

Zeitschrift: Helvetica Physica Acta
Band: 42 (1969)
Heft: 4

Artikel: An expression for relative strength of chiral and SU(3) breaking interactions
Autor: Acharya, R.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-114084>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 07.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

An Expression for Relative Strength of Chiral and SU(3) Breaking Interactions¹⁾

by **R. Acharya**

Institut für theoretische Physik der Universität Bern, Bern (Switzerland)

(17. XII. 68)

Abstract. An explicit expression is derived as a function of π , K , κ , K^* and K_A masses for the parameter $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ which measures the strength of SU(3) breaking interaction relative to chiral symmetry breaking. The departure of the numerical value of this parameter from its SU(2) \otimes SU(2) symmetric value is determined and the result is compared with the estimate given by GELL-MANN, OAKES and RENNER.

In a recent paper, GELL-MANN, OAKES and RENNER [1] have investigated the behaviour under SU(3) \otimes SU(3) of the hadron energy density and the related question of the transformation properties of the axial vector and the strangeness changing vector currents under the same group. This investigation is similar in spirit to but somewhat different in its approach from the earlier work of GLASHOW and WEINBERG [2] on broken chiral symmetry. The various commutators of charges and current divergences are derived in terms of a single constant $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ which describes the strength of the SU(3) breaking interaction relative to the chiral symmetry breaking interaction. The authors of Ref. [1] find that the constant is *not* small as was originally suggested by GELL-MANN [3] but instead close to the value $(-\sqrt{2})$ corresponding to an exact SU(2) \otimes SU(2) symmetry and massless pions.

In the present note, we shall work within the same framework of SU(3) \otimes SU(3) to derive an explicit expression for $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ in terms of the π , K , κ , K^* and K_A masses. During the course of this derivation, we shall utilize a modified form of the second WEINBERG sum rule for strangeness changing currents [4]. This sum rule has proved to be quite effective in yielding a fairly trustworthy prediction of the mass of the kappa meson [5].

We begin by defining

$$H^{00}(x) = \bar{H}^{00}(x) + \varepsilon_0 S_0(x) + \varepsilon_8 S_8(x) \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{H}^{00}(x)$ is that part of the hadron energy density which is invariant under SU(3) \otimes SU(3) and the second and the third terms in equation (1) break this symmetry; under SU(3), the two terms transform as a singlet and an octet respectively.

The non-vanishing vacuum expectation values

$$\lambda_0 = \langle 0 | S_0(0) | 0 \rangle \quad (2)$$

¹⁾ This work was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

and

$$\lambda_8 = \langle 0 | S_8(0) | 0 \rangle \quad (3)$$

introduce symmetry breaking into the picture,

It is then possible to derive the following three equations which relate the symmetry breaking parameters ε_0 , ε_8 , λ_0 and λ_8 [6]:

$$\varepsilon_0 \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\mu^2 \left\{ \varrho^\pi(\mu^2) + 2 \varrho^K(\mu^2) - \frac{2}{3} \varrho^\kappa(\mu^2) \right\} \mu^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\varepsilon_0 \lambda_8 + \varepsilon_8 \lambda_0 = \sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty d\mu^2 \left\{ \varrho^\pi(\mu^2) - \varrho^K(\mu^2) - \frac{1}{3} \varrho^\kappa(\mu^2) \right\} \mu^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\varepsilon_8 \lambda_8 = \frac{4}{3} \int_0^\infty d\mu^2 \varrho^\kappa(\mu^2) \mu^2 \quad (6)$$

where $\varrho^\pi(\mu^2)$ etc. stand for usual spin zero spectral functions.

In what follows, we shall assume the PCAC (PCVC) assumption in its strong form:

$$\varrho^{\pi, K, \kappa}(\mu^2) = F_{\pi, K, \kappa}^2 \delta(\mu^2 - m_{\pi, K, \kappa}^2) \quad (7)$$

where F_π etc. are defined by the one-particle matrix element in the standard fashion.

Equations (4), (5), (6) and (7) give

$$a \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_8} + b \frac{\varepsilon_8}{\varepsilon_0} = c \quad (8)$$

with

$$a = \frac{4}{3} m_\kappa^2 F_\kappa^2 \quad (9)$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_\pi^2 F_\pi^2 + 2 m_K^2 F_K^2 - \frac{2}{3} m_\kappa^2 F_\kappa^2 \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$c = \sqrt{2} \left\{ m_\pi^2 F_\pi^2 - m_K^2 F_K^2 - \frac{1}{3} m_\kappa^2 F_\kappa^2 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Solving equation (8) for $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$, we obtain

$$\frac{\varepsilon_8}{\varepsilon_0} = \frac{c \pm \sqrt{c^2 - 4ab}}{2b}. \quad (12)$$

