

1. Introduction

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **25 (1979)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

INVARIANT SOLUTIONS OF ANALYTIC EQUATIONS

by Edward BIERSTONE and Pierre MILMAN

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic zero with a non-trivial valuation.

We consider a system of analytic equations

$$(*) \quad f(x, y) = 0,$$

where

$$f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), \dots, f_q(x, y))$$

are convergent series in the variables

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_p).$$

Suppose that

$$\bar{y}(x) = (\bar{y}_1(x), \dots, \bar{y}_p(x)), \quad \bar{y}_j(x) \in \mathbf{k}[[x]],$$

are formal power series without constant term which solve (*); i.e. such that $f(x, \bar{y}(x)) = 0$. Let c be a non-negative integer. Artin's approximation theorem [3] asserts that there exists a convergent series solution

$$y(x) = (y_1(x), \dots, y_p(x)), \quad y_j(x) \in \mathbf{k}\{x\},$$

of (*), such that

$$y(x) \equiv \bar{y}(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^c}.$$

Here \mathfrak{m} denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{k}[[x]]$.

Artin also proved an algebraic analogue of this theorem [1]. It says that if $f(x, y) = 0$ is a system of polynomial equations with formal series solution $\bar{y}(x)$, then a series solution $y(x)$ may be found such that the $y_j(x)$ are algebraically dependent on x_1, \dots, x_n (we will say that the $y_j(x)$ are "algebraic"; cf. [2]). In this analogue \mathbf{k} is an arbitrary field.

Let G be a reductive algebraic group (i.e. G is linear and every rational representation of G is completely reducible). Suppose that G acts linearly on $V = \mathbf{k}^n$ and $W = \mathbf{k}^p$. We will say that $\bar{y}(x) \in \mathbf{k}[[x]]^p$ is *equivariant* if

$$\bar{y}(gx) = g\bar{y}(x), \quad g \in G.$$

We will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Suppose $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} , and that $\bar{y}(x) \in \mathbf{k}[[x]]^p$ is an equivariant formal power series solution of (*), $\bar{y}(0) = 0$. Let $c \in \mathbf{N}$. Then there exists an equivariant convergent series solution $y(x)$ of (*), such that $y(x) \equiv \bar{y}(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^c}$.*

Moreover, if $f(x, y) = 0$ is a system of polynomial equations (where \mathbf{k} is any field), then there exists an equivariant algebraic solution $y(x)$, such that $y(x) \equiv \bar{y}(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^c}$.

Remark 1.1. Theorem A may be regarded in the context of the question: What properties of a formal solution of (*) may be preserved in an analytic solution? Artin [2] asked whether there is a convergent solution such that some of the variables x_i are missing in some of the series $y_j(x)$, provided there is a formal solution with the same property. Gabrielov [6] answered this question negatively (see also [4]). In [12] it is shown that if a formal solution of a system of real analytic equations satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations, then it may be approximated by complex analytic solutions.

Remark 1.2. Suppose that $\pi(x) \in \mathbf{C}\{x\}^r$ is an analytically regular germ of an analytic mapping (terminology of Gabrielov [7]). Let $F_i(x) \in \mathbf{C}\{x\}^p$, $i = 1, \dots, q$. We may ask whether formal relations among the F_i of the form $(h_1(\pi(x)), \dots, h_q(\pi(x)))$; i.e. q -tuples of formal power series of this form such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q h_i(\pi(x)) F_i(x) = 0,$$

are generated by analytic relations of the same form. This question generalizes Gabrielov's problem in [7]. The answer is *no* in general, but the method of our proof of Theorem A shows it is *yes* if π is a finite analytic germ. As in our proof of Theorem A, it is then easy to see that a formal solution $\bar{y}(\pi(x))$ of a system of complex analytic equations $f(x, y) = 0$ may be approximated by analytic solutions of the same form. We are grateful to Joseph Becker for pointing out the latter result to us.

Remark 1.3. Tougeron [16] has proved a generalization of Artin's theorem which asserts, in particular, that every formal solution $\bar{y}(x)$ of (*) such that $\bar{y}(0) = 0$ is the formal Taylor series at 0 of an infinitely differentiable solution. The proof of Theorem A also gives an equivariant version of Tougeron's theorem.

Theorem A is closely related to the second result of this paper.

THEOREM B. *Suppose that G acts linearly on $V = \mathbf{k}^n$, and that X is a closed algebraic subset of V which is invariant under the action of G . Then there exists a linear action of G on a finite dimensional vector space $Y = \mathbf{k}^q$, and an equivariant polynomial mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ such that $X = F^{-1}(0)$.*

If $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} , and X is a germ at 0 of a closed analytic subset of V which is invariant under the action of G , then there exists a vector space $Y = \mathbf{k}^q$ on which G acts linearly, and a germ F of an equivariant analytic mapping of some neighborhood of $0 \in V$ into Y , such that $X = F^{-1}(0)$.

A linear action of G on \mathbf{k}^n induces an action on $\mathbf{k}[[x]] = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ (respectively $\mathbf{k}\{x\}$, $\mathbf{k}[x]$) such that

$$(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $f(x) \in \mathbf{k}[[x]]$ (respectively $\mathbf{k}\{x\}$, $\mathbf{k}[x]$). Let $\mathbf{k}[[x]]^G$ (respectively $\mathbf{k}\{x\}^G$, $\mathbf{k}[x]^G$) be the subset of elements fixed by G (the *invariant elements*).

Remark 1.4. It is well-known that if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{R}$ and G is compact, then the conclusion of Theorem B holds with $F \in (\mathbf{R}[x]^G)^q$ (or $F \in (\mathbf{R}\{x\}^G)^q$ in the analytic case). In general, invariants separate only disjoint Zariski closed invariant subsets of \mathbf{k}^n , so that invariant closed algebraic or analytic subsets needn't be defined by invariant equations.

We will prove Theorem B in the following section, considering separately the complex analytic, real analytic, and algebraic cases. These results may also be obtained in a unified way, at least in characteristic zero, from an explicit projection formula related to the Fourier transform (cf. [15], [10, 12.2]). This formula may be of independent interest, and we have included it in section 4. In section 3 we will deduce Theorem A from Theorem B.

The authors enjoyed several conversations with Joseph Becker on the results in this paper.