

Limits of finite homogeneous metric spaces

Autor(en): **Gelander, Tsachik**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **59 (2013)**

PDF erstellt am: **26.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-515833>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

LIMITS OF FINITE HOMOGENEOUS METRIC SPACES

by Tsachik GELANDER

1. INTRODUCTION

Itai Benjamini asked me if the sphere S^2 , and in general which manifolds, can be approximated by finite homogeneous metric spaces ¹⁾.

We will say that a complete metric space is *approximable* (or *can be approximated*) by finite homogeneous metric spaces if it is a limit of such in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology.

THEOREM 1.1. *A metric space X is approximable by finite homogeneous metric spaces if and only if it admits a compact group of isometries G which acts transitively and whose identity connected component G° is abelian.*

COROLLARY 1.2. *If X is approximable by finite homogeneous metric spaces then X is compact, the connected components of X are inverse limits of tori, and the quotient space of connected components X/\sim is a transitive totally disconnected space hence is either finite or homeomorphic to the Cantor set.*

We will see that a connected component of X is an inverse limit of tori in the strong, group theoretic, manner: it is homeomorphic to $\varprojlim T_n$ where the T_n are compact abelian Lie groups, and the associated maps are surjective homomorphisms.

In particular,

COROLLARY 1.3. *The only manifolds that can be approximated by finite homogeneous metric spaces are tori.*

¹⁾ The motivation came from the recent paper [BFT12] which studies limits of scaled transitive graphs.

The following example illustrates another type of limits of finite homogeneous metric spaces.

EXAMPLE 1.4 (The solenoid). For every n , let T_n be a copy of the circle group $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and whenever m divides n let $f_{n,m}: T_n \rightarrow T_m$ be the n/m sheeted cover $f_{n,m}(z) = z^{n/m}$. Let $G = \varprojlim T_n$ be the inverse limit group, and equip G with any compatible invariant metric. Then G is approximable by finite homogeneous metric spaces, but it is not arcwise connected, hence cannot be approximated by finite transitive graphs (see Remark 1.5.4).

REMARKS 1.5. 1. A classical theorem of Jordan [J1878] states that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ admits an abelian subgroup of index $\leq m$. Based on this theorem, Turing [T38] proved that a connected compact Lie group G can be approximated by finite groups, or in our terminology below is *quasi finite*, if and only if it is abelian (see also [K82]²) and [AGG01]). Our result can be considered as a metric version of Jordan's and Turing's theorems.

2. It follows that for $n \geq 2$, the n dimensional sphere S^n cannot be approximated by finite homogeneous metric spaces. It makes sense to ask how far is the (vertex space of the) soccer ball, with the induced metric from S^2 , or any other Archimedean polyhedron, from the optimal approximation. It may also be interesting to investigate the asymptotic of the distance of S^n from the set of finite homogeneous spaces.

3. Note that every homogeneous metric on a finite, as well as infinite, dimensional torus can be approximated by finite homogeneous metric spaces. However, only very specific metrics can be approximated by scaled finite transitive graphs. It might be interesting to classify these metrics.

4. If an inverse limit of tori $T = \varprojlim T_\alpha$ admits a compatible metric that makes it a length space then for every α there is $k(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\beta > \alpha$ the pre-image in T_β of a point in T_α has at most $k(\alpha)$ connected components. This implies that T splits as a product $\bar{T}_\alpha \times T'$ where \bar{T}_α is some finite cover of T_α . It follows that T is actually a *torus*, i.e. homeomorphic to a finite or infinite product of circles.

²) Kazhdan, who was not aware of [T38], reproved Turing's theorem, and in fact a stronger result, dealing with amenable instead of finite groups, and a unitary image which is not necessarily finite dimensional.

If X_n are scaled transitive finite graphs with number of vertices tends to infinity, and (X_n) has bounded geometry (defined below), then, by 2.1, $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact, and it is easy to see that every limit must be a length space. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that any limit of (X_n) is a (finite or infinite dimensional) torus.

