

**Zeitschrift:** Helvetica Physica Acta  
**Band:** 39 (1966)  
**Heft:** 1

**Artikel:** Generalized and reducible free fields in Fock space  
**Autor:** Streit, L.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-113675>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 04.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# Generalized and Reducible Free Fields in Fock Space

by **L. Streit**

Seminar für Theoretische Physik

E. T. H. Zürich

(26. XI. 65)

*Summary:* In the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  generated from the vacuum by a mass  $m$ , scalar free field not all the free fields are irreducible (and hence equivalent). We construct the reducible and the generalized covariant free fields in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  and discuss some of their properties.

## § 1. Introduction

In a future quantum theory of local interacting fields one would expect the latter to operate in the Fock space of asymptotic states [1]<sup>1)</sup> and to transform like the asymptotic free fields under the unitary representation  $U(a, \lambda)$  of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group<sup>2)</sup>.

Restricting our interest to the case of only one kind of particles (mass  $m$ , spin zero) we have in  $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  irreducible free fields  $A(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\square + m^2) A(x) &= 0, & [A(x), A(y)] &= i \Delta(m, x - y), \\ U(a, \lambda) A(x) U^+(a, \lambda) &= A(\lambda x + a), & & (1a, b, c) \\ [C, A(x)] &= 0 \Rightarrow C = \alpha \mathbf{1}. & & (2) \end{aligned}$$

For any two of them there is a unitary operator in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  which transforms one into the other and commutes with  $U(a, \lambda)$  [3].

The local functions [4] of  $A(x)$  (Borchers classes [5]) are further examples of local covariant fields in Fock space. In this paper we shall consider the following two questions:

(1) Are the equations (1) sufficient to characterize the irreducible free fields in the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  (of identical mass  $m$ , spin zero particles)? Or are there also fields  $\phi(x)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  obeying equations (1) like  $A(x)$  which are reducible and do not generate  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  from the vacuum?

(2) Are there generalized free fields in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$ ?

All such fields would lie in Borchers classes different from those of the irreducible free fields. – The second question is of a certain interest in connection with models where use is made of 'Greenberg fields' for the description of resonances or more general scattering states [6].

In §2 reducible and generalized free fields in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  are constructed explicitly, in §3 we will show this construction to be exhaustive, §4 serves to summarize the results.

<sup>1)</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to References, page 70.

<sup>2)</sup> For examples of local fields whose automorphisms  $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(\lambda x + \alpha)$  are not implemented by unitary operators cf. ref. 2.

## § 2. Construction of Reducible and Generalized Free Fields in the Fock Space $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$

*Theorem 1:* Let  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  be the Fock space of identical, mass  $m$ , spin zero particles with the unitary representation  $U(a, \lambda)$  of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group; and let

$$\varrho_A(\kappa) = c \delta(\kappa - m) + \Theta(\kappa - 3m) \varrho_A(\kappa), \quad (A)$$

or 
$$\varrho_B(\kappa) = \Theta(\kappa - 2m) \varrho_B(\kappa), \quad (B)$$

with  $0 < c < \infty$  and non-negative integrable functions

$$\Theta(\kappa - 3m) \varrho_A(\kappa), \Theta(\kappa - 2m) \varrho_B(\kappa).$$

Then there are in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  (generalized) free fields  $\phi_A(x), \phi_B(x)$  with<sup>3)</sup>

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = i \Delta'(x - y) = i \int d\kappa \varrho(\kappa) \Delta(\kappa, x - y), \quad (3)$$

$$U(a, \lambda) \phi(x) U^+(a, \lambda) = \phi(\lambda x + a). \quad (4)$$

$\phi_B$  is always reducible,  $\phi_A$  is irreducible or reducible depending on its construction; in the latter case with

$$\varrho_A(\kappa) = \delta(\kappa - m)$$

it is a reducible free field.

*Proof:* Let  $\varphi(x)$  with the commutation relations (3), (A) or (B) be a Greenberg field in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1$  it generates cyclically from the vacuum [7]. The representation

$$U_1(a, \lambda) \varphi(x) U_1^+(a, \lambda) = \varphi(\lambda x + a) \quad (5)$$

of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is isomorphic to

$$1 \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus 1 \cdot \int_0^\infty \oplus d\kappa \varrho(\kappa) [\kappa, 0] \oplus \int_{2M}^\infty \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^\infty \oplus v(\kappa, l) \cdot [\kappa, l] \oplus \infty \cdot \int_{3M}^\infty \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^\infty \oplus [\kappa, l]. \quad (6)$$

