$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then the linear bound (2) gives the existence of a subsequence  $\xi_{i_k}$ ,  $1 \leq i_k < i_{k+1}$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(\xi_{i_k}) (2i_k)^{-1} = e$  for some  $e \in [-c, c]$ . Let us denote  $\xi_{i_k}$  by  $\chi_k$  and  $[-i_k, i_k]$  by  $W_k$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $K(\epsilon)$ , such that for all  $k \geq K(\epsilon)$ ,  $c$ ,  $\Delta_0$  and  $\rho(x)$  as in (P) and (S),

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\chi_k) |W_k|^{-1} - e| &< \epsilon, \quad 2c |W_k|^{-1/2} < \epsilon, \\ 2\rho(|W_k|^{1/2}) &< \epsilon, \quad |W_k|^{1/2} \geq \Delta_0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We abbreviate  $\chi_{K(\epsilon)}$  and  $W_{K(\epsilon)}$  by  $\chi_\epsilon$  and  $W_\epsilon$  respectively. Now we take any sequence of space cut-offs  $g_n(\cdot)$  which tends to 1. We assert that

$$E(g_n) |V_n|^{-1} \rightarrow e \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6}$$

This of course implies the theorem. The proof of (6) involves two steps. The first step is to bound  $E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1}$  from below by

$$E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} - e \geq -7\epsilon. \quad (7)$$

First choose  $N(\epsilon)$  so large that for all  $n > N(\epsilon)$

$$|V'_n| > |W_\epsilon|, 2c(|V''_n| + 1)|V_n|^{-1} < \epsilon, \quad 2c|W_\epsilon||V_n|^{-1} < \epsilon. \quad (8)$$

Such an  $N(\epsilon)$  always exists since  $g_n$  tends to 1. Now we fill  $V'_n$  with translates  $W_\epsilon^i$  of  $W_\epsilon$  such that they are at a mutual distance of at least  $|W_\epsilon|^{1/2}$ . More precisely we set

$$V'_n = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^r W_\epsilon^i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} \Delta_\epsilon^i \right) \cup R_1, \quad (9)$$

where  $\Delta_\epsilon^i$  is the interval separating  $W_\epsilon^i$  from  $W_\epsilon^{i+1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ) and is supposed to be of length  $|W_\epsilon|^{1/2}$ .  $R_1$  is the 'remainder' and with a suitable choice of  $r$  we obtain

$$0 \leq |R_1| \leq |W_\epsilon| + |W_\epsilon|^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

Corresponding to (9) there is a decomposition of  $g_n$

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_\epsilon^i(x) + h(x),$$

where  $\chi_\epsilon^i$  is the characteristic function of  $W_\epsilon^i$  and  $h(x) = g(x)$  on  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} \Delta_\epsilon^i) \cup R_1 \cup V''_n$  and zero otherwise. Note that due to the definition of  $\Delta_\epsilon$  and due to (10), the measure of the support of  $h$  is smaller than  $r|W_\epsilon|^{1/2} + |W_\epsilon| + |V''_n|$ . Thus we get using property  $P$

$$\begin{aligned} E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} &\geq E\left(\sum_1^r \chi_\epsilon^i\right)|V_n|^{-1} - c(r|W_\epsilon|^{1/2} + |W_\epsilon| + |V''_n| + 1)|V_n|^{-1} \\ &\geq E\left(\sum_1^r \chi_\epsilon^i\right)(r|W_\epsilon|)^{-1} - \left|E\left(\sum_1^r \chi_\epsilon^i\right)\right|[(r|W_\epsilon|)^{-1} - |V_n|^{-1}] \\ &\quad - c(r|W_\epsilon|^{1/2} + |W_\epsilon| + |V''_n| + 1)|V_n|^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, using property  $P$  together with (5), (8) and (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|E\left(\sum_1^r \chi_\epsilon^i\right)\right| [r|W_\epsilon|^{-1} - |V_n|^{-1}] &\leq 2cr|W_\epsilon|(|V_n| - r|W_\epsilon|)(r|W_\epsilon||V_n|)^{-1} \\ &\leq 2c(r|W_\epsilon|^{1/2} + |W_\epsilon| + |V''_n|)|V_n|^{-1} \\ &\leq 3\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Inserting (12) into (11) and using property  $S$ , (5) and the translation invariance  $E(\cdot)$  we get

$$E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} \geq E(\chi_\epsilon)|W_\epsilon|^{-1} - 6\epsilon \geq e - 7\epsilon,$$

which implies (7).

