Zeitschrift: Veröffentlichungen des Geobotanischen Institutes der Eidg. Tech. Hochschule, Stiftung Rübel, in Zürich

Herausgeber: Geobotanisches Institut, Stiftung Rübel (Zürich)

Band: 101 (1989)

Artikel: Mechanische Belastbarkeit natürlicher Schilfbestände durch Wellen, Wind und Treibzeug = Mechanical impacts on natural reed stands by wind, waves and drift

Autor: Binz-Reist, Hans-Rudolf

Register: Liste of figures

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-308911

LIST OF FIGURES

Section I

Section II

3.10. Comparison of drag coefficient c_l of 10 stems with c_l of 3 stems in a line and with the mean value c_l of 5 single stems, as a function of wind speed (at higher velocities only 4 and 3 stems respectively considered, see text). *p. 80.*
Top: values of c_l computed with eq. (31.8).
Bottom: values of $c_l^{\dagger}$ computed with eq. (31.11).

3.11. Superposition of 7 elementary waves from 15 sources (points). The circles represent the wave crests. The superposition creates parallel fronts travelling to the right and left hand side respectively. *p. 83.*

3.12. The principal dimensions of a wave. *p. 84.*

L	= wave length	$z_s(x,t)$	= height of water surface above ground
H	= wave height	$\zeta(x,t)$	= water surface elevation
d	= water depth	T	= wave period
x, z	= coordinates	t	= time

3.13. Sample record of the water level of a wave train, and discrimination of the individual waves according to the crest-to-crest method (top) and to the zero-crossing method (bottom) (from SCHÜTTRUMPF 1973). *p. 89.*

3.14. Spectrum of a periodic and an aperiodic oscillation. *p. 93.*
Left: Compostion of a periodic oscillation with three harmonics and spectrum (without considering a phase lag).
$1 \div 3$ harmonics
4 superposition of the three harmonics
5 spectum of amplitudes
Right: aperiodic oscillation and spectrum

3.15. Definition sketch to eqq. (32.42) and (32.43). *p. 99.*

3.16. Comparison of computed and measured amplitudes of a plastic stem. Diameter = 1 cm, wave heigt = 12 cm (top) and 16 cm (bottom) respectively. *p. 103.*
"*Totale Bewegung*" (total amplitude) = sum of positive and negative amplitude of the oscillation.
----- measured ——— computed with variable drag coefficent c_w.

3.17. Definiton sketch for computing the effective fetch in a given place *A*. *p. 106.*
f_i = length of a given direction vector (free water surface)
β_i = angle between the vector f_i and the wind direction

3.18. Propagation of a (supposed) elementary wave influenced by blowing wind. *p. 107.*

3.19. Air pressure on an inclined plane. *p. 108.*
p_0 = dynamic pressure of the wind
p_β = wind pressure on a plane inclined at β to the orthogonal of the wind direction

3.20. Division of the fetch into zones with equal wind velocity and direction, for the calculation of the effective fetch with eq. (32.49). *p. 109.*

3.21. Reduction of the direction vector by the ineffective part, if the opposite shoreland is inclined at more than 10°. *p. 109.*

3.22. Direction vectors of the fetch in front of the investigation area at Altenrhein. The reference direction is north, i.e. θ_i equals the azimuth of the direction vector *i*. *p. 111.*

3.23. Nomogramm for computing wind velocity at different levels above ground: vertical distribution of relative wind velocity, based on u_{10} at the reference level of 10 m above ground. Curve parameter is u_{10} (after PRANDTL and CHARNOCK). The curves according to eq. (32.66) with the exponents n mentioned in the text are also plotted. *p. 117.*
$n = 1/4$ ($z \le 15$ m), and $n = 1/5$ ($z > 15$ m)
$n = 1/7$

3.24. Group of waves (dashed line) as a superposition of two waves with similar frequencies (full line). *p. 127.*
L = length of an individual wave
C = wave speed (velocity of propagation) of the individual wave
C_g = velocity of propagation of the wave group (group velocity)

