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immersions. Given $v \in V^{\prime}$, we need to show there exists $x \in V^{\prime}$ such that $(x, v)$ and $(v, x)$ are in $Z^{\prime}$. This is true if $v \in V$ by the property of $Z$. If $v \in V_{s}$, then $v=a_{s}$ for some $a \in V$. We leave it to the reader to show that $\left(x, a_{s}\right) \in Z_{1}$ and $\left(a_{s}, x\right) \in Z_{2}$ for generic $x$ in $V$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

The above lemma allows us to replace $V$ by $V^{\prime}$, hence to expand $V$ whenever there exists a point $s$ in $V$ such that $v s$ is not defined for all $v \in V$, and we can expand $V^{\prime}$ if there exists a point $s^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ such that $v^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ is not defined for all $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$. Denote the result of finitely many such expansions also by $V^{\prime}$, and let $U \subset V \times V \times V^{\prime}$ be the closure of $\Gamma$. By Lemma 4.3 applied to $V^{\prime}$, the projection $p_{12}: U \rightarrow V \times V$ is an open immersion. Its image is the set of points $(a, b)$ such that $m: V \times V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is defined at $(a, b)$. If $V \times s \not \subset p_{12}(U)$ for some point $s$ in $V$, then replacing $V^{\prime}$ by $V^{\prime} \cup V_{s}^{\prime}$ increases both $V^{\prime}$ and $p_{12}(U)$. Using noetherian induction on open subschemes of $V \times V$, we may assume that after finitely many expansions, $V \times s \subset p_{12}(U)$ for all points $s \in V$. Then we have $p_{12}(U)=V \times V$.

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let $V, V^{\prime}$, and $U$ be as above. If $p_{12}(U)=V \times V$, then the operation $m: V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is everywhere defined on $V^{\prime}$ and makes $V^{\prime}$ an algebraic group.

Proof. Take ( $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ) in $V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime}$. Choose a point $x$ so that $a^{\prime} x$ and $x^{-1} b^{\prime}$ are both defined and lie in $V$. Then we can define $m\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a^{\prime} x\right)\left(x^{-1} b^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly one can define $a^{\prime-1} b^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime} a^{\prime-1}$. In this way we extend $m, \Phi$, $\Psi, \Phi^{-1}$ and $\Psi^{-1}$ to $V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime}$. The verification of the group axioms is routine and is omitted.

## 5. FUndamental properties of generalized jacobians

Keep the notations in $\S 3$. We have proved that there is a birational group structure on $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$. The algebraic group associated to this birational group is called the generalized jacobian of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and is denoted by $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It is a commutative algebraic group.

Let $D_{0}$ be a divisor on $X$ prime to $S$ of degree 0 . By Lemma 3.3, the set

$$
V_{D_{0}}=\left\{D \in(X-S)^{(\pi)} \mid l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(D+D_{0}\right)=1, \quad l\left(D+D_{0}-\mathfrak{m}\right)=0\right\}
$$

is a non-empty open subset of $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$. We have the following

LEMMA 5.1. There exists a unique morphism of varieties

$$
\alpha_{D_{0}}: V_{D_{0}} \rightarrow(X-S)^{(\pi)}
$$

such that $\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)$ is the unique effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to $D+D_{0}$ for any $D \in V_{D_{0}}$. Moreover $\alpha_{D_{0}}$ is birational.

Proof. Consider the Cartesian squares


Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the restriction to $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times V_{D_{0}}$ of the invertible sheaf on $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ that corresponds to the divisor $\mathcal{D}+p^{*}\left(D_{0}\right)$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the universal relative effective Cartier divisor. By Theorem 1.1 (c) the sheaf $q_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is invertible. The canonical map $q^{*} q_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ induces a homorphism $s: \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times V_{D_{0}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes\left(q^{*} q_{*} \mathcal{L}\right)^{-1}$. Using Remark 2.1, one can show that the pair $\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes\left(q^{*} q_{*} \mathcal{L}\right)^{-1}, s\right)$ induces a relative effective Cartier divisor on $\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times V_{D_{0}}\right) / V_{D_{0}}$. Applying Proposition 3.1 to this divisor, one gets the existence of $\alpha_{D_{0}}$. For any $D \in V_{D_{0}}$, we have $l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(D+D_{0}\right)=1$ and $l\left(D+D_{0}-\mathfrak{m}\right)=0$. So there is one and only one effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to $D+D_{0}$, and this effective divisor is simply $\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)$.

