

Zeitschrift: Veröffentlichungen des Geobotanischen Institutes der Eidg. Tech. Hochschule, Stiftung Rübel, in Zürich
Herausgeber: Geobotanisches Institut, Stiftung Rübel (Zürich)
Band: 65 (1978)

Artikel: Comparaison d'écosystèmes de prairies permanentes exploitées de manière conventionnelle et biodynamique
Autor: Porret, Marianne
Kapitel: Summary
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-308552>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 24.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

SUMMARY

In order to compare the conventional cultivation with the biodynamical one, experimental investigations were carried out in seven different pairs of meadows in the Swiss Midlands (Map 1, p.9); each pair consisted of two neighbouring plots, one being cultivated conventionally, the other - biodynamically. With the help of several specialists, various aspects of these ecosystems were studied.

All the seven biodynamically cultivated plots proved to be richer in grasses, leguminous and herbaceous species than the conventionally cultivated surfaces. The difference is very distinct in the vegetation table listed by decreasing number of species (see detailed summary p. 40).

The soil samples from all the plots were studied as to the content of organic carbon, total nitrogen, hydrosoluble phosphate, potassium, magnesium and the cation exchange capacity. In addition, the nitrogen mineralization and mangan content were analyzed in the pairs 1 - 5.

Within the pair 1, the differences in the humus content and vegetation between the plots were particularly pronounced; for this reason, some physical measurements of soil (determination of the size of particles, density, curve of desorption as well as the suction power) were performed; see also the summary, pp. 60 - 61.

The earthworm fauna was investigated in the meadow pairs 1 - 3, the nematodes in the pairs 1 and 2, the microarthropods and the carabids in the pair 1.














An experiment with white clover potted in the soils from the surfaces 1 - 3 showed that the biomass production in conventionally managed soils was higher than in biodynamically cultivated ones. The same results were obtained in subsequent experiments with various sorts of red clover.


To obtain information on differences in the productivity of the meadows, the productivity of the farms 1 - 5 was studied. Detailed summary see p. 117.


The result of the present investigations are briefly summarized in Fig. 27.

Figure 27

Influence of the biodynamical and the conventional way of cultivation in seven pairs of permanent meadows in the Swiss Midlands : summary of observed differences.

measurings Observations	conventional meadows			biodynamical meadows		
	standard deviation	mean	Wilcoxon test	mean	standard deviation	number of investigated meadows
<u>Vegetation</u>						
<u>number of species</u>						
total	5.05	2.4		40	11.18	1 - 7
grasses	2.56	9		13	3.90	1 - 7
legumes	0.49	1		4	2.15	1 - 7
herbs	3.34	1.3		24	6.97	1 - 7
<u>Chemical analysis</u>						
contents of ash	1.10	8.9		8.2	1.2	1 - 14
starch units	0.40	73.2		74.8	0.4	1 - 14
Ca / P	0.42	2.26		3.12	0.96	1 - 14
Ca %	0.17	0.87		0.96	0.23	1 - 14
P %	0.05	0.39		0.33	0.09	1 - 14
K %	0.59	2.84		2.42	0.47	1 - 14
<u>Soil</u>						
<u>Soil physics</u>						
apparent density g / cm ³	0.05	0.71		0.63	0.09	1 - 7
Soil porosity %	2.00	71		74	3.6	1 - 7
<u>Soil chemistry</u>						
organic matter 1974 %	1.36	6.96		7.97	2.61	1 - 7
total nitrogen 1974 mg / g soil	1.51	2.60		3.11	1.69	1 - 7

	conventional meadows			biodynamical meadows			
measurings Observations	standard deviation	mean	Wilcoxon test	mean	standard deviation	number of investigated meadows	comments
field mineralized NO ₃ -N mg/g soil	1.39	1.74		6.78	0.86	1 - 7	also for the meadows Wilcoxon 2 0.054 3 0.034 5 0.069


T total cation exchange capacity	6.40	23.91		19.84	2.93	1 - 7
----------------------------------	------	-------	---	-------	------	-------

S sum of exchangeable metallic cations	4.29	17.30		15.83	3.67	1 - 7
--	------	-------	---	-------	------	-------

Clover cultures 1975



dry weight in g leaves & roots 5th month	0.36	1.48		0.35	0.07	1	average for 3 sortes
	0.21	0.80		0.57	0.21	2	
	0.32	1.26		0.52	0.23	3	

Soil fauna

earth worms	Lumbricus terrestris		strict endogenous	1 - 3
microarthropods	springtails			1
carabids			mites	

the higher amount of samples found on the biological plots are characteristic for more humid biotops.

structure and productivity of the farms

diversity of production branches	
pesticides fertiliser minerals	
mechanization	
productivity of the forage surface	
farm income per labour unit	