§3. The case p = 3

(3) E has non-split multiplicative reduction at $2 <=> a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and $a_2 + a_3 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Proof: (1). $c_4 \equiv b_2^2 - 24b_4 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv b_2 \equiv a_1^2 + 4a_2 \equiv a_1^2 \equiv a_1 \equiv C_1 \pmod 2$. Now apply Corollary 1.2, part (2).

(2) and (3). By Corollary 1.2, part (2), we have multiplicative reduction $<=> a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Assume that this is so. Let $S = (x, y)$ be the singular point. Let

$$H = Y^2 + a_1XY + a_3Y - X^3 - a_2X^2 - a_4X - a_6 \tag{2.3}$$

Compute in $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ for the remainder of the proof.

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial X} = a_1Y - 3X^2 - 2a_2X - a_4 = Y + X^2 + a_4 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial Y} = 2Y + a_1X + a_3 = X + a_3 \tag{2.5}$$

$x = a_3$ from (2.5) and $y = x^2 + a_4 = x + a_4 = a_3 + a_4$ from (2.4). Transform S to $(0, 0)$ via $X \to X + a_3$ and $Y \to Y + a_3 + a_4$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &= (Y+a_3+a_4)^2 + a_1(X+a_3)(Y+a_3+a_4) + a_3(Y+a_3+a_4) \\ &\quad - (X+a_3)^3 - a_2(X+a_3)^2 - a_4(X+a_3) - a_6 \\ &= Y^2 + XY + X^3 + (a_2+a_3)X^2 \end{aligned}$$

The tangents at $(0, 0)$ are given by $Y^2 + XY + (a_2+a_3)X^2 = 0$. E has split multiplicative reduction at $2 <=>$ this form is reducible over $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} <=> a_2 + a_3 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

§3. The case $p = 3$

As in §2, a short computation (again see Tate [5] for the details) yields

$$C_2 = a_1^2 + a_2 \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume E has bad reduction at 3.

(1) E has additive reduction at $3 \Leftrightarrow a_1^2 + a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. $\Leftrightarrow c_4 \equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

(2) E has multiplicative reduction at $3 \Leftrightarrow a_1^2 + a_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3 \Leftrightarrow c_4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

(3) E has split multiplicative reduction at $3 \Leftrightarrow a_1^2 + a_2 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

(4) E has non-split multiplicative reduction at $3 \Leftrightarrow a_1^2 + a_2 \equiv -1 \pmod 3$.

Proof:

$$c_4 \equiv b_2^2 - 24 b_4 \equiv b_2^2 \equiv (a_1^2 + 4a_2)^2 \equiv (a_1^2 + a_2)^2 \pmod 3.$$

The theorem then follows immediately from formula (3.1) and Corollary 1.2.

Remark. $C_2^2 \equiv c_4 \pmod 3$. Note that $C_2 = a_1^2 + a_2$ is a more sensitive invariant than c_4 in that the residue class of C_2 modulo 3 allows us to distinguish between split and non-split multiplicative reduction, while c_4 does not allow us to separate these two possibilities.

§4. The case $p \geqslant 5$

Assume $p \geqslant 5$. Then there exists a minimal Weierstrass equation for E at p of the form

$$Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B \tag{4.1}$$

with A, $B \in \mathbf{Z}$. The coefficient C_{p-1} modulo p is given by Deuring's classical formula [1]

$$C_{p-1} \equiv \sum_{2h+3i=P} \frac{P!}{i!\ h!\ (P-h-i)!} A^h B^i \pmod p \tag{4.2}$$

where $P = (1/2)(p-1)$.

Let $S = (x, y)$ be the singular point on the reduced curve with $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. The tangents at S are given by a quadratic polynomial $R(T)$ as follows: Transform the curve by $X \to (X+x)$, $Y \to (Y+y)$ so that the singularity is now at (0, 0). The tangents are given by a homogeneous form of degree 2 in X and Y which we can consider as a quadratic polynomial $R(T)$ with $T = Y/X$. Let D be the discriminant of $R(T)$, and let $\left(\frac{\ }{p}\right)$ denote the Legendre symbol with respect to p. We have the following results directly from the definitions.

Proposition 4.1. Assume E has bad reduction at p.

(1) E has additive reduction at $p \Leftrightarrow f_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow S$ is a cusp $\Leftrightarrow R(T)$ has two identical roots over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \Leftrightarrow D = 0 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 0$.