3.25. Wave height, group velocity and local wave length as a function of relative water depth, based on deep water wave length L_0. *p. 129.*
H/H_0 local wave height/deep water wave height
C_g/C group velocity/wave velocity
d/L local water depth/local wave length
3.26. Parameters α and kl of 3rd order sinusoidal theory (Stokes III), as a function of relative water depth d/L_0' and relative wave height H/L_0' ($L_0' = g\,T^2/2\pi$). *p. 131.*
3.27. Relation between the spectrum of fully arisen sea and that of developing sea (small figure on top) and partly arisen sea because of limitation of fetch or duration of wind (bottom). *p. 137.*
3.28. Estimation of frequency f_k of partly arisen sea. $f_k = g\,X/(\pi \cdot u_l)$ (after KINSMANN 1965, from BRUSCHIN and FALVEY 1975/76). *p. 138.*
3.29. Change in wave form and direction due to an abrupt change of water depth *d*. *p. 139.*
3.30. Refraction on slopes with straight, parallel contours. *p. 140.*
3.31. Stepwise construction of an orthogonal vector to wave crests on a slope with non-parallel contours (the wave crests themselves are not plotted).The deep water wave direction (α_0) is determined by other factors, e.g. wind direction.
In this figure the construction of the orthogonal vector between contour 1 and contour 2 is shown (after SILVESTER 1974). *p. 141.*
3.32. Water particle motion induced by a deep water wave with small amplitude. The wave is plotted at time t_1 and t_2 (e.g. 1/2 s later). The water particle paths (orbits) are closed circles. The thick part of the circles represents the motion of some water particles between t_1 and t_2 (from BINZ 1980). *p. 150.*
(N.B.: The drawings in this and the following figures 3.33-3.35 are not in scale, wave height and diameter of the orbits are too large.)
3.33. Wave in transitional depth (water depth is less than the half of the wave length). The orbits are no longer circles but ellipses. On the ground, the particles oscillate horizontally. *p. 151.*
3.34. Shallow water wave (water depth is less than 1/25 of wave length). The ellipses are flatter, the amplitude of the horizontal motion ist almost uniform between ground and water surface. *p. 151.*
3.35. Steeper waves, predominating in the nearshore zone, cause a nonperiodic drift (the orbits are not closed). *p. 151.*
3.36. Situation of a supposed observer, travelling with the wave in point *B*. The choice of *B* is arbitrary, in this figure a wave crest has been chosen. *p. 153.*
3.37. Form of a wave (T = 3,3 s; d = 1 m; L = 10,6 m; H = 0,66 m, C = 3,2 m/s) and profile of horizontal particle velocity under the crest, according to linear or first order theory (Airy-Laplace) and third order sinusoidal theory (Stokes III). *p. 155.*
The figure shows that in this case the values given by linear theory for maximum surface elevation and maximum water particle velocity under the crest are too small, whereas the particle velocity on the ground is almost the same from either theory.
3.38. Rigid floating matter (drift-wood and broken reed stalks) in a reed stand (Photo: F. Klötzli). *p. 164.*
3.39. Algae mats in reed stands. *p. 165.*
Top: floating algae mat in reed stand near Küßnacht ZH (Photo: Amt für Gewässerschutz des Kantons Zürich).
Bottom: The algae rest on the inclined reed stems and pull them further down, when the water level falls. Because of the low water level during winter time the remains of dead reed plants become visible.
3.40. Schematic diagram of a reed stand stressed by floating matter (water side) (from BINZ 1978). *p. 167.*
3.41. Several phases of a reed stem being broken down by drift-wood(schematical; for sim-

4.7. Forces acting upon stem and drift. *p.211.*
Left: Forces exerted on the drift by the stem.
G_T" weight of the drift occasionally reduced by buoyancy
W_x, W_z dynamic water pressure
C Coriolis force
Z_x, Z_z driving force
N normal force
R friction
Right: forces exerted on the stem by the drift.

4.8. Air and water pressure acting directly upon the stem. In the model they are transformed to equivalent concentrated forces W. *p.219.*

4.9. Local deflection of the bar resulting from the collision of the drift wood with the stem. *p.221.*

4.10. Comparison of stem properties and parameters of the model with e.g. 3 bars. In this example, the stem properties are known at 7 measuring points. *p.223.*

4.11. Definition sketch for the calculation of spring stiffnesses. They are determined in such a way that the joints of the model lie on the real deflection line (i.e. the displacements of the joints under a given load are equal to the deflection of the stem in the corresponding points under the same load). Note that the figure is distorted in x-direction. *p.226.*

4.12. Flow chart of the computer program for simulating the motions of a reed stem (explanation see text). *p.234.*

4.13. to 4.25.: Computed oscillation of a reed stem stressed by waves, wind and drift-wood. The horizontal motion (x-direction) of the top of the model bars is plotted as a function of time (points 1 - 4). The position of the drift-wood is marked by T, the intersection of stem and water surface by W. Lines are drawn for the motion of the stem top (point 4) and the drift-wood (T) respectively. The asterisks (*) show the undisturbed water particle motion at stillwater level. See the small definition sketch within fig. 4.13.