The second step is to bound  $E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1}$  from above by

$$8\epsilon \geq E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} - e. \quad (13)$$

Take any  $n > N(\epsilon)$  and keep it fixed. Then pick a  $k > K(\epsilon)$  (see (5)), such that

$$W_k = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l V_n^i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} \Delta_n^i \right) \cup R_2, \quad (14)$$

with  $l > 2c\epsilon^{-1}$ .  $V_n^i$  are intervals of length  $|V_n|$ ,  $V_n^i$  being separated from  $V_n^{i+1}$  by the interval  $\Delta_n^i$  of length  $|V_n|^{1/2}$ . The remainder  $R_2$  is estimated by  $0 \leq |R_2| \leq |V_n| + |V_n|^{1/2}$ . The decomposition (14) and the same sequence of arguments as above leads to the following chain of inequalities ( $\chi_n^i$  denotes the characteristic function of  $V_n^i$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} E(\chi_k)|W_k|^{-1} &\geq E\left(\sum_1^l \chi_n^i\right)|W_k|^{-1} - 2c(l|V_n|^{1/2} + |V_n|)(l|V_n|)^{-1} \\ &\geq E\left(\sum_1^l \chi_n^i\right)(l|V_n|)^{-1} - \left|E\left(\sum_1^l \chi_n^i\right)\right|[(l|V_n|)^{-1} - |W_k|^{-1}] \\ &\quad - 2c(l|V_n|^{1/2} + |V_n|)(l|V_n|)^{-1} \\ &\geq E(\chi_n^i)|V_n|^{-1} - 6\epsilon \\ &\geq E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} - c(|V_n''| + 1) - 6\epsilon \\ &\geq E(g_n)|V_n|^{-1} - 7\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and (13) follows after another application of (5).

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, inequalities (7) and (13) prove the relation (6) and hence the theorem.

The remaining part of this work will be devoted to the proof that the ground state energy for the Hamiltonian given by (3) satisfies property S. More precisely we have the

**Proposition.** *The ground state energy  $E(g)$  for the Hamiltonian  $H(g)$  defined by (3) satisfies S with  $\Delta_0 = 3$  and*

$$\rho(\Delta) = c_1 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{4}\Delta\right)$$

for some  $c_1 < \infty$ , where  $m$  is the mass of the free boson.

For the proof we use certain localization projection operators in the one particle space which have been introduced by B. Simon [5]. We recall the definition: Let the one particle space be described by  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . For any interval  $J = [\alpha, \beta]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < \infty$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_J$  be the closure of the linear subspace consisting of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , such that  $\text{supp } \mu_x^{1/2} f$  is a compact subset of  $J$ . The operator  $\mu_x^{1/2}$  is multiplication by  $\mu^{1/2}(p) = (m^2 + p^2)^{1/4}$  in the Fourier transform space, i.e.  $\widehat{\mu_x^{1/2} f}(p) = \mu^{1/2}(p) \widehat{f}(p)$ , where  $\sim$  denotes the Fourier transform. Let  $P_J$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_J$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_J^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{H}_J$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_J, \mathcal{F}_{J^\perp}$  the Fock spaces built with the one particle spaces  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_J$  and  $\mathcal{H}_J^\perp$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_J \times \mathcal{F}_{J^\perp}$  and  $H_I(g) = H_I(g)|_{\mathcal{F}_J \times 1}$  if  $\text{supp } g \subset J$ . Likewise  $d\Gamma(P_J) = N|_{\mathcal{F}_J \times 1}$ , where  $d\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the second quantization of a one particle operator. Note that  $N = d\Gamma(1)$ . We improve Theorem III.1 in [5] to yield the