$[\kappa, l]$  denotes the irreducible representations (mass  $\kappa$ , angular momentum  $l$ ) of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group [8]

$$M = \underline{\text{fin}} \text{ supp } \varrho(\kappa),$$

$$\text{i.e. } M_A = m, \quad M_B \geq 2m$$

and the multiplicities

$$v_A(\kappa, l) = \delta_{l, 2n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad v_B(\kappa, l) = \infty.$$

On the other hand  $U(a, \lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  is equivalent to

$$1 \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus 1 \cdot [m, 0] \oplus 1 \cdot \int_{2m}^\infty \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^\infty \oplus [\kappa, 2l] \oplus \infty \cdot \int_{3m}^\infty \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^\infty \oplus [\kappa, l]. \quad (7)$$

<sup>3)</sup> The indices  $A, B$  will be omitted in the following as long as the formulas hold true for  $A$  and for  $B$ .

Now let  $U_2^A(a, \lambda)$ ,  $U_2^B(a, \lambda)$  be unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group in Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_2^A, \mathcal{H}_2^B$  with the decompositions

$$U_2^A \cong \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus \int_{3m}^{\oplus} d\mu(\kappa) \sigma(\kappa, l) \cdot [\kappa, l], \tag{8}$$

$$U_2^B \cong U_2^A \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot [m, 0] \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot \int_{2m}^{\oplus} d\kappa (1 - \Theta_\varrho(\kappa)) [\kappa, 0] \\ \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot \int_{2m}^{\oplus} d\kappa \sum_{l=1}^{\oplus} [\kappa, 2l] \oplus \infty \cdot \int_{3m}^{\oplus} d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^{\oplus} [\kappa, l] \tag{9}$$

with  $d\mu(\kappa)$  absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $d\kappa$  in (7), with arbitrary multiplicities  $\sigma(\kappa, l) = \infty, 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and with  $\Theta_\varrho(\kappa)$  denoting the characteristic function of the support of  $\varphi(\kappa)$

$$\Theta_\varrho(\kappa) = \begin{cases} 1 & \kappa \in \text{supp } \varrho \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

In the tensor product space

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{11a}$$

the inner Kronecker product [9]

$$U_1(a, \lambda) \otimes U_2(a, \lambda) \tag{11b}$$

provides a representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group. Its decomposition into irreducible representations is the same as that of  $U$ . From this it follows [8] that the two representations are equivalent: there is a unitary operator  $V$  with

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,0} = V (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2),$$

$$U(a, \lambda) = V (U_1(a, \lambda) \otimes U_2(a, \lambda)) V^+, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\phi(x) = V (\varphi(x) \otimes \mathbf{1}) V^+ \tag{13}$$

obeys the equations (3) (4) of our theorem.

The operators  $G$  of the form

$$G = V (\mathbf{1} \otimes g) V^+, \quad g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

obviously commute with  $\phi(x)$ . Now  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2) = \{\alpha \mathbf{1}\}$  iff  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is one dimensional, which is possible only in case  $A$  and only if

$$\int^{\oplus} d\mu(\kappa) \sigma(\kappa, l) \cdot [\kappa, l] \equiv 0,$$

i.e. if  $\sigma(\kappa, l) = 0$   $\mu$ -almost-everywhere. Then and only then the field  $\phi_A(x)$  so constructed is irreducible.

### § 3. Characterization of all (Generalized) Covariant Free Fields in the Fock Space $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$

*Theorem 2:* Let  $R_\phi$  be the von-Neumann-algebra of a (generalized) free field  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  with  $R_\phi \wedge R'_\phi = \{\alpha \mathbf{1}\}$  [10].

Then there exist Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  and a unitary operator  $V$  with

$$\begin{aligned} V^+ \mathcal{H}_{m,0} &= \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2, \\ V^+ R_\phi V &= \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \\ V^+ \phi(x) V &= \varphi(x) \otimes \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\varphi(x)$  is a (generalized) free field in the space  $\mathcal{H}_1$  it generates cyclically, the spectral functions of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  obey equation  $A$  or  $B$  of theorem 1.

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  denote the subspace  $\phi(x)$  generates from the vacuum,  $P_0$  the projector onto  $\mathcal{H}_0$ ; then  $P_0 \in R'_\phi$ .