Imposing additional conditions on X_n , it is sometimes possible to deduce more information about the limits. For instance, if the X_n satisfy the growth condition $|X_n| \leq \text{Diam}(X_n)^q$ (as in [BFT12]³), where $\text{Diam}(X_n)$ denotes the diameter before scaling, it is possible to show that any limit must be a torus of dimension at most q .

For another example, assume that the girth of X_n is bounded below. Then the *girth* (i.e. the infimal length of a simple loop) of any limit of (X_n) is also bounded below. This forces the limit to be a circle. Hence it follows that (X_n) converges to S^1 and in turn that the entropy of X_n is asymptotically the entropy of the circle, i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0, E(\varepsilon, X_n) \rightarrow 2/\varepsilon$ (see definition below), and the girth of X_n tends to 2. Thus the geometry of the (X_n) is either unbounded or asymptotically the geometry of the circle.

Here is another situation where the limit is unique and has to be S^1 . Recall that a graph is said to be *distance transitive* if the automorphism group acts transitively on pairs of vertices of any given distance.

COROLLARY 1.6. *Let (X_n) be a bounded geometry sequence of distance transitive scaled finite graphs and suppose that the number of vertices $|X_n| \rightarrow \infty$. Then (X_n) converges to S^1 with the length distance. In particular $\forall \varepsilon > 0, E(\varepsilon, X_n) \rightarrow 2/\varepsilon$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank Itai Benjamini for stimulating discussions, Udi Hrushovski and Benji Weiss for telling me about Kazhdan's and Turing's papers and Yair Glasner for suggesting the problems answered in Remark 1.5.4 and Corollary 1.6. The research was supported by the ISF and the ERC.

2. SOME COMPACTNESS ARGUMENTS

Let $E: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a non-increasing function. We shall say that a metric space Y has E *bounded geometry* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the ε -entropy of Y

³) By [BFT12] this growth condition implies bounded geometry.

is at most $E(\varepsilon)$, i.e. any subset of Y of size $E(\varepsilon) + 1$ admits two points of distance at most ε . We shall denote the ε -entropy of a compact space Y by $E(\varepsilon, Y)$. We will say that a family \mathcal{A} of compact metric spaces *has uniformly bounded geometry* (or shortly, *bounded geometry*) if there is a function $E(\varepsilon)$ such that $E(\varepsilon, Y) \leq E(\varepsilon)$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. First recall:

LEMMA 2.1 (Gromov's compactness criterion, [BH99] Theorem 5.41). *A set \mathcal{A} of compact metric spaces is relatively compact with respect to the Gromov–Hausdorff distance if and only if the elements in \mathcal{A} have uniformly bounded diameter and uniformly bounded geometry.*

We will usually denote all distances by d , omitting references to the spaces in which they are measured. By the distance between subsets of a given metric space we always mean the Hausdorff distance and the distance between two compact metric spaces is always the Gromov–Hausdorff distance.

The isometry group of a compact metric space Y is compact and equipped with the bi-invariant metric $d(g_1, g_2) = \max_{y \in Y} d_Y(g_1 \cdot y, g_2 \cdot y)$. Note that $\text{diam}(\text{Isom}(Y)) \leq \text{diam}(Y)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *If Y has E -bounded geometry then $\text{Isom}(Y)$ has E' -bounded geometry, where $E'(\varepsilon) = E(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})^{E(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be an $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ -net in Y of size $|\mathcal{F}| \leq E(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, in any set $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $|I| = |\mathcal{F}|^{|\mathcal{F}|} + 1$ isometries of Y there are $i, j \in I$ such that $i \neq j$ and $d(g_i \cdot f, g_j \cdot f) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Since for every $y \in Y$ there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $d(y, f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ we deduce that $d(g_i, g_j) = \max_{y \in Y} d(g_i \cdot y, g_j \cdot y) \leq \varepsilon$. \square