Lemma. *There exists  $c_2 < \infty$  such that for any finite set  $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$  of intervals with  $\Delta = \inf_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(J_i, J_j) \geq 3$*

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} P_{J_i} \right\| \leq 1 + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2} \Delta\right).$$

The proof of the proposition is now straightforward. Let  $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$  be as in (S) and set  $P_i = P_{V_{g_i}}$ . Then

$$E(g_i) = \inf \text{spec } H(g_i) \leq \inf \text{spec } (d\Gamma(P_i) + \lambda H_I(g_i)) \leq d\Gamma(P_i) + \lambda H_I(g_i).$$

Since biquantization preserves positivity, summing yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} E(g_i) &\leq d\Gamma\left(\mathbb{1}\left(1 + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2} \Delta\right)\right)\right) + \lambda H_I\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_i\right) \\ &= H\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_i\right) + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2} \Delta\right) N. \end{aligned}$$

In particular if we take the expectation values in the ground state  $\Omega$  of  $\sum_{i \in I} g_i$  of  $H(\sum_{i \in I} g_i)$ , then

$$\sum_{i \in I} E(g_i) \leq E\left(\sum_{i \in I} g_i\right) + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2} \Delta\right) (\Omega_{\sum g_i}, N \Omega_{\sum g_i}). \quad (15)$$

To estimate the error term in (15), we write [1]

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega_{\sum g_i}, N \Omega_{\sum g_i}) &= (\Omega_{\sum g_i}, 2H(\sum g_i) - H(2\sum g_i) \Omega_{\sum g_i}) \\ &\leq c' \left( \sum_{i \in I} |V_{g_i}| + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (2) and the prime on  $c'$  indicates that we have taken (2) for the coupling constant  $\lambda' = 2\lambda$ . This proves the proposition.

*Proof of the lemma:* For convenience we assume that  $I$  is the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |I|\}$  and that the interval  $J_{i+1}$  lies to the right of  $J_i$ . We complete the set  $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$  to an infinite set of intervals  $\{J_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , such that we still have  $\Delta = \inf_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(J_i, J_j) \geq 3$ , with  $J_{i+1}$  to the right of  $J_i$ . Then

$$\Delta_{ij} = \text{dist}(J_i, J_j) \geq |i - j| \Delta.$$

It has been shown by B. Simon ([5], Theorem A.2) that if  $\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a family of projections on a Hilbert space and if  $d_{ij} = \|P_i P_j\|$  is the matrix of a bounded operator  $D$  on  $l_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\|\sum_{i=-n}^{n'} P_i\| \leq \|D\|$  for all  $n, n'$ . We set  $P_i = P_{J_i}$  and prove the lemma by estimating first  $d_{ij} = \|P_i P_j\|$  and then  $\|D\|$ . We assert that there is a constant  $c_3$ , independent of  $J_i$  or  $J_j$ , such that

$$d_{ij} = \|P_i P_j\| \leq c_3 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2} |i - j| \Delta\right). \quad (16)$$

Inequality (16) of course follows if we can prove that for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$|(\varphi, P_i P_j \psi)| \leq c_3 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}|i-j|\Delta\right) \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|. \quad (17)$$

In order to use the support properties of  $\mu_x^{1/2} P_i \varphi$ , we pick  $C_0^\infty$  functions  $\rho_i$  which are equal to 1 on  $J_i$ , 0 at points whose distance from  $J_i$  is larger than 1 and  $0 \leq \rho_i(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote the support of  $\rho_i$  by  $\hat{J}_i$ . Furthermore we require that  $\rho_i'(x)$  is of the form  $\xi(-x + \alpha_i) - \xi(x - \beta_i)$  for all  $i$ , where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are the endpoints of the interval  $J_i$  and  $\xi \in C_0^\infty([0, 1])$  is independent of  $i$ . Then there is a constant  $\sigma$ , such that

$$\sup_x |\rho_i^\#(x)| \leq \sigma,$$

where  $\rho_i^\#$  stands for  $\rho_i$ ,  $\rho_i'$  or  $\rho_i''$ . Note that  $x \in \hat{J}_i$ ,  $y \in J_j$  and  $i \neq j$  imply  $|x - y| \geq 1$ , by the assumption of the lemma.