4.13. Example ST811, wave height H = 10 cm, wave period T = 1,25 s. *p.267.*

4.14. Example ST811, wave height H = 15 cm, wave period T = 1,53 s. *p.268.*

Note that at first, the drift-wood does not touch the stem. The first strike happens at about t = 12 s and causes an amplitude of singular magnitude. The same is true in all cases, where the wave height does not exceed 25 cm, i.e. also for fig. 4.13., 4.15. and 4.16.

4.15. Example ST811, wave height H = 20 cm, wave period T = 1,76 s. *p.269.*

4.16. Example ST811, wave height H = 25 cm, wave period T = 1,97 s. *p.270.*

4.17. Example ST811, wave height H = 30 cm, wave period T = 2,16 s. *p.271.*

4.18. Example ST811, wave height H = 35 cm, wave period T = 2,33 s. *p.272.*

4.19. Example ST811, wave height H = 40 cm, wave period T = 2,49 s. *p.273.*

4.20. Example ST811, wave height H = 45 cm, wave period T = 2,65 s. *p.274.*

4.21. Example ST811, wave height H = 50 cm, wave period T = 2,79 s. *p.275.*

4.22. Example ST711, wave height H = 20 cm, wave period T = 1,76 s. *p.276.*

4.23. Example ST731, wave height H = 20 cm, wave period T = 1,76 s. *p.277.*

The weaker stems of plot 4.3 (in comparison with the stems of plot 1.2, ex. 711) show stronger motion under the same external conditions; however, the resulting forces (bending moment) are smaller (cf. tab. 4.4.).

4.24. Example ST721, wave height H = 20 cm, wave period T = 3,0 s. *p.278.*

The wave period in fig. 4.24. (T = 3 s) is almost twice as long as the wave period in fig. 4.22.; the amplitudes, however, are almost equal.

4.25. Example ST741, wave height H = 20 cm, wave period T = 3,0 s. *p.279.*

The wave period in fig. 4.25. (T = 3 s) is almost twice as long as the wave period in fig. 4.23.; the amplitudes, however, are almost equal.

4.26. Lateral view of computed stem oscillations (according to the definition sketch in fig.

4.13.). The same series of cases as in fig. 4.13. - 4.21. (example ST811) has been chosen. The vertical dashed lines mark time spaces approximately equal to one wave period. *p.280.*

4.27. **to 4.32.**: Computed oscillation of plastic bars influenced by waves and drift-wood. The situation corresponds to the experiments executed in the wave tank at VAW (cf. chap. 3.3.4.). Different oscillations are obtained by varying inertia coefficient G_m. The drag coefficient is G_d = 1,25 in all of the 6 following figures. The mass of the drift-wood is M_T = 5,99 kg, the wave height H = 16 cm and the wave period T = 0,92 s. The drawings are analogous to those in fig. 4.13. - 4.25.

4.27. G_m = 1,0 *p.282.*
4.28. G_m = 1,25 *p.283.*
4.29. G_m = 1,5 *p.284.*
4.30. G_m = 1,75 *p.285.*
4.31. G_m = 2,0 *p.286.*
4.32. G_m = 2,5 *p.287.*

4.33. **to 4.38.** Computed bending moment at the foot of the stem vs. wave height, from the examples dealt with in the text.
Top: maximum value of the whole period considered (25 s).
Bottom: mean square value σ_M

4.33. Influence of (missing) drift-wood. Stems from plot 1.2 at 27. 6. *p.288.*
------ (SO751): without drift-wood —— (ST711): drift-wood of 6 kg
-·-·-· (ST751): drift-wood of 12 kg

4.34. Influence of (missing) drift-wood. Stems from plot 4.3 at 27. 6. *p.289.*
------ (SO731): without drift-wood —— (ST731): drift-wood of 6 kg

4.35. Influence of (missing) drift-wood. Stems from plots 1.2 (ST771, SO761) and 4.3 (ST791, SO781) at 13.6. *p.290.*
------ (SO761, SO781): without drift-wood —— (ST711, ST791): drift-wood of 6 kg

4.36. Influence of (missing) drift-wood. Stems from plots 1.2 (ST811, SO801) and 4.3 (ST831, SO821) at 23.7. *p.291.*
------ (SO801, SO821): without drift-wood —— (ST811, ST831): drift-wood of 6 kg

4.37. Influence of wave period T. Stems from plots 1.2 (ST711, ST721) and 4.3 (ST731, ST741) at 27.6. *p.292.*
—— (ST711, ST731): increasing wave period according to tab. 4.4.2., from 1,25 s (H = 10 cm) to 2,65 s (H = 45 cm)
------ (ST721, ST741): constant wave period T = 3 s irrespective of wave height

4.38. Influence of bending stiffness and season. Stems from plots 1.2 (ST711, ST771, ST811) and 4.3 (ST731, ST791, ST831). *p.293.*
------ (ST771, ST791): at 13.6. ——
(ST711, ST731): at 27.6.
-·-·-· (ST811, ST831): at 23.7.