The relation

$$F_K^2 = F_\pi^2 \quad (13)$$

which, incidentally, holds to all orders in SU(3) breaking interaction under the pole dominance hypothesis of spin one and spin zero spectral functions [7], enables us to rewrite equation (12) as follows:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_8}{\varepsilon_0} = -\sqrt{2} \left\{ \frac{(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2) (F_\pi^2/F_\kappa^2) + (1/3) m_\kappa^2 \mp \sqrt{m_\kappa^4 - 2(m_K^2 + m_\pi^2) m_\kappa^2 (F_\pi^2/F_\kappa^2) + (m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)^2 (F_\pi^4/F_\kappa^4)}}{(m_\pi^2 + 2 m_K^2) (F_\pi^2/F_\kappa^2) - (2/3) m_\kappa^2} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

We observe that in the limit $m_\pi \rightarrow 0$, equation (14) reduces to the following expression:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_8}{\varepsilon_0} \xrightarrow{m_\pi \rightarrow 0} -\sqrt{2} \left\{ \frac{(m_K^2 (F_\pi^2/F_\pi^2) + (1/3) m_\pi^2) \mp (m_\pi^2 - m_K^2 (F_\pi^2/F_\pi^2))}{2 m_K^2 (F_\pi^2/F_\pi^2) - (2/3) m_\pi^2} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

If we choose the upper (minus) sign in equation (15), then $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ tends smoothly to its $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ value of $(-\sqrt{2})$ as m_π goes to zero. If we, instead, choose the lower (plus) sign, then

$$\frac{\varepsilon_8}{\varepsilon_0} \xrightarrow{m_\pi \rightarrow 0} -\sqrt{2} \left[\frac{(4/3) m_\pi^2}{2 m_K^2 (F_\pi^2/F_\pi^2) - (2/3) m_\pi^2} \right]. \quad (16)$$

The solution displayed in equation (16) will tend to the $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ limit provided

$$m_\pi^2 = m_K^2 \frac{F_\pi^2}{F_\pi^2}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) is precisely the relation that one obtains from considerations based on the 'super' validity of asymptotic symmetry²⁾. However, as has been pointed out in [4], the assumption of the super validity of asymptotic $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry for strangeness changing currents is *not* compatible with experiment. In view of this situation, we shall reject equation (16) and hence the plus sign in equation (14),

The ratio F_π^2/F_π^2 may be determined as a function of the masses by appealing to the modified form of the second WEINBERG sum rule introduced in [4]:

$$\frac{F_\pi^2}{F_\pi^2} = \frac{m_\pi^2 - m_{K^*}^2}{m_{K_A}^2 - 2 m_{K^*}^2 + m_K^2}. \quad (18)$$

We, now, eliminate F_π^2/F_π^2 from equations (14) and (18) to obtain the desired final result. *We observe that the parameter $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ is expressed solely in terms of the masses.*

We take the values $m_\pi = 140$, $m_K = 490$, $m_{K^*} = 890$, $m_{K_A} = 1250$ and $m_\pi = 1130$ (all masses in Mev) into consideration to get

$$\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0 \approx -1.56. \quad (19)$$

This estimate is somewhat higher (about 25%) than the one given in [1]³⁾. However, it is appropriate to remark here that equation (14) implies quite generally that $-\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0 > \sqrt{2}$ provided the kappa meson mass satisfies the following inequality:

$$m_\pi^2 < \frac{3}{2} (m_\pi^2 + 2 m_K^2) \frac{F_\pi^2}{F_\pi^2}. \quad (20)$$

This inequality is, indeed, satisfied with the above-mentioned choice of the masses. *An accurate experimental determination of the kappa and K_A masses is called for before one can pin down the ratio $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0$ more precisely.*

²⁾ The 'super' validity of asymptotic symmetry asserts that $q^2 F(q^2)$ and $q^4 G(q^2)$ both vanish as $q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ where $\Delta_{K^*}^{\mu\nu}(q) - \Delta_{K_A}^{\mu\nu}(q) = F(q^2) \delta_{\mu\nu} + G(q^2) q_\mu q_\nu$; for details see Reference [4].

³⁾ The authors of Reference [1] find that $\varepsilon_8/\varepsilon_0 \approx -1.25$.

Acknowledgement

It is a great pleasure to express my sincere appreciation to Prof. A. MERCIER, Prof. H. LEUTWYLER and Dr. H. BEBIÉ for giving me the opportunity to spend the academic year 1968–1969 at the University of Berne.

References

- [1] M. GELL-MANN, R. OAKES and B. RENNER, Phys. Rev. (to be published).
- [2] S. GLASHOW and S. WEINBERG, Phys. Rev. Lett. *20*, 224 (1968).
- [3] M. GELL-MANN, Phys. Rev. *125*, 1067 (1962).
- [4] R. ACHARYA, *Mass of Kappa Meson from Spectral Function Sum Rules*, University of Berne preprint, September 1968, to be published in Nucl. Phys.
- [5] T. TRIPPE, C. CHIEN, E. MALAMUD, J. MELLEMA, P. SCHLEIN, W. SLATER, D. STORK and H. TICHO, Phys. Lett. *28B*, 203 (1968).
- [6] R. PERRIN, Phys. Rev. *172*, 1675 (1968); see also R. GATTO, G. SARTORI and M. TONIN, Phys. Lett. *28B*, 128 (1968).
- [7] R. ACHARYA and H. H. ALY, Phys. Lett. *27B*, 166 (1968).