Now we write

$$(P_i \varphi, P_j \psi) = (\mu_x^{-1/2} \rho_i \mu_x^{1/2} P_i \varphi, \mu_x^{-1/2} \rho_j \mu_x^{1/2} P_j \psi) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4,$$

$$A_1 = (\mu_x^{3/2} \rho_i \mu_x^{-3/2} P_i \varphi, \mu_x^{3/2} \rho_j \mu_x^{-3/2} P_j \psi),$$

$$A_2 = (\mu_x^{3/2} \rho_i \mu_x^{-3/2} P_i \varphi, \mu_x^{-1/2} [\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2} P_j \psi),$$

$$A_3 = (\mu_x^{-1/2} [\rho_i, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2} P_i \varphi, \mu_x^{3/2} \rho_j \mu_x^{-3/2} P_j \psi),$$

$$A_4 = (\mu_x^{-1/2} [\rho_i, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2} P_i \varphi, \mu_x^{-1/2} [\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2} P_j \psi).$$

Note that the operator  $\rho_i$  is multiplication by  $\rho_i(x)$ . Each of the four terms  $A_k$  will be estimated separately

$$|A_1| \leq \|\rho_i \mu_x^3 \rho_j\| \|\mu_x^{-3/2}\|^2 \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|,$$

$$|A_2| \leq \|\rho_i \mu_x [\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \|\mu_x^{-3/2}\| \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|,$$

$$|A_3| \leq \|\rho_j \mu_x [\rho_i, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \|\mu_x^{-3/2}\| \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|,$$

$$|A_4| \leq \|\mu_x^{-3/2} [\rho_i, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-1} [\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|. \quad (18)$$

In order to establish (17) we have to estimate the operator norms occurring on the right-hand side of the inequalities (18).

The operator  $\mu_x^\tau$  is multiplication by  $\mu^\tau(p)$  in Fourier transform space and therefore convolution with  $\mu_x^\tau(x) = \int e^{ipx} \mu^\tau(p) dp$  in  $x$ -space. For  $\tau \neq$  even integer  $\mu_x^\tau(x)$  is a smooth function for  $x \neq 0$  which decreases exponentially at infinity. More precisely for all real  $\tau$ ,  $\tau/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there is a constant  $\gamma_\tau$  such that for  $|x| \geq 1$ ,  $\mu_x^\tau(x)$  is  $C^\infty$  and

$$|\mu_x^\tau(x)| < \gamma_\tau \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}|x|\right). \quad (19)$$

This follows easily from an integral representation of  $\mu_x^\tau(x)$  for  $\tau < 0$  (see e.g. [6], p. 185) and the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_x^{2n+\tau}(x) &= \int \mu_x^{2n}(x-y) \mu_x^\tau(y) dy \\ &= \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + m^2 \right)^n \mu_x^\tau(x).\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

In (20) we have used the fact that  $\mu_x^2$  is the differential operator  $[-(d^2/dx^2) + m^2]$ . This also makes it possible to compute the commutator of  $\mu_x^2$  and  $\rho_i$ , namely

$$[\rho_i, \mu_x^2] = \rho_i'' + 2\rho_i' \partial_x = -\rho_i'' + 2\partial_x \rho_i', \quad (21)$$

where  $\partial_x \rho_i'$  is the product of the operators  $\partial_x = d/dx$  and  $\rho_i'$ .

