

4. Deviation of a domain from a disc

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **24 (1978)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

- 1° Any two points of the set $E \cap \text{cl}U(z, r)$ can be joined by an arc lying in $E \cap \text{cl}U(z, br)$.
- 2° Any two points of the set $E - U(z, r)$ can be joined by an arc lying in $E - U(z, r/b)$.

The following result has recently been proved by Gehring [2]:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let the set C contain at least two points and bound a simply connected domain A . If A is b -locally connected, then C is a $c(b)$ -quasicircle, where $c(b)$ depends only on b .*

3.3 *Quasiconformal reflection.* Let C be a Jordan curve bounding the domains A and B . A sense-reversing K -quasiconformal mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a K -quasiconformal reflection in C if φ leaves every point of C invariant.

It is not difficult to prove that C admits a quasiconformal reflection if and only if C is a quasicircle. It follows that a quasiconformal mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ between domains A and B bounded by quasicircles can be extended to a quasiconformal mapping of the plane. In fact, if φ and ψ are quasiconformal reflections in the boundaries ∂A and ∂B , such that φ is defined outside A and ψ in B , then $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi$ extends f quasiconformally.

A quasicircle always admits quasiconformal reflections which are continuously differentiable or even real-analytic. For a K -quasicircle passing through ∞ , a reflection φ exists such that $|d\varphi(z)|/|dz|$ is bounded by a constant depending only on K .

For more details of the properties of quasicircles we refer to [10].

4. DEVIATION OF A DOMAIN FROM A DISC

4.1 *Schwarzian derivative.* Let f be a locally injective meromorphic function in a simply connected domain A . At finite points of A which are not poles of f , the *Schwarzian derivative* S_f of f is defined by

$$S_f = (f''/f')' - \frac{1}{2}(f''/f')^2,$$

and the definition is extended to ∞ and to the poles of f by means of inversion.

The Schwarzian derivative is holomorphic in A . Conversely, every function which is holomorphic in A is the Schwarzian of some f . The Schwarzian vanishes identically if and only if f is a Möbius transformation.

More generally, the Schwarzian determines a function up to a Möbius transformation.

Suppose the boundary of A consists of more than one point; then a conformal mapping h of A onto the unit disc exists. Through h a conformally invariant metric $\rho(z) |dz|$ is defined in A , by the rule $\rho(z) |dz| = (1 - |w|^2)^{-1} |dw|$, $w = h(z)$. For functions φ holomorphic in A we introduce the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_A = \sup_{z \in A} |\varphi(z)| \rho(z)^{-2}.$$

The Schwarzian obeys the composition rule $S_{f \circ g} = (S_f \circ g) f'^2 + S_g$. We note certain of its immediate consequences. First, let f be meromorphic in A and $h: A \rightarrow B$ a conformal mapping. Then

$$(4.1) \quad |S_f(z) - S_h(z)| \rho_A(z)^{-2} = |S_{f \circ h^{-1}}(\zeta)| \rho_B(\zeta)^{-2}, \quad \zeta = h(z).$$

It follows that $\|S_f - S_h\|_A = \|S_{f \circ h^{-1}}\|_B$. In particular,

$$(4.2) \quad \|S_h\|_A = \|S_{h^{-1}}\|_B.$$

Secondly, let f and g be meromorphic in A and $h: G \rightarrow A$ a conformal mapping. Then

$$(4.3) \quad \|S_{f \circ h} - S_{g \circ h}\|_G = \|S_f - S_g\|_A.$$

Finally, we remark that the norm of the Schwarzian is completely invariant under Möbius transformations: If f is meromorphic in A and g and h are Möbius transformations, then $\|S_{h \circ f \circ g}\|_{g^{-1}(A)} = \|S_f\|_A$.

4.2 *Constant σ_1 .* We associate with the domain A the constant $\sigma_1 = \|S_f\|_A$, where f is a conformal map of A onto a disc. Here a disc means an ordinary disc or a half-plane. The number σ_1 is well defined, and equal to 0 if and only if A itself is a disc. It can be regarded as a measure of how much the domain A differs from a disc.

It is well known that $\sigma_1 \leq 6$ (Theorem of Kraus [6]). For the domain $A = \{z \mid 0 < \arg z < k\pi\}$, $1 \leq k \leq 2$, we have $\sigma_1 = 2(k^2 - 1)$. It follows that σ_1 can take any value in the closed interval $[0, 6]$.

4.3 *Domains bounded by a quasicircle.* In some cases, information about the boundary of A makes it possible to improve the estimate $\sigma_1 \leq 6$.

THEOREM 4.1. *For a domain A bounded by a K -quasicircle,*

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma_1 \leq 6 \frac{K^2 - 1}{K^2 + 1}.$$

Proof: By Lemma 3.1, there exists a K^2 -quasiconformal mapping w of the plane whose restriction to the upper half-plane H maps H conformally onto A . For the function $w|_H$ the Krauss estimate can be improved:

$$\|S_{w|_H}\|_H \leq 6 \frac{K^2 - 1}{K^2 + 1};$$

for the proof we refer to Kühnau [7], or to [8]. Hence (4.4) follows from (4.2).

4.4 *Domains with bounded boundary rotation.* Let A be a domain bounded by a continuously differentiable Jordan curve. The total variation of the direction angle of the boundary tangent under a complete circuit is called the boundary rotation of A . If the boundary is not so regular, boundary rotation is defined by means of approximations from inside.