Since  $R'_\phi$  is a factor, the central support of  $P_0$  in  $R'_\phi$  is equal to one which in turn implies [11] that the restriction  $R_0$  of  $R_\phi$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is an isomorphism  $R_\phi \xrightarrow{1:1} R_0$ . Furthermore  $R'_0 = \{\alpha \mathbf{1}\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , so that  $R_0$  and  $R_\phi$  are factors of type  $I$  [12], and there is an isomorphism  $V$  with [13]<sup>4)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{m,0} &= V (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), \\ R_\phi &= V (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathbf{1}) V^+, \\ R'_\phi &= V (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)) V^+. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since all automorphisms of a type  $I$  von Neumann algebra are inner ones [14],  $R_\phi$  contains a unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group

$$U_\phi(a, \lambda) = V (U_1(a, \lambda) \otimes \mathbf{1}) V^+ \in R_\phi \tag{19}$$

with  $U(a, \lambda) F U^+(a, \lambda) = U_\phi(a, \lambda) F U_\phi^+(a, \lambda) \quad \forall F \in R_\phi$ .

Obviously  $U_\phi^+(a, \lambda) U(a, \lambda) \in R'_\phi$

and in consequence may be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_\phi^+(a, \lambda) U(a, \lambda) &= V (\mathbf{1} \otimes U_2(a, \lambda)) V^+, \\ U &= V (U_1 \otimes U_2) V^+. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$\varphi(x) \otimes \mathbf{1} = V^+ \phi(x) V$  is a factor representation of the (generalized) free field commutations relations in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ . Since  $U_\phi \in R_\phi$ ,  $\varphi(x)$ , operating in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , is in its Fock representation<sup>5)</sup>; and  $U_1, U_\phi$  both have the decomposition (6) with  $M \geq 0, v = 1$  or  $v = \infty$ . To provide the decomposition (7) for  $U$ , the identity representation must be contained once in  $U_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &\cong \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus \dots, \\ U &\cong U_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \dots \end{aligned}$$

<sup>4)</sup> For another proof of such decompositions cf. ARAKI [10].

<sup>5)</sup> E. C. G. SUDARSHAN'S proof [2] for this consists in showing that the infinitesimal generators of the Lorentz group have a unique form in terms of oscillator variables and that they majorize the number operator which is finite only in the Fock representation. Oscillator variables for the Greenberg fields  $\phi(x)$  are obtained by expanding  $\phi(x)$  in terms of a complete orthonormal set of positive frequency functions whose momentum space support is that of the Greenberg weight function  $\varrho(p^2)$ . – The so defined oscillator variables allow a direct generalization of SUDARSHAN'S proof.

Furthermore in the decomposition of  $U_1 \rho(\kappa) d\kappa$  must be absolutely continuous with respect to the measure in (7) which defines the direct integral decomposition of  $U$ :

$$\rho(\kappa) = c \delta(\kappa - m) + \Theta(\kappa - 2m) \rho(\kappa). \tag{21}$$

Case A:  $c \neq 0$ , i.e.  $M = m$ . In this case  $\rho(\kappa) = 0$  almost everywhere in the interval  $2m \leq \kappa < 3m$ , since otherwise the irreducible representations contained in

$$\int_{2m}^{3m} \oplus d\kappa \rho(\kappa) [\kappa, 0] \neq 0$$

would appear twice, in the second and in the third term of equation (6), whereas from (7) we see that they should only have the multiplicity one. (Or to put it more intuitively, there would be, at a given energy below the three particle threshold, two orthogonal  $s$ -states, one being generated by applying the discrete part of  $\phi$  twice and one by applying the continuous part of  $\phi$  once to the vacuum. But there is only one such  $s$ -state in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$ .)

With this restriction

$$U \cong U_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

and if we further want

$$U \cong U_1 \otimes U_2 = U_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} \oplus U_1 \otimes (U_2 \ominus \mathbf{1})$$

the additional term  $U_1 \otimes (U_2 \ominus \mathbf{1})$  may not change the multiplicities of the direct integral decomposition; it may only contribute to the last term in (7), i.e.

$$U_2 \cong U_2^A \text{ of equation (8).}$$

Case B:  $c = 0$ ,  $M \geq 2m$ .

Here  $U_2$  must provide the discrete representation  $[m, 0]$

$$U_2 \cong \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot [m, 0] \oplus \dots$$

$U_1 \otimes [m, 0]$  contributes to the direct integrals of equation (7) only above  $m + M \geq 3m$ . Below  $m + M$ , the difference of the decompositions of  $U$  and  $U_1$  must be contained in  $U_2$ :

$$U_2 \cong \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot [m, 0] \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot \int_{2m}^{3m} \oplus d\kappa (1 - \Theta_\rho(\kappa)) [\kappa, 0] \\ \oplus \mathbf{1} \cdot \int_{2m}^{3m} \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \oplus [\kappa, 2l] \oplus \infty \cdot \int_{3m}^{m+M} \oplus d\kappa \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \oplus [\kappa, l] \oplus \dots$$

What was said for  $U_2 \ominus \mathbf{1}$  in case A, holds true for the rest of  $U_2$  here, so that

$$U_2 \cong U_2^B \text{ of equation (9).}$$

### § 4. Summary

For the existence of covariant (generalized) free fields in the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$ , equation A or B, or equivalently the existence of a decomposition as in equations (12), (6)–(9), is sufficient (Th. 1) and necessary (Th. 2).