We claim that $\alpha_{-D_{0}}$ is the birational inverse of $\alpha_{D_{0}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{D_{0}}^{-1}\left(V_{-D_{0}}\right) & =\left\{D \mid D \in V_{D_{0}}, \alpha_{D_{0}}(D) \in V_{-D_{0}}\right\} \\
& =\left\{D \mid D \in V_{D_{0}}, l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)-D_{0}\right)=1, l\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)-D_{0}-\mathfrak{m}\right)=0\right\} \\
& =V_{D_{0}} \cap\left\{D \mid l_{\mathfrak{m}}(D)=1, l(D-\mathfrak{m})=0\right\} \\
& =V_{D_{0}} \cap V_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.3 both $V_{D_{0}}$ and $V_{0}$ are open and non-empty. Since $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ is irreducible, the set $V_{D_{0}} \cap V_{0}$ is also open and non-empty, that is, $\alpha_{D_{0}}^{-1}\left(V_{-D_{0}}\right)$ is open and non-empty. One can easily show that on this open set $\alpha_{-D_{0}} \circ \alpha_{D_{0}}$ is defined and is the identity. Similarly one can show $\alpha_{-D_{0}}^{-1}\left(V_{D_{0}}\right)$ is open and non-empty, and on it $\alpha_{D_{0}} \circ \alpha_{-D_{0}}$ is defined and is the identity. So $\alpha_{D_{0}}$ is birational.

We have a birational map $\varphi:(X-S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the construction of $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$ be an open subset of $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)}$ is an open immersion, Moreover we may assume that for any $a \in \operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$, both ( $a, x$ )
and ( $x, a$ ) lie in the set $U$ defined in Lemma 3.4(a) if $x$ is generic, i.e., lies in some open set. In particular, $m(a, x)$ and $m(x, a)$ are defined for generic $x$.

Let

$$
U_{D_{0}}=V_{D_{0}} \cap \operatorname{dom}(\varphi) \cap \alpha_{D_{0}}^{-1}(\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)) .
$$

Note that $U_{D_{0}}$ is open and non-empty since $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ is irreducible and $\alpha_{D_{0}}$ is birational. Moreover $\varphi(D)$ and $\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)$ are defined for any $D \in U_{D_{0}}$. Define

$$
\theta_{0}\left(D_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)-\varphi(D) .
$$

Lemma 5.2. $\quad \theta_{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $D$.
Proof. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be two elements in $U_{D_{0}}$. We need to show that

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{2}\right)
$$

Choose $D_{3} \in U_{D_{0}}$ so that $\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right), D_{3}\right),\left(D_{1}, \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right),\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{2}\right), D_{3}\right)$ and $\left(D_{2}, \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)$ all lie in the set $U$ defined in Lemma 3.4 (a). Such a $D_{3}$ exists. Indeed, if $\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right), x\right),\left(D_{1}, x\right),\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{2}\right), x\right)$ and $\left(D_{2}, x\right)$ all lie in $U$ for $x$ lying in an open set $O$, then we may choose $D_{3}$ to be any element in $U_{D_{0}} \cap O \cap \alpha_{D_{0}}^{-1}(O)$. Note that $U_{D_{0}} \cap O \cap \alpha_{D_{0}}^{-1}(O)$ is not empty since $\alpha_{D_{0}}$ is birational and $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ is irreducible.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(D_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right), D_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \varphi\left(D_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(m\left(D_{1}, \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right), D_{3}\right) \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)+D_{3}-\pi P_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D_{1}+D_{0}+D_{3}-\pi P_{0}, \\
& m\left(D_{1}, \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right) \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D_{1}+\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)-\pi P_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D_{1}+D_{3}+D_{0}-\pi P_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right), D_{3}\right)=m\left(D_{1}, \alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(D_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(D_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{3}\right) .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{3}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{3}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(D_{2}\right)
$$

This proves the lemma.

Thus we have a well-defined map $\theta_{0}: \operatorname{Div}^{(0)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ from the set of divisors of degree 0 on $X$ prime to $S$ to $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Lemma 5.3. $\quad \theta_{0}$ is a homomorphism.
Proof. Let $D_{0}, E_{0} \in \operatorname{Div}^{(0)}$ and let $F_{0}=D_{0}+E_{0}$. Choose $D \in U_{D_{0}}$, $E \in U_{E_{0}}$ and $F \in U_{F_{0}}$ so that

$$
\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D), \alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right),(D, E),\left(m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D), \alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right), F\right) \text { and }\left(m(D, E), \alpha_{F_{0}}(F)\right)
$$

all lie in the set $U$ defined in Lemma 3.4 (a). We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)+\alpha_{E_{0}}(E)+F \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D+D_{0}+E+E_{0}+F=D+E+F+D_{0}+E_{0} \\
D+E+\alpha_{F_{0}}(F) \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} D+E+F+F_{0}=D+E+F+D_{0}+E_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
m\left(m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D), \alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right), F\right)=m\left(m(D, E), \alpha_{F_{0}}(F)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\varphi\left(m\left(m\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D), \alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right), F\right)\right)=\varphi\left(m\left(m(D, E), \alpha_{F_{0}}(F)\right)\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)+\varphi\left(\alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right)+\varphi(F)=\varphi(D)+\varphi(E)+\varphi\left(\alpha_{F_{0}}(F)\right),
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)-\varphi(D)\right)+\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{E_{0}}(E)\right)-\varphi(E)\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{F_{0}}(F)\right)-\varphi(F) .
$$

This last equality is exactly

$$
\theta_{0}\left(D_{0}\right)+\theta_{0}\left(E_{0}\right)=\theta_{0}\left(D_{0}+E_{0}\right) .
$$

So $\theta_{0}$ is a homomorphism.

We define $\theta$ : Div $\rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ from the group of divisors on $X$ prime to $S$ to $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by

$$
\theta(D)=\theta_{0}\left(D-\operatorname{deg}(D) P_{0}\right) .
$$

Obviously $\theta$ is a homomorphism.

Proposition 5.4. The homomorphism $\theta$ is surjective and $\operatorname{ker}(\theta)$ consists of divisors $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to integral multiples of $P_{0}$.

Proof. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}$ is in $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$. We have

$$
\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)=\theta_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}-\pi P_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)-\varphi(D),
$$

where $D_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}-\pi P_{0}$ and $D \in U_{D_{0}}$. We may choose $D$ so that $m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}, D\right)$ is defined and is the unique effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}+D-\pi P_{0}$. Since $\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)$ is the unique effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$ equivalent to $D+D_{0}=D+\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}-\pi P_{0}$, we have $m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}, D\right)=\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)$. Hence $\varphi\left(m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}, D\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)$. So $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)+\varphi(D)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)$. Therefore $\varphi\left(\alpha_{D_{0}}(D)\right)-\varphi(D)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)$, that is,

$$
\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)
$$

This is true whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}$ is in $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$.
Since $\left.\varphi\right|_{\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)}$ is an open immersion, $\varphi(\operatorname{dom}(\varphi))$ is an open subset of $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The image of $\theta$ contains this open subset. But $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is generated by any open subset. So we must have $\operatorname{Im}(\theta)=J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\theta$ is surjective.

Assume $E \in \operatorname{ker}(\theta)$. Then $\theta_{0}\left(E-\operatorname{deg}(E) P_{0}\right)=0$. Put $E_{0}=E-\operatorname{deg}(E) P_{0}$. Then for any $F \in U_{E_{0}}$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)\right)-\varphi(F)=\theta_{0}\left(E-\operatorname{deg}(E) P_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence $\varphi\left(\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)\right)=\varphi(F)$. But $\varphi$ is an open immersion on $\operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$. So we have $\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)=F$. Since $\alpha_{E_{0}}(F) \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} F+E_{0}$, we have $F \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} F+E_{0}$. Hence $E_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} 0$, that is, $E \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{deg}(E) P_{0}$. So $E$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to an integral multiple of $P_{0}$.

Conversely assume $E$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to an integral multiple of $P_{0}$ and let us prove that $\theta(E)=0$. Again let $E_{0}=E-\operatorname{deg}(E) P_{0}$. Then $E_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} 0$. Choose $F \in U_{E_{0}} \cap U_{0}$, where $U_{0}$ is the set $U_{D_{0}}$ defined before by taking $D_{0}=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(E) & =\theta_{0}\left(E_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)\right)-\varphi(F), \\
\theta(0) & =\varphi\left(\alpha_{0}(F)\right)-\varphi(F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $F+E_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} F$ since $E_{0} \sim_{\mathfrak{m}} 0$. But $\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)$ is the unique effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to $F+E_{0}$, and $\alpha_{0}(F)$ is the unique effective divisor $\mathfrak{m}$ equivalent to $F$. So we must have $\alpha_{E_{0}}(F)=\alpha_{0}(F)$. Therefore $\theta(E)=\theta(0)=0$.

Regarding a point $P$ in $X-S$ as a divisor, we can calculate $\theta(P)$. In this way we get a map $\theta: X-S \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

PROPOSITION 5.5. The map $\theta: X-S \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties.