Let f be a conformal mapping of the unit disc D onto a domain A with boundary rotation $k\pi$, $2 \leq k < \infty$. A real-valued function ψ with the properties

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\psi(\theta) = 2, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |d\psi(\theta)| = k,$$

can be associated with f , such that

$$(4.5) \quad f'(z) = f'(0) \exp\left(-\int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - ze^{-i\theta}) d\psi(\theta)\right).$$

The domain A is convex if and only if $k = 2$. This is equivalent to ψ being an increasing function. A function f whose derivative admits the representation (4.5) is always univalent if the total variation of ψ is ≤ 4 .

Domains with bounded boundary rotation were introduced by Löwner and their basic properties established by Paatero [14].

THEOREM 4.2. *For a domain A with boundary rotation $\leq k\pi$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$,*

$$(4.6) \quad \sigma_1 \leq \frac{2k + 4}{6 - k}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof: Let $f: D \rightarrow A$ be a conformal mapping, z_0 an arbitrary point of D , and h a conformal self-mapping of D , such that $h(0) = z_0$. Since $\rho_D(0) = 1$, it follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.7) \quad |S_f(z_0)| \rho_D(z_0)^{-2} = |S_{f \circ h}(0)|$$

Hence, (4.6) follows if we prove that $|S_f(0)| \leq (2k+4)/(6-k)$. Since we may replace f by the function $z \rightarrow cf(ze^{i\varphi})$, c complex, φ real, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $S_f(0) \geq 0$ and that $f'(0) = 1$. From the representation formula (4.5) we then deduce that

$$(4.8) \quad S_f(0) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos 2\theta d\psi(\theta) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \cos\theta d\psi(\theta) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \sin\theta d\psi(\theta) \right)^2.$$

If $k = 2$, we have $d\psi(\theta) \geq 0$. In this case we get the inequality $\sigma_1 \leq 2$ for convex domains from (4.8) quite easily, just by use of Schwarz's inequality. Extremal functions can also be determined. These computations have been carried out in [9]. Nehari [13] proved the result $\sigma_1 \leq 2$ by means of variational methods.

If $2 < k \leq 4$, establishing (4.6) requires a more careful handling of formula (4.8). These computations will be published in a joint paper with O. Tammi.

Matti Lehtinen has let me know that for functions f whose derivative satisfies (4.5) with a ψ whose total variation is $\leq k$, $k \geq 4$, the sharp upper bound for $\|S_f\|$ is equal to $(k^2 - 4)/2$. The extremal functions are not univalent.

4.5 Constant σ_2 . The domain constant

$$\sigma_2 = \sup \{ \|S_f\|_A \mid f \text{ univalent in } A \}$$

is in simple relation with σ_1 ([9]):

THEOREM 4.3. *In every domain A , $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1 + 6$.*

Proof: Let f be univalent in A and $h: D \rightarrow A$ conformal. By (4.3),

$$\|S_f\|_A = \|S_{f \circ h} - S_f\|_D \leq 6 + \|S_h\|_D = 6 + \sigma_1.$$

In order to derive an estimate in the opposite direction, let an $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. In view of formula (4.7), we can choose $h: D \rightarrow A$ so that $|S_h(0)|$

$> \sigma_1 - \varepsilon$. If w is defined by $w(z) = z + e^{i\theta} / z$ and $f = w \circ h^{-1}$, then f is univalent in A and

$$\|S_f\|_A = \|S_w - S_h\|_D \geq |S_w(0) - S_h(0)| = |6e^{i\theta} + S_h(0)|.$$

By choosing φ suitably we obtain $\|S_f\|_A > 6 + \sigma_1 - \varepsilon$.

5. SCHWARZIAN DERIVATIVE AND UNIVALENCE

5.1 *Constant σ_3* . Let A again be a simply connected domain with more than one boundary point. As a kind of opposite to the constant σ_2 we define

$$\sigma_3 = \sup \{a \mid \|S_f\| \leq a \text{ implies } f \text{ univalent in } A\}.$$

Note that the number $a = 0$ is always in the above set. In this definition, sup can be replaced by max, as can be shown by a standard normal family argument.

Nehari [12] proved that in a disc, the condition $\|S_f\| \leq 2$ implies the univalence of f , and Hille [5] showed that the bound 2 is best possible. In other words, $\sigma_3 = 2$ for a disc.

A closer study of σ_3 leads to the universal Teichmüller space and reveals an intrinsic significance of quasiconformal mappings in the theory of univalent functions. The gist is the following result.

THEOREM 5.1. *The constant σ_3 is positive if and only if A is bounded by a quasicircle.*

Proof: The sufficiency of the condition was established by Ahlfors [1] who actually proved more: If A is bounded by a K -quasicircle, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on K , such that whenever $\|S_f\|_A < \varepsilon$, then f is univalent and can be continued to a quasiconformal mapping of the plane. In the proof, the extension of the given meromorphic f is explicitly constructed by means of a continuously differentiable quasiconformal reflection φ in ∂A with bounded $|d\varphi|/|dz|$ (cf. 3.3).

The necessity was proved by Gehring [2]. His proof was in two steps. It was first shown, by aid of an example, that if A is not b -locally connected for any b , then $\sigma_3 = 0$. After this, the desired conclusion was drawn from the result we stated above as Lemma 3.2.

5.2 *Universal Teichmüller space*. Henceforth, we assume that the domain A is bounded by a quasicircle. Let $Q(A)$ be the Banach space