We have seen that in  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  local (Greenberg) fields may be constructed which destroy and create two particle  $s$ -wave states.

As long as there is no bound state the Lagrange formalism (in terms of Greenberg fields) given by THIRRING [7] for the Zachariasen model may be extended from the two particle sector to the whole Fock space  $\mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  of the particles described by it. –

A final question to be answered here is whether reducible free fields might serve to define a unitary nontrivial scattering operator via the equation

$$A_{out}(x) - A_{in}(x) = \int \Delta(x - x') j(x') dx' \quad (22)$$

where  $j(x)$  is given as a (local or non local) finite order Wick polynomial of some free field  $A(x)$ . Smearèd out with an appropriate test function  $f$  on the forward mass shell in momentum space and multiplied by the projector  $E_n$  onto states with an energy less than  $n + 1$  particle masses, equation (22) reads

$$a_{out}(f) E_n - a_{in}(f) E_n = -i j(f) E_n. \quad (23)$$

For  $j = j[A_{irr}]$  given as a finite Wick polynomial of an irreducible free field  $A_{irr}(x)$  equation (23) will not hold since for large enough  $n$  the norm of the rhs will become larger than that of the lhs [15]. In the case of reducible  $A_{red}(x)$  we observe that

$$\| j[A_{red}] E_n \| \geq \| j[A_{red}] E_n P_0 \| = \| j[A_{irr}] E_n \|,$$

the equality following from the fact that the restriction of  $A_{red}(x)$  to  $P_0 \mathcal{H}_{m,0}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_{irr}(x)$ .

We conclude that the argument ruling out finite Wick polynomials as current operators is valid for reducible as well as for irreducible fields.

### Acknowledgements

The author is much indebted to Prof. H. J. BORCHERS for a very helpful discussion and to Prof. R. JOST for several of them. He thanks the latter for the hospitality extended to him at the 'Seminar für Theoretische Physik der ETH Zürich' and the 'Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft' for financial support.

### References

- [1] H. LEHMANN, K. SYMANZIK, W. ZIMMERMANN, Nuov. Cim. 1, 205 (1955), R. HAAG, B. SCHROER, J. Math. Phys. 3, 248 (1962), D. RUELLE, Helv. phys. Acta 35, 147 (1962).
- [2] E. C. G. SUDARSHAN, J. Math. Phys. 4, 1029 (1963).
- [3] A. S. WIGHTMAN, S. T. EPSTEIN, Ann. Phys. 11, 201 (1961).
- [4] J. LANGERHOLC, B. SCHROER, Comm. Math. Phys. 1, 215 (1965).
- [5] H. J. BORCHERS, Nuov. Cim. 15, 784 (1960). H. EPSTEIN, Nuov. Cim. 27, 886 (1963).
- [6] M. WELLNER, Unpublished preprint (1959), W. THIRRING, Phys. Rev. 126, 1209 (1962), A. L. LICHT, Ann. Phys. 34, 161 (1965).
- [7] O. W. GREENBERG, Ann. Phys. 16, 158 (1963).
- [8] A. S. WIGHTMAN, *L'invariance dans la mécanique quantique relativiste*. Relations de dispersion et particules élémentaires, Les Houches 1960.
- [9] G. W. MACKEY, *The Theory of Group Representations*, p. 115 (Univ. of Chicago Lecture Notes 1955).
- [10] R. HAAG, B. SCHROER, ref. 1, T. F. JORDAN, E. C. G. SUDARSHAN, J. Math. Phys. 3, 587 (1962), H. J. BORCHERS, W. ZIMMERMANN, Nuov. Cim. 31, 1047 (1964), H. ARAKI, *On the Algebra of All Local Observables*, preprint (1964), J. LANGERHOLC, B. SCHROER, ref. 4.
- [11] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien* (Paris 1957), p. 19 proposition 2.
- [12] J. DIXMIER, l. c., p. 120, Def. 1.
- [13] J. DIXMIER, l. c., p. 124, Corollaire 3.
- [14] J. DIXMIER, l. c., p. 256, Corollaire.
- [15] L. STREIT, Nuov. Cim. 34, 198 (1964).