Proof. Let $P \in X-S$ and let $D_{0}=P-P_{0}$. Fix a $D \in U_{D_{0}}$. Consider the set $W_{1}=\left\{R \in X-S \mid l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(D+R-P_{0}\right)=1\right\}$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, for any $R$ in $X-S$, we have $l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(D+R-P_{0}\right) \geq 1$. Applying Theorem 1.1 (b) to the projection $q: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times(X-S) \rightarrow X-S$ and the invertible sheaf corresponding to the divisor $\mathcal{D}+p^{*}\left(D-P_{0}\right)$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the universal relative effective Cartier divisor on $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times(X-S)$ and $p: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times(X-S) \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is another projection, we see that $W_{1}$ is open in $X-S$. Similarly one can show $W_{2}=\left\{R \in X-S \mid l\left(D+R-P_{0}-\mathfrak{m}\right)=0\right\}$ is also open in $X-S$. So $W=W_{1} \cap W_{2}=\left\{R \in X-S \mid l_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(D+R-P_{0}\right)=1, \quad l\left(D+R-P_{0}-\mathfrak{m}\right)=0\right\}$ is open in $X-S$. It is non-empty since $P \in W$ by our choice of $D$. By Proposition 3.1 we have a morphism $\gamma: W \rightarrow(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ of algebraic varieties such that for every $R \in W, \gamma(R)$ is the unique effective divisor that is $\mathfrak{m}$ equivalent to $D+R-P_{0}$. Since $\alpha_{R-P_{0}}(D)$ is the unique effective divisor that is $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalent to $D+R-P_{0}$, we have $\gamma(R)=\alpha_{R-P_{0}}(D)$. Replacing $W$ by an open subset containing $P$, we may assume $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \subset \operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$. Note that for any $R \in W$, we have $D \in U_{R-P_{0}}$, and

$$
\theta(R)=\theta_{0}\left(R-P_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\left(\alpha_{R-P_{0}}(D)\right)-\varphi(D)=\varphi(\gamma(R))-\varphi(D),\right.
$$

that is, $\theta(R)=\varphi(\gamma(R))-\varphi(D)$. So $\theta=\varphi \circ \gamma-\varphi(D)$ on $W$. This proves $\theta$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties in an open subset containing $P$. Since $P \in X-S$ is arbitrary, $\theta$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties.

The morphism $\theta: X-S \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ induces a morphism of algebraic varieties $\theta:(X-S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

PROPOSITION 5.6. $\quad \theta:(X-S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ coincides with the birational map $\varphi:(X-S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. In particular $\varphi$ is everywhere defined.

Proof. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i} \in \operatorname{dom}(\varphi)$. By the proof of Proposition 5.4, we have $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)=\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\pi} P_{i}\right)$. So $\varphi=\theta$ as rational maps.

Thus there is no difference between $\varphi$ and $\theta$. From now on we denote the map $\varphi$ also by $\theta$. We summarize what we have so far in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. There is a morphism of algebraic varieties $\theta: X-S \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfying the following properties:
(a) The extension of $\theta$ to the group of divisors on $X$ prime to $S$ induces, by passing to quotient, an isomorphism between the group $C_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ of classes of divisors of degree zero with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$-equivalence and the group $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
(b) The extension of $\theta$ to $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ induces a birational map from $X^{(\pi)}$ to $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

The following theorem characterizes $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by a universal property:
ThEOREM 2. Let $f: X \rightarrow G$ be a rational map from $X$ to a commutative algebraic group $G$ and assume $\mathfrak{m}$ is a modulus for $f$. Then there is a unique homomorphism $F: J_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow G$ of algebraic groups such that $f=F \circ \theta+f\left(P_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Replacing $f$ by $f-f\left(P_{0}\right)$, we may assume $f\left(P_{0}\right)=0$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is a modulus for $f$, the extension of $f$ to the group of divisors of $X$ prime to $S$ induces a homomorphism $C_{\mathfrak{m}}^{0} \rightarrow G$ by passing to quotient. By Theorem 1 (a) we have $J_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong C_{\mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ as groups. So we have a homomorphism of groups $F: J_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow G$ such that $f=F \theta$. It remains to prove $F$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. By Theorem 1 (b) we have a birational map $\theta:(X-S)^{(\pi)} \rightarrow J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Denote the extension of $f$ to $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ by $f^{\prime}$. Then $F \theta=f^{\prime}$. Since $\theta$ is birational, it induces an isomorphism between an open subvariety of $(X-S)^{(\pi)}$ and an open subvariety of $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Moreover $f^{\prime}$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Hence $F$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties when restricted to some open subset of $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$. The whole $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ can be obtained from this open subset by translation. So $F$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties.

## 6. Generalized Jacobians and Picard schemes

In this section we prove $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the Picard scheme of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
Let $T$ be a $k$-scheme. Consider the Cartesian square


We have $q_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times T}=\mathcal{O}_{T}$ by [EGA] III, §1.4.15, the fact $H^{0}\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)=k$, and the fact that $T \rightarrow \operatorname{spec}(k)$ is flat. The morphism $q$ has a section $s: T \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}} \times T, t \mapsto\left(P_{0}, t\right)$.

