

Application to the local isometric embedding of a Riemannian manifold

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **35 (1989)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

APPLICATION TO THE LOCAL ISOMETRIC EMBEDDING
OF A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

(following Hörmander [3], Section 2).

Let M be a compact C^∞ manifold of dimension n and g a smooth Riemannian metric on M . In local coordinates, we are thus given a positive definite quadratic form

$$g = \sum_{j,k} g_{jk} dx_j dx_k.$$

The celebrated theorem of Nash [7], which is at the origin of the method, states that for some (large) integer N , there is an isometric embedding $u: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$, that is an injective map satisfying the system of equations

$$(13) \quad \langle \partial_j u, \partial_k u \rangle = g_{jk} \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n$$

where ∂_j stands for $\partial/\partial x_j$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the Euclidean scalar product in \mathbf{R}^N ; thus, any compact Riemannian manifold can be thought as a submanifold of a Euclidean space.

In the proof of this Nash theorem, one first establishes that the set of metrics g such that the problem can be solved is a dense convex cone in the set of all C^∞ metrics on M , and this leads to the following reduced problem (see Hörmander [3] Section 2): show that the equation (13) can be solved for every metric in some neighborhood of a fixed metric g^0 .

To illustrate the method described above, let us show how one can use our theorem to prove this last property locally (and this will give a local isometric embedding $u: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$).

Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; |x| < 1\}$ and choose, near some point $x_0 \in M$, local coordinates such that Ω describes a neighborhood of x_0 ; we take a $C_0^\infty u_0: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ equal to

$$((x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}, (x_j^2/2)_{1 \leq j \leq n}, (x_j x_k)_{1 \leq j < k \leq n})$$

in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$; this u_0 is an isometric embedding for the corresponding metric g^0 in Ω , namely the metric $g_{jj}^0 = 1 + |x|^2$ and $g_{jk} = x_j x_k$ if $j \neq k$. Finally, for a metric g close to g^0 , we consider the restriction $\phi(u)$ to Ω of the function

$$(14) \quad (\langle \partial_j u, \partial_k u \rangle - g_{jk})_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n}$$

which is a function in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ valued in $\mathbf{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ for any $u \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ valued in $\mathbf{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$. Classically, estimates such as (1) hold for $s > (n+2)/2$.

The derivative of ϕ with respect to u is defined by

$$(15) \quad \phi'(u)v = (\langle \partial_j u, \partial_k v \rangle + \langle \partial_k u, \partial_j v \rangle)_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n}.$$

If $\phi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ is valued in $\mathbf{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$, let us consider it as a function valued in $\mathbf{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ by adding n components $\phi_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and define $\psi(u)\phi$ as a continuous extension to \mathbf{R}^n of the function

$$(16) \quad v = -\frac{1}{2} A(u)^{-1} \phi$$

where $A(u)$ is the $n(n+3)/2$ square matrix the rows of which are $\partial_j u$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\partial_j \partial_k u$ for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$; thanks to our choice of u_0 , the matrix $A(u_0)$ is invertible on Ω , and so is $A(u)$ for any u close enough to u_0 . Since $A(u)^{-1}$ is an algebraic function of derivatives of u up to order 2, estimates such as (3) are again classical.

Finally, we have to prove that this operator ψ inverts ϕ' (formula (2)). Applying $A(u)$ to the function v in (16), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_j u, v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \phi_j = 0 & 1 \leq j \leq n \\ \langle \partial_j \partial_k u, v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \phi_{jk} & 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The x_k derivative of the first equation gives $\langle \partial_j \partial_k u, v \rangle + \langle \partial_j u, \partial_k v \rangle = 0$, and one gets also $\langle \partial_j \partial_k u, v \rangle + \langle \partial_k u, \partial_j v \rangle = 0$ so that the second equation and (15) give $\phi'(u)v = \phi$ in Ω .

Thus all the assumptions of the theorem are fulfilled, and it follows that we can get a solution if $\phi(u_0)$ is sufficiently small in some $H^s(\Omega)$ norm; but according to (14), $\phi(u_0) = g^0 - g$, and the result is that (13) can be solved for any metric g close enough to g^0 , as required.

APPENDIX:

CONSTRUCTION OF THE SMOOTHING OPERATORS IN SOBOLEV SPACES

Let us recall that $v \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ means $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and

$$|v|_s^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \int (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

Let $\chi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ function taking the value 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and vanishing for $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{3}$. For $v \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\theta > 1$ one sets

$$\widehat{S_\theta v}(\xi) = \chi(\xi/\theta) \hat{v}(\xi).$$

Then, if $s \geq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{S_\theta v}(\xi)|^2 &\leq \theta^{2(s-t)} (1 + |\xi/\theta|^2)^{s-t} |\chi(\xi/\theta)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^t |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 \\ &\leq (2\theta)^{2(s-t)} (1 + |\xi|^2)^t |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 \end{aligned}$$