It follows that if X_n is a sequence of compact metric spaces which converges to a limit X , then the associated isometry groups $\text{Isom}(X_n)$ have uniformly bounded geometry. Hence for any choice of closed subgroups $G_n \leq \text{Isom}(X_n)$ there is a, not necessarily unique, limit. We claim that every such limit G is isometric to a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X)$. To see this let us take a subsequence such that $G_{n_k} \rightarrow G$ and for every n_k let us fix

$$\phi_{n_k}: X_{n_k} \rightarrow X, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{n_k}: X \rightarrow X_{n_k}, \quad \psi_{n_k}: G_{n_k} \rightarrow G \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{n_k}: G \rightarrow G_{n_k}$$

such that the pair $(\phi_{n_k}, \tilde{\phi}_{n_k})$ (resp. $(\psi_{n_k}, \tilde{\psi}_{n_k})$) form an ε_{n_k} -isometric equivalence⁴⁾ between X_{n_k} and X (resp. between G_{n_k} and G), and $\varepsilon_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$.

⁴⁾ I.e. they are $(1, \varepsilon)$ -quasi isometries and their compositions are ε -close to the identities.

Since bounded geometry behaves nicely with direct products and restrictions to subsets, by taking a further sub-sequence n_{k_l} we get that the spaces of triples

$$Z_{n_{k_l}} = \{(g, x, g \cdot x) : g \in G_{n_{k_l}}, x \in X_{n_{k_l}}\} \subset G_{n_{k_l}} \times X_{n_{k_l}} \times X_{n_{k_l}}$$

converges to a subset $Z \subset G \times X \times X$, where for products we take the sup metric, and the restrictions of the maps⁵⁾

$$((\psi_{n_{k_l}}, \phi_{n_{k_l}}, \phi_{n_{k_l}}), (\tilde{\psi}_{n_{k_l}}, \tilde{\phi}_{n_{k_l}}, \tilde{\phi}_{n_{k_l}})),$$

form $\varepsilon'_{n_{k_l}}$ -equivalence between $Z_{n_{k_l}} \subset G_{n_{k_l}} \times X_{n_{k_l}} \times X_{n_{k_l}}$ and $Z \subset G \times X \times X$ with $\varepsilon'_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow 0$.

Since, for every n_{k_l} , the projection to the product of the first two factors

$$Z_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow G_{n_{k_l}} \times X_{n_{k_l}}$$

is 1-Lipschitz one-to-one and onto with a 2-Lipschitz inverse, we deduce that the projection $Z \rightarrow G \times X$ shares these properties as well. Denoting by $p^{-1}: G \times X \rightarrow Z$ the inverse of this projection and by π the projection to the third factor, allows us to define an action of every $g \in G$ on X by: $g \cdot x := \pi \circ p^{-1}(g, x)$. Since this is a “limit of actions by isometries of groups”, i.e.

$$\forall (g, x) \in G \times X, \quad g \cdot x = \lim_{n_{k_l}} \phi_{n_{k_l}}(\tilde{\psi}_{n_{k_l}}(g) \cdot \tilde{\phi}_{n_{k_l}}(x)),$$

it follows that $g \cdot$ is an isometry of X for every $g \in G$ and that $\{g \cdot : g \in G\}$ is a group. Finally, from the way that the metrics on G_n and on $\text{Isom}(X)$ are defined, it follows that the map $g \mapsto g \cdot$ is an isometry between G and its image in $\text{Isom}(X)$. In addition, if for all n , G_n acts transitively on X_n then for every $x, y \in X$ we can choose $g_{n_{k_l}} \in G_{n_{k_l}}$ such that $g_{n_{k_l}} \cdot \tilde{\phi}_{n_{k_l}}(x) = \tilde{\phi}_{n_{k_l}}(y)$, and obviously any limit point g_0 of $\psi_{n_{k_l}}(g_{n_{k_l}})$ satisfies $g_0 \cdot x = y$. Let us summarize the above discussion:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose that X_n are compact metric spaces, $G_n \leq \text{Isom}(X_n)$ are closed subgroups of the corresponding isometry groups and that $X_n \rightarrow X$. Then $\{G_n\}$ is pre-compact and any limit point G of (G_n) is isometric to a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X)$ ⁶⁾. Furthermore, if for all n , G_n acts transitively on X_n then every limit G acts transitively on X .*

⁵⁾ Note that the restriction of this pair of maps to $Z_{n_{k_l}} \times Z$ does not necessarily range inside $Z \times Z_{n_{k_l}}$ but this should cause no ambiguity.

