

4. Pieri formula

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **27 (1981)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **22.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$$\frac{d}{|W|} \equiv \frac{\rho^N}{N!} \pmod{I_W}$$

where ρ is the sum of the fundamental weights. Hence one can attempt to compute the operators Δ_w on ρ^N .

It is possible to develop such formulae and we hope to treat them elsewhere. In particular, one might want to conjecture in the general case that $\rho^N \notin I_W$, maybe even for all ρ in the interior of the fundamental chamber.

4. PIERI FORMULA

Recall that the algebra of operators Δ_W was generated by both the Δ_α 's and the multiplication operators ω^* . Using the basis constructed in (2.9), if one composes such operators, say $\omega^* \circ \Delta_w$ or $\Delta_w \circ \omega^*$, it should be possible to express them linearly in terms of the operators Δ_g , $g \in W$. Of course, our eventual concern is with the algebra Δ_W and

$$\varepsilon \circ \omega^* \cdot \Delta_w = 0 .$$

So, if we compute the commutator $[\Delta_w, \omega^*]$ a quick application of ε will yield a formula for $\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_w \circ \omega^*$. Here we are following the strategy of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand [2]. Essentially, this result is our Pieri formula disguised in its dual form.

In order for the techniques of section 1 and induction to be easily applicable, we work with the slightly modified operator $w^{-1} \Delta_w$ (recall $W \subset \Delta_W$). The main result is

THEOREM 4.1. *If $w \in W$, $\omega \in V^*$, then in $\text{End } S(V)$,*

$$[w^{-1} \Delta_w, \omega^*] = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w' \xrightarrow{\gamma} w}} (w'^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega) w^{-1} \Delta_{w'} .$$

We will now fix a reduced decomposition $w = s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_k}$ and write s_i for s_{α_i} and $w_i = s_{\alpha_n} \dots s_{\alpha_i}$. First we have the following easy observation.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\theta_i = s_k \dots s_{i+1}(\alpha_i) = w_{i+1}(\alpha_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then*

(i) $w^{-1} \Delta_w = \Delta_{\theta_1} \Delta_{\theta_2} \dots \Delta_{\theta_k}$

and

(ii) $s_{\theta_i} (w_i^\wedge)^{-1} = w^{-1}$

Proof. Note by (2.2 ii, iv) $s_\alpha \Delta_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w^{-1} \Delta_w &= s_k \dots s_1 \Delta_{\alpha_1} \dots \Delta_{\alpha_k} = \Delta_{s_k \dots s_1 (\alpha_1)} s_k \dots s_2 \Delta_{\alpha_2} \dots \Delta_{\alpha_k} \\ &= \Delta_{\theta_1} w_2 \Delta_{\alpha_2} \dots \Delta_{\alpha_k} \end{aligned}$$

and induction completes the argument. The second remark follows precisely as in (1.3).

Proof of (4.1). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} [w^{-1} \Delta_w, \omega^*] &= [\Delta_{\theta_1} \circ \dots \circ \Delta_{\theta_k}, \omega^*] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_{\theta_1} \dots \Delta_{\theta_{j-1}} [\Delta_{\theta_j}, \omega^*] \dots \Delta_{\theta_k} . \end{aligned}$$

Let us call the j -th summand P_j . Firstly, observe that $[\Delta_{\theta_j}, \omega^*] = (\theta_j^v, \omega) s_{\theta_j}$ by (2.2 vii). If we substitute this into P_j and drag the reflection s_{θ_j} over to the left we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_j &= \Delta_{\theta_1} \dots \Delta_{\theta_{j-1}} [\Delta_{\theta_j}, \omega^*] \Delta_{\theta_{j+1}} \dots \Delta_{\theta_k} \\ &= (\theta_j^v, \omega) \Delta_{\theta_1} \dots \Delta_{\theta_{j-1}} s_{\theta_j} \Delta_{\theta_{j+1}} \dots \Delta_{\theta_k} \\ &= (\theta_j^j, \omega) s_{\theta_j} \Delta_{s_{\theta_j}(\theta_1)} \dots \Delta_{s_{\theta_j}(\theta_{j-1})} \Delta_{\theta_{j+1}} \dots \Delta_{\theta_k} \\ &= (\theta_j^v, \omega) s_{\theta_j} (w_j^\wedge)^{-1} \Delta_{w_j^\wedge} . \end{aligned}$$

To see this final identity we must argue, by (4.2), that $s_{\theta_j}(\theta_i) = \pm \theta_{i, \hat{j}}$ where $\theta_{i, \hat{j}} = s_k \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_{i+1}(\alpha_i)$. (As in the above remark, $\theta_{i, \hat{j}}$ is the θ_i for $w_j^\wedge = s_1 \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$.) But, we can assume $i < j$, in which case

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\theta_j}(\theta_i) &= s_k \dots s_{j+1} s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_k (s_k \dots s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{i+1}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= s_k \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_{i+1}(\alpha_i) = \theta_{i, \hat{j}} . \end{aligned}$$

And now, by (4.2 ii)

$$P_j = (\theta_j^v, \omega) w^{-1} \Delta_{w_j^\wedge}$$

and $s_{w_j^\wedge(\theta_j)}(w_j^\wedge) = w$, so $w_j^\wedge \xrightarrow{w_j^\wedge(\theta_j)} w$. Finally, (1.2) allows us to reindex by the immediate subwords of w

$$\sum_{j=1}^k P_j = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w' \rightarrow w}} ((w')^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega) w^{-1} \Delta_{w'}$$

and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 4.3.

$$\Delta_w \cdot \omega^* = w \cdot \omega^* \cdot w^{-1} \Delta_w + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w' \rightarrow w}} ((w')^{-1}(\gamma), \omega) \Delta_{w'}$$

Proof. Multiply (4.1) by w .