4.39. Diameter D, stiffness $E{\cdot}J$, critical moment M_{Gr} and breaking moment M_{Br} vs. time of the stems dealt with in the examples. *p.294.*
Top: plot 1.2 Bottom: plot 4.3
------- diameter D -·-·-·- stiffness $E{\cdot}J$
—— critical moment M_{Gr} (lower line) and breaking moment M_{Br} (upper line)

4.40. **and 4.41.** Wave heights producing the same moment without drift-wood (H_0) and with a piece of drift-wood of 6 kg (H_T) respectively, as a function of wave height H_0.
----- curve based on the mean square value
-·-·- curve based on the maximum value
····· stems are run over by drift-wood

4.40. stems from plot 1.2 . *p.295.*
4.41. stems from plot 4.3 . *p.296.*

Section III

1.1. Load and stresses of a reed stem. *p.332.*
Left: Schematic diagram and principal dimensions of a reed stem strained by a horizontal force F.
Right: Stem element supposed to be clippedout of the stem with stresses acting on both cross sections.

1.2. Distortions of a stem element by
a) shear force b) bending moment *p.335.*

1.3. Supposed single "fibre" of a stem element in strained an unstrained state. (Those fictitious "fibres" should not be confounded with the cellulose fibres forming the real stalk.) *p.336.*

1.4. Strained stem element with designated stress and strain. *p.337.*

1.5. Layout of a simple bending experiment. *p.343.*
F = force (e.g. weight or spring balance)

1.6. Diagram of load versus deflection of a reed steem. *p.343.*
The graph remains qualitatively unchanged if the bending moment M or the (maximum) stress σ of a cross section is plotted on the vertical axis instead of the force F, also, if the deflection δ on the horizontal axis is replaced by the strain ε.
Between point 0 and 1 load and deformation are directly proportional to each other; loading and unloading follow the same line (elastic region, no remaining deformation). If the load exceeds point 1 (e.g. at point 2), unloading follows the dashed line, i.e. a certain deformation remains, even if the load is zero (plastic deformation). If the force exceeds the breaking strength (point 3), the further part of the curve depends on the experimental layout: the dash-point line represents the case of a stem loaded by a given increasing deformation, the unbroken line holds if the load is interconnected with displacements (e.g. load by a spring balance).

1.7. Three possible experimental layouts for testing reed stems, with corresponding support forces, distribution of bending moment and deflections. *p.346.*
1. stem fixed at one end, forced at the other
2. stem supported on both ends, forced between the supports (e.g. in the middle)
3. stem supported on both ends, forced at two symetrically located points

1.8. Example of a bending experiment, the load being increased by steps. *p.348..*
The relation load increment to deflection increment ($dF/d\delta$), needed for computing the bending stiffness, is obtained as the inclination of the regression line through points 2 to 6. Because of the backlash at the beginning of the experiment (points 1 and 2), point 1 must not be used for the regression as well as the points beyond the linear-elastic region.

1.9. Example of a bending experiment with continually increasing load and automatic plotting of force and deflection. *p.348.*
The straight line representing the force-deflection function within the linear-elastic region and the point of critical force F_{Gr} are obtained graphically.

1.10. Breaking does not necessarily and always happen at the point with maximal bending moment (at the stress point), for the breaking strength varies considerably along the stem axis. Under increasing load, breaking occurs at the point, where the local bending moment first reaches the local breaking strength. *p.350.*

3.1. Schematic diagram of the experimental layout for labarotory experiments at EMPA. The situation is turned upside down compared with fig. 1.7., the testing machine pulling upwards when loading. *p.355.*
F = force
D = diameter defined as representative for the tested piece

M_E $= h_F \cdot F$ = fixed end moment due to the force F
α_E = inclination of the stem foot
δ_F = displacement due to the force F
δ_α = displacement due to the inclination of the stem foot

Section IV