⁶⁾ Note that the limit group G could be strictly smaller than $\text{Isom}(X)$ even for the sequence $G_n = \text{Isom}(X_n)$.

In order to simplify let us, abusing the above notations, omit the subscript k_l and assume that

$$Z_n := \{(g, x, g \cdot x) : g \in G_n, x \in X_n\}$$

converges, as above, to

$$Z := \{(g, x, g \cdot x) : g \in G, x \in X\}$$

and that

$$(\theta_n, \tilde{\theta}_n) := ((\psi_n, \phi_n, \phi_n), (\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n, \tilde{\phi}_n))$$

form corresponding ε_n -equivalences with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, i.e.

$$\theta_n: G_n \times X_n \times X_n \rightarrow G \times X \times X \text{ and } \tilde{\theta}_n: G \times X \times X \rightarrow G_n \times X_n \times X_n$$

are ε_n -isometries whose compositions are ε_n -close to the corresponding identities, and

$$d(\theta_n(Z_n), Z) \leq \varepsilon_n \text{ and } d(\tilde{\theta}_n(Z), Z_n) \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

Recall that a map $f: A \rightarrow H$ from an abstract group A to a metric group H is called an ε -quasi morphism if

$$\forall a, b \in A, \quad d(f(a)f(b), f(ab)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 2.4. *The map $\psi_n: G_n \rightarrow G$ is an $11\varepsilon_n$ -quasi morphism.*

Proof. Note that for $(h_1, y_1, z_1), (h_2, y_2, z_2) \in Z$ we have $d(z_1, z_2) \leq d(h_1, h_2) + d(y_1, y_2)$. This together with the fact that $d(\theta_n(Z_n), Z) \leq \varepsilon_n$, implies:

CLAIM. $\forall \delta \geq 0, g \in G_n, x \in X$ and $x' \in X_n$ such that $d(\phi_n(x'), x) \leq \delta$ we have

$$d(\psi_n(g) \cdot x, \phi_n(g \cdot x')) \leq 3\varepsilon_n + \delta.$$

To prove the claim, pick a point $(h, y, z) \in Z$ of distance $\leq \varepsilon_n$ from

$$\theta_n(g, x', g \cdot x') = (\psi_n(g), \phi_n(x'), \phi_n(g \cdot x')).$$

Then $d(h, \psi_n(g)) \leq \varepsilon_n$ and $d(y, x) \leq d(y, \phi_n(x')) + d(\phi_n(x'), x) \leq \varepsilon_n + \delta$, which implies, since both (h, y, z) and $(\psi_n(g), x, \psi_n(g) \cdot x)$ are in Z , that $d(z, \psi_n(g) \cdot x) \leq 2\varepsilon_n + \delta$. The claim follows since $d(\phi_n(g \cdot x'), z) \leq \varepsilon_n$.

