

9. PUTTING PATHS IN FINE POSITION

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **19.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

geodesic, with the same endpoints, in fine position with respect to h , which is $C_{7.3}(A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J')$ -close to g and which is a stair or the concatenation of two stairs. Lemma 6.4, together with Lemma 5.4 applied as above, then provide $C_{6.4}(B(|h|_r, J, J'), B(|h|_r, J, J'))$ and

$$D(|h|_r, J, J') = C_{5.4}(1, 3, C_{6.4}(B(|h|_r, J, J'), B(|h|_r, J, J')))$$

such that this, or these, stair(s) are $D(|h|_r, J, J')$ -close to the orbit-segments between h and their endpoints. We conclude that g is $C_{7.3}(A(|h|_r, J, J'), J, J') + D(|h|_r, J, J')$ -close to these orbit-segments. The last point of the proposition is obvious. \square

9. PUTTING PATHS IN FINE POSITION

PROPOSITION 9.1. *Let h be a horizontal geodesic. Let g be a straight (J, J') -quasi geodesic, which joins the future or past orbits of the endpoints of h . There exist a constant $C_{9.1}(J, J')$ and a $(C_{9.1}(J, J'), C_{9.1}(J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic \mathcal{G} which is $C_{9.1}(J, J')$ -close to g , which has the same endpoints as g , and which is in fine position with respect to h .*

Proof. We consider a maximal subpath g' of g whose endpoints lie in the future or past orbits of some points in h , and such that no other point of g' satisfies this property. Consider any maximal $-$ -hole b in g' , and let I denote the horizontal geodesic between the endpoints of b .

CASE 1. Either I is contained in a cancellation or I is the concatenation of two horizontal geodesics, each contained in a cancellation.

Lemma 6.7 gives $C_{6.7}(J, J')$ such that, if $|I|_{f(I)} \geq C_{6.7}(J, J')$ then I is dilated in the future after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$. Lemma 5.3 gives $C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J'))$ such that the horizontal length of any horizontal geodesic contained in a cancellation and dilated in the future after $C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0$ is at most $C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J'))$. By Lemma 5.4 we get an upper bound $C_{5.4}(C_{6.7}(J, J'), 2, C_{5.3}(C_{6.7}(J, J')))$ on the horizontal length of I .

CASE 2. There exists another horizontal geodesic in another connected component of the same stratum whose pulled-tight projection agrees with that of I after some finite time.

We consider the maximal geodesic preimage I' of I under $\sigma_{C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}$ which connects two points of b . It admits a decomposition into subpaths I'_α

connecting points in b such that the subpath of b between the endpoints of each I'_α is a $-$ -hole. The strong hyperbolicity of the semi-flow implies, by Lemma 6.7, that the horizontal length of each I'_α is bounded above by $C_{6.7}(J, J')$. Since g is a (J, J') -quasi geodesic, we get $\max_{x \in b}(f(I) - f(x)) \leq JC_{6.7}(J, J') + J' + C_{6.7}(J, J')$.

CASE 3. Some subpath of I connects the future or past orbits of points in h .

The only possibility is that I be a pulled-tight image of h , i.e. $g' = b$. Consider a geodesic preimage I' of I under $\sigma_{C_{6.7}(J, J')t_0}$ between two points in b . Then proceed as in Case 2, the only difference being that for each subpath I_α , either there exists a horizontal geodesic in another connected component of the same stratum, whose pulled-tight projection agrees with that of I_α after some finite time (this is exactly Case 2), or I_α is contained in a cancellation or in the union of two cancellations, and the arguments are exactly those of Case 1. The bounded-dilatation property then gives an upper bound on the horizontal length of I .

We denote by $A(J, J')$ the largest of the constants found in Cases 1, 2 and 3. We denote by $A'(J, J')$ the largest of the constants $A(J, J')$, $C_{7.3}(A(J, J'), J, J')$ and $C_{7.2}(A(J, J'), J, J')$. Lemmas 7.2, 7.3 and 7.1 then give $B(J, J') = C_{7.1}(A'(J, J'), A'(J, J'), J, J')$, such that replacing the maximal $-$ -holes in g' by the horizontal geodesic between their endpoints yields a straight $(B(J, J'), B(J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic stair S , with the same endpoints, which is $A'(J, J')$ -close to g' . Let I' be a horizontal geodesic between S and a future or past orbit of some point in h , which is minimal in the sense of inclusion, i.e. does not contain any subpath connecting S to a future or past orbit of a point in h . This horizontal geodesic I' is a pulled-tight image of a subpath of S in the stratum considered. It is either contained in a cancellation, or is the union of two horizontal geodesics contained in a cancellation. Lemma 6.4 gives $C_{6.4}(B(J, J'), B(J, J'))$ such that, if $|I'|_{f(I')} \geq C_{6.4}(B(J, J'), B(J, J'))$ then I' is dilated in the futur after t_0 . From Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 we get $|I'|_{f(I')} \leq C_{5.4}(1, 2, C_{5.3}(1))$. Therefore S is at horizontal distance at most $D(J, J') = \max(C_{6.4}(B(J, J'), B(J, J')), C_{5.4}(1, 2, C_{5.3}(1)))$ from a straight stair $S(g')$, with the same endpoints and in fine position with respect to h . Lemmas 7.4 and 7.1 then give $E(J, J') = C_{7.1}(C_{7.4}(D(J, J'), B(J, J'), B(J, J')), C_{7.4}(D(J, J'), B(J, J'), B(J, J')), J, J')$ such that replacing the maximal subpaths g' as above by the given stair $S(g')$ gives a straight $(E(J, J'), E(J, J'))$ -quasi geodesic, with the same endpoints as g , in fine position with respect to h , and which is $D(J, J')$ -close to g . \square