PARTICULAR CASE OF DIRICHLET'S THEOREM ON ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

L'Enseignement Mathématique, t. 44 (1998), p. 3–7

A PARTICULAR CASE OF DIRICHLET'S THEOREM ON ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

by Naïri SEDRAKIAN and John STEINIG

Dirichlet's theorem on primes in an arithmetic progression states that if a and m are relatively prime integers, there exist infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$. We give here an elementary proof of the case in which $a = 1$.

We use the following notation. If $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ are positive integers, with $r \geq 2$, $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ denotes their greatest common divisor and $[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ their least common multiple. For $r = 1$, we set $(x_1) := x_1$ and $[x_1] := x_1$.

The proof rests on three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If m and $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ are integers, with $m > 1$ and $a_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then*

$$\left(m^{a_1} - 1, \ldots, m^{a_r} - 1\right) = m^{(a_1, \ldots, a_r)} - 1 . \tag{1}$$

Proof. The case $r = 1$ is trivial. The case $r = 2$ can be established by computing $(m^{a_1} - 1, m^{a_2} - 1)$ with the euclidean algorithm; the computation runs parallel to that of (a_1, a_2). One can then continue by induction, using the associative property

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \left((x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}), x_r\right) .$$

(For a different proof of the case $r = 2$, see [8], p. 26.)

LEMMA 2. *If $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ are positive integers, then*

$$[x_1, \ldots, x_r] = \frac{\prod_i (x_i) \prod_{i<j<k} (x_i, x_j, x_k) \cdot \ldots}{\prod_{i<j} (x_i, x_j) \prod_{i<j<k<\ell} (x_i, x_j, x_k, x_\ell) \cdot \ldots}, \tag{2}$$

where the numerator on the right hand side is the product of the gcd's of $x_1, \ldots, x_r$, taken n at a time for odd $n = 1, 3, \ldots$; the denominator is the product of the gcd's of $x_1, \ldots, x_r$, taken n at a time for even $n = 2, 4, \ldots$. There are 2^{r-1} factors in the numerator and $2^{r-1} - 1$ in the denominator.

Proof. The case $r = 1$ is trivial. For $r = 2$, identity (2) is the familiar

$$[x_1, x_2] = \frac{x_1 x_2}{(x_1, x_2)}. \tag{3}$$

One can continue by induction, using (3) and the associative and distributive properties

$$[x_1, \ldots, x_r] = \left[[x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}], x_r\right],$$

respectively

$$\left([x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}], x_r\right) = \left[(x_1, x_r), \ldots, (x_{r-1}, x_r)\right].$$

(Identity (2) is due to V.-A. Le Besgue ([3], pp. 51–53), whose proof consists in showing that any prime divides both sides of (2) to the same power.)

LEMMA 3. *Let m be an integer, $m > 1$; let $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ be distinct primes which divide m. Then*

$$\left[m^{m/p_1} - 1, \ldots, m^{m/p_r} - 1\right] < m^m - 1. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Since $m^m - 1$ is divisible by each integer $m^{m/p_i} - 1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, r)$, it is divisible by their least common multiple. Hence (4) will be proved if we can show that

$$\left[m^{m/p_1} - 1, \ldots, m^{m/p_r} - 1\right] = m^m - 1 \tag{5}$$

is impossible. To this end, we rewrite the left hand side of (5) by setting $x_i = m^{m/p_i} - 1$ in Lemma 2, and then apply Lemma 1 to the gcd's which occur. Since $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct primes, we have

$$(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_t}) = m^{m/p_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{i_t}} - 1 \qquad \text{if} \quad 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_t \le r.$$

This will bring (5) to the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k}(m^{n_j}-1)=\prod_{j=k+1}^{2k}(m^{n_j}-1), \tag{6}$$

with $k=2^{r-1}$ and $n_1=\frac{m}{p_1\cdot\ldots\cdot p_r}<n_j \quad (j\geq 2)$.

But (6) would imply that

$$(-1)^{k-1}(m^{n_1}-1)\equiv(-1)^k \pmod{m^{n_1+1}},$$

that is, $m^{n_1+1} \mid m^{n_1}$; this is impossible, since $m>1$. This concludes the proof.

We can now prove the

THEOREM. *Let m be an integer, $m>1$. There exist infinitely many primes p such that $p\equiv 1 \pmod m$.*

Proof. By a familiar argument [10], it suffices to prove the existence, for each $m>1$, of at least one prime $p\equiv 1 \pmod m$. (If $p_1\equiv 1 \pmod m$ and $p_2\equiv 1 \pmod{p_1 m}$, then $p_2\equiv 1 \pmod m$ and $p_2\geq p_1 m+1>p_1$.)

Now let m be an integer, $m>1$, and let $p_1,\ldots,p_s$ be its distinct prime divisors. Define the integer N by

$$N:=\frac{m^m-1}{\left[m^{m/p_1}-1,\ldots,m^{m/p_s}-1\right]}. \tag{7}$$

Then $N>1$ by Lemma 3. Let q be any prime divisor of N; we shall show that

$$q\equiv 1 \pmod m. \tag{8}$$

Since $q \mid N$, we have

$$q \,\Big|\, \frac{m^m-1}{m^{m/p_i}-1} \quad \text{for } i=1,\ldots,s \tag{9}$$

and

$$q \mid m^m-1. \tag{10}$$

It follows from (10) that q does not divide m, whence

$$q \mid m^{q-1}-1. \tag{11}$$

By (10), (11) and Lemma 1,

$$q \mid m^{(m,\,q-1)} - 1 . \tag{12}$$

Suppose now that (8) does not hold. Then $(m, q-1) \mid \dfrac{m}{p_i}$ for some i, $1 \leq i \leq s$, whence by (12),

$$q \mid m^{m/p_i} - 1 \tag{13}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{m^m - 1}{m^{m/p_i} - 1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_i-1} (m^{m/p_i})^\nu \equiv p_i \pmod{q} . \tag{14}$$

But (14) is impossible, for with (9) it implies that $p_i = q$, contradicting the fact that q does not divide m. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. Several elementary proofs of this special case of Dirichlet's theorem are known; see [1], [2, §11.3], [4, §48], [5], [6], [7, §6.1A], [8, Ch. 6,5], [9], [10] and the references in [7, pp. 241–245]. They involve, more or less explicitly, the cyclotomic polynomials, say $\Phi_n(x)$. Although the proof we have given here does not require any knowledge of these polynomials, the integer N defined in (7) is in fact equal to $\Phi_m(m)$, as can be seen with Lemmas 1 and 2 and the identity [2, p. 181]

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d \mid n} \left(x^{n/d} - 1\right)^{\mu(d)} ,$$

where μ is the Möbius function (see also [4], §46).

REFERENCES

[1] ESTERMANN, T. Note on a paper of A. Rotkiewicz. *Acta Arithmetica 8* (1963), 465–467.

[2] HASSE, H. *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie.* 2. Auflage. Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, New York), 1964.

[3] LE BESGUE, V.-A. *Introduction à la théorie des nombres.* Mallet-Bachelier (Paris), 1862.

[4] NAGELL, T. *Introduction to Number Theory.* 2nd edition. Chelsea Publishing Co. (New York), 1964.

[5] NIVEN, I. and B. POWELL. Primes in certain arithmetic progressions. *Amer. Math. Monthly 83* (1976), 467–469.

[6] ROTKIEWICZ, A. Démonstration arithmétique de l'existence d'une infinité de nombres premiers de la forme $nk+1$. *L'Enseignement Math. (2) 7* (1961), 277–280.

[7] SHAPIRO, H. N. *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (New York), 1983.

[8] SIERPIŃSKI, W. *Elementary Theory of Numbers.* 2nd edition (ed. A. Schinzel). North-Holland (Amsterdam, New York, Oxford) and PWN (Warszawa), 1988.

[9] SCHUR, I. Über die Existenz unendlich vieler Primzahlen in einigen speziellen arithmetischen Progressionen. *Sitzber. der Berliner Math. Ges. 11* (1912), 40–50. Reproduced in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen II* (ed. A. Brauer and H. Rohrbach), 1–11. Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Göttingen, New York), 1973.

[10] WENDT, E. Elementarer Beweis des Satzes, dass in jeder unbegrenzten arithmetischen Progression $my + 1$ unendlich viele Primzahlen vorkommen. *J. für die reine und angewandte Math. 115* (1895), 85–88.

(Reçu le 30 juin 1997; version révisée reçue le 30 avril 1998)

Naïri Sedrakian

c/o Vardan Akopian
8, rue Francis de Croisset, A406
F-75018 Paris
France
e-mail: hakobian@ann.jussieu.fr

John Steinig

Section de mathématiques
Université de Genève
C.P. 240
CH-1211 Genève 24
Switzerland