Now we are prepared to estimate the operator norms occurring in (18). Obviously  $\|\mu_x^{-3/2}\| = m^{-3/2}$ . Denoting by  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$  the Hilbert Schmidt norm we get for  $\rho_j^\# = \rho_j$  or  $\rho_j'$  or  $\rho_j''$ , and  $\tau/2 \notin Z^+$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_i \mu_x^\tau \rho_j^\#\|^2 &\leq \|\rho_i \mu_x^\tau \rho_j^\#\|_{HS}^2 \\ &= \int |\rho_i(y) \mu_x^\tau(y-x) \rho_j^\#(x)|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \gamma_\tau^2 \sigma^2 \int_{\substack{y \in \hat{J}_i \\ x \in \hat{J}_j}} \exp(-m|x-y|) dx dy \\ &\leq \text{const. exp}(-m\Delta_{ij}) \\ &\leq \text{const. exp}(-m|i-j|\Delta).\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_i \mu_x[\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2}\| &\leq \|\rho_i \mu_x \rho_j'' \mu_x^{-3/2}\| + 2\|\rho_i \mu_x \rho_j' \partial_x \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \\ &\leq m^{-3/2} \|\rho_i \mu_x \rho_j''\| + 2\|\rho_i \mu_x \rho_j'\| \|\partial_x \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \\ &\leq \text{const. exp}\left(-\frac{m}{2}|i-j|\Delta\right),\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where we have used (22). Note that  $\partial_x \mu_x^{-3/2}$  is a bounded operator because it is multiplication by the bounded function  $ip\mu^{-3/2}(p)$  in Fourier transform space. Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu_x^{-3/2}[\rho_i, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-1}[\rho_j, \mu_x^2] \mu_x^{-3/2}\| &\leq m^{-3} \|\rho_i'' \mu_x^{-1} \rho_j''\| \\ &\quad + 2m^{-3/2} (\|\rho_i'' \mu_x^{-1} \rho_j'\| + \|\rho_i' \mu_x^{-1} \rho_j''\|) \|\partial_x \mu_x^{-3/2}\| \\ &\quad + 4\|\rho_i' \mu_x^{-1} \rho_j'\| \|\partial_x \mu_x^{-3/2}\|^2 \\ &\leq \text{const. exp}\left(-\frac{m}{2}|i-j|\Delta\right).\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

Combining the estimates (22), (23) and (24) with (18) we prove (17) and hence (16).

Finally we have to estimate  $\|D\|$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $B^{(r)}$  be the bounded operator on  $l_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which is given by the matrix

$$b_{ij}^{(r)} = \begin{cases} d_{ij} & \text{if } i - j = r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously

$$\|B^{(r)}\| \leq \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{i, i-r}| \leq c_3 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}|r|\Delta\right),$$

by (16) and

$$B^{(0)} = 1, \text{ since } \|P_i^2\| = \|P_i\| = 1.$$

On the other hand we have  $D = \sum_r B^{(r)}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\| &\leq \sum_r \|B^{(r)}\| \leq 1 + 2c_3 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}r\Delta\right) \\ &\leq 1 + c_2 \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\Delta\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

### Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Professors J. Glimm and A. Jaffe for making a version of [2] available to us prior to its publication and to Professor A. Jaffe for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. GLIMM and A. JAFFE, *The  $\lambda(\varphi^4)_2$  Quantum Field Theory Without Cutoffs, III: The Physical Vacuum*, Acta math. 125, 203–267 (1970).
- [2] J. GLIMM and A. JAFFE, *The  $\lambda(\varphi^4)_2$  Quantum Field Theory Without Cutoffs, IV: Perturbations of the Hamiltonian*, in preparation.
- [3] J. GLIMM and A. JAFFE, *Les Houches Lectures 1970* (Ed. C. DeWitt and R. Stora; Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971).
- [4] D. RUELE, *Statistical Mechanics* (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- [5] B. SIMON, *On the Glimm-Jaffe Linear Lower Bound in  $P(\varphi)_2$  Field Theories* (Princeton, 1971), preprint.
- [6] G. WATSON, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions* (Cambridge, 1944).