Now consider $g, h \in G_n$ and $x \in X$. Picking $x' \in X_n$ such that $d(\phi_n(x'), x) \leq \varepsilon_n$ we get from the claim (with $\delta = \varepsilon_n$) that

$$d(\psi_n(g) \cdot x, \phi_n(g \cdot x')) \leq 4\varepsilon_n \text{ and } d(\psi_n(hg) \cdot x, \phi_n(hg \cdot x')) \leq 4\varepsilon_n$$

and (taking $\delta = 0$)

$$d(\psi_n(h) \cdot \phi_n(g \cdot x'), \phi_n(hg \cdot x')) \leq 3\varepsilon_n.$$

Thus

$$d(\psi_n(h) \cdot \psi_n(g) \cdot x, \psi_n(hg) \cdot x) \leq 11\varepsilon_n,$$

and since x is arbitrary and

$$d(\psi_n(h) \cdot \psi_n(g), \psi_n(hg)) = \max_{x \in X} d(\psi_n(h) \cdot \psi_n(g) \cdot x, \psi_n(hg) \cdot x),$$

the lemma is proved. \square

3. QUASI FINITE GROUPS

DEFINITION 3.1. We will say that a metric group H is *quasi finite*⁷⁾ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite group A and an ε -quasi morphism $f: A \rightarrow H$ with an ε -dense image.

For example, in the situation of the previous section, if we suppose in addition that the spaces X_n are finite, then the groups $G_n \leq \text{Isom}(X_n)$ are finite as well, and hence G is quasi finite (cf. Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4).

The aim of this section is to give a classification of quasi finite compact groups (see Proposition 3.4). Note that being quasi finite is a topological property, i.e. independent of the chosen metric. Thus when studying this notion for compact groups we may restrict ourselves to bi-invariant metrics only.

Let H be a compact group with a bi-invariant metric. If $N \triangleleft H$ is a closed normal subgroup, the induced metric on the quotient H/N is defined in the obvious way. Clearly if H is quasi finite then so is H/N .

LEMMA 3.2. *If H is quasi finite and $O \leq H$ is an open subgroup then O is also quasi finite.*

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be smaller than the distance from O to the nearest non-trivial coset hO . It is easy to see that if $f: F \rightarrow H$ is a δ -quasi morphism then $f^{-1}(O)$ is a subgroup of F . Additionally, if $f(F)$ is δ -dense in H then $f(f^{-1}(O)) = f(F) \cap O$ is δ -dense in O . \square

⁷⁾ In [T38], Turing used the term “approximable by finite groups” for the same notion, but here we already used a similar term to express something different.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that a compact group H is quasi finite. Then the identity connected component H° is also quasi finite.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since H/H° is totally disconnected, the ε -neighborhood of the identity in H/H° admits an open subgroup \tilde{O} (see [MZ55, Theorem 2.5]). Let O be the pre-image of \tilde{O} in H . By Lemma 3.2 we have a finite group F and an ε -quasi morphism $\rho: F \rightarrow O$ with an ε -dense image. For every $\gamma \in F$ pick arbitrarily an element in $H^\circ \cap B(\rho(\gamma), \varepsilon)$ and denote it by $\rho'(\gamma)$. It is easy to verify that, since the metric is bi-invariant, $\rho': F \rightarrow H^\circ$ is a 4ε -quasi morphism and its image is 2ε -dense. \square

PROPOSITION 3.4 (A generalised version of Turing's theorem). *A compact group H is quasi finite if and only if its identity connected component H° is abelian.*

We will make use of:

LEMMA 3.5. *Let L be a compact Lie group with a commutative identity connected component L° . Then L admits a dense subgroup which is a direct limit of finite subgroups.*

In particular, Lemma 3.5 implies that L admits a finite subgroup which meets every connected component of L . This is a special case of:

LEMMA 3.6. *Every compact Lie group K admits a finite subgroup Λ such that $K = \Lambda K^\circ$, where K° is the identity connected component of K .*

Proof. Recall that there is a complex algebraic group $G(\mathbb{C})$ with maximal compact subgroup isomorphic to K (see [OV90, 3.4.4 and 5.2.5]). By a lemma of Platonov [W73, 10.10] (see also [BS64]), there is a finite group $\Lambda \leq G(\mathbb{C})$ which meets any connected component of $G(\mathbb{C})$ (recall that the Zariski connected components of a complex algebraic group coincide with the Hausdorff connected components (see [PR91, Theorem 3.5]). Since $G(\mathbb{C})$ has finitely many connected components, Λ , being compact, is conjugated to a subgroup of the maximal compact K (see [M55]). \square

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Obviously, the identity connected component L° , which is a compact torus, admits a dense subgroup Γ which is a direct union of finite groups $\Gamma = \cup A_n$. Let Λ be a finite subgroup that meets every connected component of L (see Lemma 3.6). For every n set $B_n = \langle \Lambda, A_n \rangle$.

Then $B_n \cap L^\circ$ is generated by the finite set $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_n^\lambda \cup (\Lambda \cap L^\circ)$ which consists of torsion elements. Since $B_n \cap L^\circ$ is abelian, it is finite, and therefore B_n is finite as well. Finally, the direct limit of the B_n is the dense subgroup $\langle \Lambda, \Gamma \rangle$ of L . \square

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Let H be a compact group with a bi-invariant metric. By the Peter–Weyl theorem (see [Z90, Theorem 3.3.4]) H° is an inverse limit $\varprojlim H_n$ where the H_n are compact connected Lie groups. If H is quasi finite then, by Lemma 3.3 so is H° and hence also H_n for every n . By Turing’s theorem [T38] a connected Lie group which is quasi finite is abelian. Hence the H_n are abelian which in turn implies that H° is abelian.

Conversely, suppose that H° is commutative and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By [MZ55, Theorem 4.6] the ε -neighborhood of identity in H admits a normal subgroup N such that H/N is a Lie group and the identity component of H is mapped onto the identity component of H/N . Thus $(H/N)^\circ$ is a compact Lie group with a commutative identity component. By Lemma 3.5 H/N admits an ε -dense finite subgroup Δ . Finally an arbitrary lift $\Delta \rightarrow H$ is a 4ε -quasi morphism with 2ε -dense image. \square

4. COMPLETING THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let us return now to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that X is approximable by finite homogeneous metric spaces. It follows from Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 that $\text{Isom}(X)$ admits a closed subgroup G with the following properties:

1. G acts transitively on X .
2. G is quasi finite.

By Proposition 3.4, (2) implies that the identity connected component G° is abelian. Thus we obtained the “only if” part of Theorem 1.1.

In order to prove the “if” part, consider a compact metric space X which admits a compact transitive group of isometries G with G° abelian. By [MZ55, Theorem 4.6] there is a descending chain of normal subgroups $K_n \triangleleft G$ such that $G = \varprojlim G/K_n$ and $G_n = G/K_n$ is a Lie group with a commutative identity connected component. By Lemma 3.5, G_n admits a dense subgroup which is a direct limit of finite groups. In particular every homogeneous G_n -space can be approximated by finite homogeneous metric spaces. Let $X_n = K_n \backslash X$ be the orbit space of K_n in X with the induced metric. Then $X = \varprojlim X_n$. It

follows that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff metric, and since every X_n is approximable by finite homogeneous metric spaces, so is X . \square

The deduction of Corollary 1.2 from Theorem 1.1 makes use of:

LEMMA 4.1. *Let H be a compact group acting continuously and transitively by isometries on a metric space Y . Then the identity connected component H° acts transitively on every connected component of Y .*

In particular, the lemma says that a homogeneous space of a pro-finite group is totally disconnected, and indeed, if P is a pro-finite group and $L \leq P$ is a closed subgroup, the sets KhL where K runs over the open subgroups of P and $h \in P$ is arbitrary, form a base for the topology of P/L consisting of open-closed sets.

Proof. Let Y° be a connected component of Y and let H_1 be the stabilizer of Y° , $H_1 = \{g \in H : g \cdot Y^\circ = Y^\circ\}$. Then H_1 is a group containing H° . Let $P = H_1/H^\circ$ and let Y°/\sim be the orbit space of H° in Y° with the quotient metric. Then P is a pro-finite group acting transitively on the connected space Y°/\sim . It follows that Y°/\sim is a singleton, i.e. that H° is transitive on Y° . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Suppose that X is a compact metric space which is approximable by finite homogeneous ones. By Theorem 1.1, $\text{Isom}(X)$ has a transitive compact subgroup G with G° abelian. Let X° be a connected component of X , $x_0 \in X^\circ$ a point and $Q = (G^\circ)_{x_0}$ its stabilizer group in G° . By Lemma 4.1, G° acts transitively on X° and hence X° is homeomorphic to G°/Q . Since G° is abelian, Q is normal and G°/Q is a compact abelian group. By the Peter–Weyl theorem $G^\circ/Q = \varprojlim T_n$ where each T_n is a finite dimensional torus. \square

We end this note with a:

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Let (X_n) be a sequence as in 1.6 and suppose that $X_n \rightarrow X$. Then X is a length space and hence, by Theorem 1.1 (see Remark 1.5.4), a torus (of finite or infinite dimension). Let $x \in X$ be a point, and for $r > 0$ consider the r -sphere $S_X(r, x)$ around x in X . It is easy to see that $S_X(r, x)$ is the limit of $S_{X_n}(r_n, x_n)$ for an appropriate choice of $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $x_n \in X_n$. If $\dim X > 1$ then there is r and a connected component of $S_X(r, x)$ which is not a singleton, and we claim that this is impossible. Indeed, letting G denote a limit group of $G_n = \text{Isom}(X_n)$, it follows from Proposition 2.3 and

Lemma 4.1 that the identity connected component G° acts transitively on X . Similarly, since the stabilizer group G_x contains a limit of the the stabilizer group $(G_n)_{x_n}$, and the later acts transitively on the corresponding $S_{X_n}(r_n, x_n)$, we deduce that its identity connected component $(G_x)^\circ$ acts transitively on every connected component of $S_X(r, x)$. However, if $b \in G^\circ$ is an element that takes x into a non-singleton connected component of $S_X(r, x)$, and $a \in G_x^\circ \leq G^\circ$ is an element that moves $b \cdot x$, it is easy to see that a and b do not commute, contrary to the commutativity of G° which is guaranteed by Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [AGG01] ALEKSEEV, M. A., L. YU. GLEBSKII and E. I. GORDON. On approximations of groups, group actions and Hopf algebras. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. St.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* 256 (1999), *Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody.* 3, 224–262, 268; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* 107 (2001), 4305–4332.
- [BFT12] BENJAMINI, I., H. FINUCANE and R. TESSERA. On the scaling limit of finite vertex transitive graphs with large diameter. Preprint arXiv: 1203.5624 (2012).
- [BS64] BOREL, A. et J.-P. SERRE. Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 39 (1964), 111–164.
- [BH99] BRIDSON, M. R. and A. HAEFLIGER. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 319. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [J1878] JORDAN, C. Mémoire sur les équations différentielles linéaires à intégrale algébrique. *J. reine angew. Math.* 84 (1877), 89–215.
- [K82] KAZHDAN, D. On ε -representations. *Israel J. Math.* 43 (1982), 315–323.
- [MZ55] MONTGOMERY, D. and L. ZIPPIN. *Topological Transformation Groups*. Reprint of the 1955 original. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Huntington, N.Y., 1974.
- [M55] MOSTOW, G. D. Self-adjoint groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 62 (1955), 44–55.
- [OV90] ONISHCHIK, A. L. and E. B. VINBERG. *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [PR91] PLATONOV, V. and A. RAPINCHUK. *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen. Pure and Applied Mathematics 139. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [T38] TURING, A. M. Finite approximations to Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 39 (1938), 105–111.

- [W73] WEHRFRITZ, B. A. F. *Infinite Linear Groups. An Account of the Group-Theoretic Properties of Infinite Groups of Matrices*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 76. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [Z90] ZIMMER, R. J. *Essential Results of Functional Analysis*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1990.

(Reçu le 28 mai 2012)

Tsachik Gelander

Einstein Institute of Mathematics
Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Jerusalem, 91904
Israel
e-mail: gelande@math.huji.ac.il