COROLLARY 4.4.

$$\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_w \cdot \omega^* = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w' \rightarrow w}} ((w')^{-1}(\gamma^v), \omega) \varepsilon \cdot \Delta_{w'}$$

Proof. The first term in the right-hand side of (4.3) is annihilated by ε . It is now easy to dualize the above and obtain

THEOREM 4.5 (Pieri formula). *If* $w \in W, \alpha \in \Sigma$, *then in* H_W

$$X_{s_\alpha} \cdot X_w = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w \rightarrow w'}} (w^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega_\alpha) X_{w'}$$

Proof. Choose A such that $\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_{w'}(A) = \delta_{ww'}$, for example $\sigma(X_w)$. Then, by (3.4 ii)

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s_\alpha} \cdot X_w &= c(\omega_\alpha A) \\ &= \sum_{w' \in W} \varepsilon \Delta_{w'}(\omega_\alpha A) X_{w'} \\ &= \sum_{w' \in W} \varepsilon \cdot \Delta_{w'} \omega_\alpha^*(A) X_{w'} \\ &= \sum_{w' \in W} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ g \rightarrow w'}} (g^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega_\alpha) \varepsilon \circ \Delta_g(A) \right) X_{w'} \\ &= \sum_{w' \in W} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ g \rightarrow w'}} (g^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega_\alpha) \delta_{gw} \right) X_{w'} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ w \rightarrow w'}} (w^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \omega_\alpha) X_{w'} \end{aligned}$$

Of course, it is also possible to rewrite this formula in the following equivalent form.

COROLLARY 4.6.

$$X_{s_\alpha} \cdot X_w = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta^+ \\ l(ws_\beta) = l(w) + 1}} (\beta^v, \omega_\alpha) X_{ws_\beta}$$

Proof. It suffices to note $\sigma_\gamma w = w'$ if and only if $w \sigma_{w^{-1}(\gamma)} = w'$.

Example. Recall that in H_{Σ_3} we computed

$$X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} = \frac{1}{3}(-X_{s_\alpha}^2 + X_{s_\beta} X_{s_\alpha} + 2X_{s_\beta}^2) .$$

By (4.6), one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s_\alpha}^2 &= X_{s_\beta s_\alpha} \\ X_{s_\beta} X_{s_\alpha} &= X_{s_\beta s_\alpha} + X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} \\ X_{s_\beta}^2 &= X_{s_\alpha s_\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and this confirms our earlier computation.

5. H_W AS A W -MODULE AND PARABOLICS

If (W, S) is a Coxeter system and $\theta \subseteq S$ then (W_θ, θ) is also a Coxeter system [6, p. 20] and W_θ is called a *parabolic* subgroup of W . In addition, it is easy to see that a geometric realization (Δ, Σ) of (W, S) can be restricted to a geometric realization of (W_θ, θ) . The collection $\{W_\theta\}_{\theta \subseteq S}$ of parabolic forms a lattice of $2^{|\Sigma|}$ distinct subgroups where, for example, $W_\theta \cap W_{\theta'} = W_{\theta \cap \theta'}$. We will eventually be concerned with the set of left cosets of W_θ in W . We define $W^\theta = \{w \in W : l(ws) = l(w) + 1 \text{ for all } s \in \theta\}$. The following basic result is well-known [6, p. 37 and p. 45].

THEOREM 5.1. *Every element w of W can be uniquely expressed as $w^\theta \cdot w_\theta$ with $w^\theta \in W^\theta$, $w_\theta \in W_\theta$ and furthermore $l(w) = l(w^\theta) + l(w_\theta)$.*

This immediately yields

COROLLARY 5.2. *W^θ is a complete set of left coset representations for W_θ in W and furthermore provides an element of the coset of minimal length.*

In this section we analyze the subalgebra $H_W^{W^\theta}$ of W_θ -invariants in H_W . The most straightforward approach is to compute exactly the action of W on H_W . This is easily done by exploiting the computation (4.1).

THEOREM 5.3. *The structure of H_W as a W -module is given by*

$$s_\alpha \cdot X_w = \begin{cases} X_w & \text{if } l(ws_\alpha) = l(w) + 1 \\ X_w - \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ ws_\alpha \rightarrow w'}} (s_\alpha w^{-1}(\gamma)^v, \alpha) X_{w'} & \text{if } l(ws_\alpha) = l(w) - 1. \end{cases}$$