5. The number of Hadamard matrices of order n

and therefore

$$\alpha_\gamma = \frac{1}{2^{N-\alpha-\dim L}\,\alpha!} P_K^{(\alpha)}(-1) .$$

Multiplying both sides by $2^{n-\dim L}$, and plugging in equation (1), we obtain the claimed formula for $|f^{-1}(v)|$. □

COROLLARY 5. *Let* v_{min} *be the least value assumed by* f *on binary points. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}(N + v_{min}) = \textit{the order of } -1 \textit{ as a root of } P_K(T) . \qquad \square$$

5. THE NUMBER OF HADAMARD MATRICES OF ORDER n

A *Hadamard matrix* is a square matrix H of order n with entries in $\{+1, -1\}$, satisfying the relation

$$H \cdot H^\top = nI_n .$$

($H^\top$ denotes the transpose of H, and I_n the identity matrix of order n.)

It is well known that the order of a Hadamard matrix can only be 1, 2 or a multiple of 4. Conversely, the existence of a Hadamard matrix of order n for every $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ is a longstanding conjecture, due to Jacques Hadamard [H]. The smallest open case currently occurs at $n = 428$. For a survey on Hadamard matrices, see [SY].

The theory exposed above yields a counting formula for Hadamard matrices of order n, in terms of the weight enumerator of a certain binary linear code of length $\binom{n}{2}^2$.

STEP 1. *Defining equations for Hadamard matrices.*

We represent binary matrices of order n as points $p = (p_{i,j}) \in \{1, -1\}^{n^2}$. Considering n^2 variables $\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$, let

$$g_{k,l} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} x_{k,r} x_{l,r} .$$

If $p = (p_{i,j})$ is a binary matrix, then $g_{k,l}(p)$ is the dot product of the k-th and l-th rows of p. Thus, a binary matrix p is Hadamard if and only if

$$g_{k,l}(p) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k < l \leqslant n .$$

STEP 2. *Reduction to a single equation.*

Let

$$g = \sum_{1 \leqslant k < l \leqslant n} g_{k,l}^2 .$$

By construction, we have the following properties:

(1) $g(p) \geqslant 0$ for every binary matrix p;

(2) $g(p) = 0$ if and only if p is Hadamard.

Developing the expression for g, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \textstyle\sum_{k<l} g_{k,l}^2 \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{k<l} (\sum_r x_{k,r} x_{l,r})^2 \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{k<l} (n + 2 \sum_{r<s} x_{k,r} x_{l,r} x_{k,s} x_{l,s}) \\ &= n \binom{n}{2} + 2f, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f := \sum_{k<l} \sum_{r<s} x_{k,r} x_{l,r} x_{k,s} x_{l,s} .$$

(Of course, the above computation is performed modulo the relations $x_{i,j}^2 = 1$ for all i, j.)

The following properties of $f = \frac{1}{2}\left(g - n\binom{n}{2}\right)$ derive instantly from those of g:

(1) $f(p) \geqslant -\frac{1}{2} n \binom{n}{2}$ for every binary matrix p;

(2) $f(p) = -\frac{1}{2} n \binom{n}{2}$ if and only if p is Hadamard.

STEP 3. *The code associated with* f.

Let $K_n := L_f^{\perp}$ denote the dual of the binary code L_f associated with f, as defined in Section 3. Explicitly, we consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n : \quad \mathbf{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}^2} &\to \mathbf{F}_2^{n^2} \\ E(k, l; r, s) &\mapsto e_{k,r} + e_{l,r} + e_{k,s} + e_{l,s} , \end{aligned}$$

where $\{E(k, l; r, s)\}_{1 \leqslant k < l \leqslant n,\, 1 \leqslant r < s \leqslant n}$ and $\{e_{i,j}\}_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$ denote the standard bases of the left and right spaces, respectively; by construction then, $K_n = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_n)$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4 and of the above-mentioned properties of f, we obtain the

