

Zeitschrift: Helvetica Physica Acta
Band: 41 (1968)
Heft: 6-7

Artikel: Localized versus band model of electrons in solids
Autor: Fröhlich, H.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-113936>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 01.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

- [10] S. J. CHO, Phys. Rev. 157, 632 (1967).
- [11] J. C. SLATER, J. appl. Phys. 39, 761 (1968).
- [12] S. METHFESSEL, Z. angew. Phys. 18, 414 (1965).
- [13] J. C. SUITS and B. E. ARGYLE, J. appl. Phys. 36, 1251 (1965).
- [14] J. C. SUITS, B. E. ARGYLE and M. J. FREISER, J. appl. Phys. 37, 1391 (1966).
- [15] S. METHFESSEL, F. HOLTZBERG and T. R. McGuire, IEEE Trans. Mag. 2, 305 (1966).
- [16] S. METHFESSEL, J. J. FREISER, G. D. PETTIT and J. C. SUITS, J. appl. Phys. 38, 1500 (1967).
- [17] F. HOLTZBERG, T. R. McGuire and S. METHFESSEL, J. appl. Phys. 37, 976 (1966).
- [18] F. C. JOHODA, Phys. Rev. 107, 1261 (1957).
- [19] R. J. ZOLLWEG, Phys. Rev. 111, 113 (1958).
- [20] G. A. SAUM and E. B. HENSLEY, Phys. Rev. 113, 1019 (1959).
- [21] A. YANASE and T. KASUYA, J. appl. Phys. 39, 430 (1968).
- [22] A. YANASE and T. KASUYA, J. Phys. Soc. Japan (to be published).
- [23] R. O. KNOX, *Theory of Excitons* (Academic Press, New York 1963), p. 152 et seq.
- [24] M. J. FREISER, S. METHFESSEL and F. HOLTZBERG, J. appl. Phys. 39, 900 (1968).
- [25] J. C. SUITS, J. appl. Phys. 38, 1498 (1967).
- [26] B. E. ARGYLE, M. MIYATA and T. D. SCHULTZ, Phys. Rev. 160, 413 (1967).
- [27] S. FREED and S. KATCOFF, Physica 14, 17 (1948).
- [28] F. D. S. BUTEMENT, Trans. Faraday Soc. 44, 617 (1948).
- [29] P. P. FEOFILOV, Opt. Spect. 1, 992 (1956).
- [30] W. LOW, Nuovo Cim. 17, 607 (1960).
- [31] W. KAISER, C. G. B. GARETT and D. L. WOOD, Phys. Rev. 123, 766 (1961).
- [32] A. KAPLYANSKII and P. FEOFILOV, Opt. Spect. 12, 272 (1962); 13, 129 (1962).
- [33] P. P. SOROKIN, M. J. STEVENSON, J. R. LANKARD and G. D. PETTIT, Phys. Rev. 127, 503 (1962).
- [34] R. REISFELD and A. GLASNER, J. opt. Soc. Am. 54, 331 (1964).
- [35] D. L. WOOD and W. KAISER, Phys. Rev. 126, 2079 (1962).
- [36] Z. KISS, Phys. Rev. 127, 718 (1962).
- [37] R. REISFELD and A. GLASNER, J. opt. Soc. Am. 54, 331 (1964).
- [38] G. B. GOODENOUGH, *Magnetism and the Chemical Bond* (J. Wiley, New York 1963).
- [39] J. SMIT, J. appl. Phys. 37, 1455 (1966).
- [40] J. D. AXE and G. D. PETTIT, J. chem. Phys. Solids 27, 261 (1966); and private communication.

Localized Versus Band Model of Electrons in Solids

by **H. Fröhlich**

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Liverpool

(29. IV. 68)

The sixtieth birthday of Georg Busch is a welcome opportunity for discussing certain electronic properties which in the current theoretical literature are frequently treated wrongly. I refer to the so-called 'small' polaron – an electron in a polar lattice in which its interaction with the lattice displacements is so large that its properties are very different from those of an electron in a substance in which the band model holds. Conditions for such possibilities were first mentioned by myself [1] in 1957; reference to some of the frequently occurring mistakes are found in Reference [2].

Under the circumstances in question, an electron is best described as localized around an ion with a certain chance of jumping to a neighbour. Such a state is de-

scribed by a function φ_j which depends not only on the coordinates of the electron but also on those of the ions and it describes their displacement when the electron sits at a particular one, say near the lattice point a_j (cf. § 2 of Reference [2]). Crystal symmetry implies that a pure state is obtained from a linear superposition of localized states leading to a band state ψ_k

$$\psi_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j \varphi_j e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot a_j}; \quad \varphi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k \psi_k e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot a_j}. \quad (1)$$

N is the number of sites. The ψ_k and φ_j form two orthogonal sets of functions.

In a many-body problem a wave function Φ of the whole system can be written as

$$\Phi = \sum_k b_k \psi_k = \sum_j c_j \varphi_j \quad (2)$$

where the b_k and c_j are Fermi operators and

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j c_j e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot a_j}. \quad (3)$$

The expectation values

$$f(\mathbf{k}) = \langle b_k^\dagger b_k \rangle; \quad g(a_j) = \langle c_j^\dagger c_j \rangle \quad (4)$$

represent the distribution over wave vectors \mathbf{k} (band model) or sites a_j (localized model) respectively. These distribution functions $f(\mathbf{k})$ or $g(a_j)$ do not characterise the system sufficiently to work out the transport properties. Thus if the band model holds then clearly a correlation between the occupation of sites exists while different \mathbf{k} -occupations are not correlated in lowest order,

$$\langle b_k^\dagger b_q \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{q}, \quad \text{band model.} \quad (5)$$

For the hopping model, based on localized states on the other hand, the correlation between occupation of sites is absent,

$$\langle c_j^\dagger c_l \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{l}, \quad \text{localized model.} \quad (6)$$

But then using (3) and (6)

$$\langle b_k^\dagger b_q \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \langle c_j^\dagger c_j \rangle e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \cdot a_j} \neq 0, \quad (7)$$

except in thermal equilibrium, so that a $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}$ correlation exists in contrast to the band model. Clearly when this latter holds then many of the standard transport formulae of the band model break down. Conditions for this breakdown are probably connected with the strength of interaction with the surrounding heat bath (thermal phonons, other electrons). When this breakdown has taken place then the normal band model formulae would lead to extremely small mean free path. These formulae are not valid, however, because they usually contradict relation (7), a feature which is frequently overlooked.

References

- [1] H. FRÖHLICH, Arch. Sci. 10, 5 (1957).
- [2] H. FRÖHLICH, S. MACHLUP and T. K. MITRA, Phys. kondens. Mat. 1, 359 (1963).