THEOREM 6. *Let* K_n *(n even) be the code of length* $\binom{n}{2}^2$ *defined as the kernel of the above map* $\phi_n : \mathbf{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}^2} \to \mathbf{F}_2^{n^2}$. *Let* $P_n(T)$ *denote the weight enumerator of* K_n. *Then the number* $h(n)$ *of Hadamard matrices of order* n *is given by*

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{2^{\beta(n)}\,\alpha(n)!} \cdot P_n^{(\alpha(n))}(-1)\,,$$

where

1. $\alpha(n) = n^2(n-1)(n-2)/8$;
2. $\beta(n) = n^3(n-1)/8 - n^2$;
3. $P_n^{(\alpha(n))}(-1)$ *denotes the* $\alpha(n)$*-th derivative of* $P_n(T)$, *evaluated at* -1.

Proof. In the formula of Theorem 4, replace:

- N, the length of the code, by $\binom{n}{2}^2$;
- v, a lower bound for the values of f, by $-\frac{1}{2}n\binom{n}{2}$; and
- n, the number of variables in f, by n^2. □

Thus, the determination of the weight enumerator of K_n is an important problem. We will give below, without proof, the number of codewords of weight 3, 4 and 5 of K_n. (Of course, there are no words of weight 1 or 2 in K_n.) But the problem can be generalized a little bit, as follows. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{m,n}: \quad \mathbf{F}_2^{\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{2}} &\to \mathbf{F}_2^{mn} \\ E(k,l;r,s) &\mapsto e_{k,r} + e_{l,r} + e_{k,s} + e_{l,s}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where now, the indices $k < l$ range from 1 to m instead of 1 to n. We denote by $K_{m,n}$ the kernel of $\phi_{m,n}$.

Let $\Gamma = \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We can think of the vector basis $e_{i,j}$ as the point on row i and column j in the grid Γ, and of $E(k,l;r,s)$ as the rectangle determined by rows k, l and columns r, s in Γ. The image of $E(k,l;r,s)$ under $\phi_{m,n}$, then, is the formal sum of its four corners.

Thus, an element of weight w in $K_{m,n}$ can be pictured as a set of w rectangles in the grid Γ, such that every point in the grid coincides with an *even* number of corners of the rectangles in the set.

For example, all elements of weight 3 in $K_{m,n}$ can be represented (up to proper size and location) by the following picture:



or its vertical analogue. This picture represents a codeword of the form

$$E(k, l; r_1, r_2) + E(k, l; r_1, r_3) + E(k, l; r_2, r_3) .$$

Thus, the number of codewords of weight 3 in $K_{m,n}$ is equal to

$$w_3(K_{m,n}) = \binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{3} + \binom{m}{3}\binom{n}{2} .$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$w_4(K_{m,n}) = 3\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{4} + 9\binom{m}{3}\binom{n}{3} + 3\binom{m}{4}\binom{n}{2} ;$$

$$w_5(K_{m,n}) = 12\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{5} + 72\binom{m}{3}\binom{n}{4} + 72\binom{m}{4}\binom{n}{3} + 12\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{5} + 9\binom{m}{3}\binom{n}{3} .$$

As a last remark, note that an upper bound for the weights in the associated code L_f is given by $\frac{1}{8}n^3(n-1)$, and that this bound is actually attained for some n if and only if there exists a Hadamard matrix of order n. This follows from, say, Corollary 3.

6. The number of proper 4-colorings of a graph

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph (no loops, no multiple edges) with vertex set V and edge set E. We will identify V with $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and denote the cardinality of E by e.

A 4-*coloring* of G is the assignment to every vertex of one among four fixed colors; such a coloring is *proper* if the colors assigned to the end vertices of any edge are distinct. For a survey on the 4-colorings of planar graphs, see [SK].

We will count the number of proper 4-colorings of G, in terms of the weight enumerator of a certain code of length $3e$.

Step 1. *The defining equations for proper 4-colorings.*

As our palette of colors, we will choose the 4-set $\{1, -1\}^2$. The space of all 4-colorings of G can thus be identified with $\{1, -1\}^{2n}$, for example as follows: