

2. Division rings

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **20 (1974)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **20.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

2. DIVISION RINGS

By *division ring* we mean an associative ring with identity in which every non-zero element has an inverse. If D is a division ring, the *normalizer* $N(F)$ of a subfield F consists of those elements d such that $dF = Fd$, while the *centralizer* $C(F)$ consists of those elements d such that $dx = xd$ for all x in F ; the centralizer is a subdivision ring of D .

From now on D will denote a division ring with centre K and F will denote a maximal subfield of D . We shall assume that $F = K(\theta)$ where θ satisfies an irreducible monic polynomial f with coefficients in K which splits into distinct linear factors over F . We shall see below that this assumption allows us to apply the results of §1 to D considered as a vector space over F (multiplying on the left with elements of F). For each element a of D , the assignment $T_a(d) = da$ defines a linear transformation T_a of this vector space.

If d is an eigenvector of T_θ , then for some λ in F , $d\theta = \lambda d$. This implies that $d\theta d^{-1} = \lambda$ and hence $dFd^{-1} = F$; thus $d \in N(F)$. Conversely, if $d \in N(F)$ and $d \neq 0$, then $d\theta d^{-1} = \lambda \in F$ for some λ and hence d is an eigenvector of T_θ . This proves

(2.1) *A non-zero element d of D is an eigenvector of T_θ if and only if it belongs to $N(F)$.*

Since $f(T_\theta) = 0$, the conditions of §1 apply and we have

(2.2) *The vector space D is the direct sum of the eigenspaces of T_θ .*

Let λ be an eigenvalue of T_θ with eigenvector d , then as above $d\theta = \lambda d$. If d' is another eigenvector, then $d'd^{-1}\lambda d d'^{-1} = \lambda$ and $d'd^{-1}$ centralizes F since $F = K(\lambda)$. However, F is a maximal subfield, and therefore self-centralizing, so $d' = ed$ for some e in F . Thus we obtain

(2.3) *Each eigenspace of T_θ has dimension one.*

Next, we wish to show that $f(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of T_θ . Let $\theta = \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m$ be the eigenvalues of T_θ and let $1 = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$ be corresponding eigenvectors. Because $N(F)$ is multiplicatively closed $d_i d_j$ must correspond to an eigenvalue θ_k , say, and hence $d_i d_j \theta = \theta_k d_i d_j$, which implies that $d_i \theta_j = \theta_k d_i$. This shows that the mapping which takes θ_j to $d_i \theta_j d_i^{-1}$ permutes the eigenvalues among themselves. Consequently, the coefficients of $g(X) = (X - \theta_1) \dots (X - \theta_m)$ commute with all the eigen-

vectors and they therefore belong to the centre of D since the eigenvectors span D . Each eigenvalue is a root of $f(X)$ so the degree of $g(X)$ is no larger than that of $f(X)$. But $g(\theta) = 0$ so we must have $g(X) = f(X)$. Since each θ_i must be a root of the minimal polynomial of T_θ this proves

(2.4) *The minimal polynomial of T_θ is $f(X)$.*

As immediate consequences we have

(2.5) $\dim_F D = \dim_K F = \text{degree of } f = m.$

(2.6) $\dim_K D = m^2.$

Finally, we prove

(2.7) *If $E = K(\theta')$ and $f(\theta') = 0$, then for some non-zero element d of D , $d E d^{-1} \subseteq F$.*

To see this, consider the linear transformation $T_{\theta'}$. Since $f(T_{\theta'}) = 0$ there is an eigenvalue $\lambda \in F$ of $T_{\theta'}$ and a corresponding eigenvector d such that $d \theta' = \lambda d$; it follows that $d E d^{-1} \subseteq F$.

Remark. The assumption on the field F amounts to supposing that F/K is a finite Galois extension and the proof of (2.4) shows that $N(F)^\# / F^\#$ is isomorphic to its Galois group. (Where $F^\#$ denotes the set of non-zero elements of F .)

3. WEDDERBURN'S THEOREM

This proof follows van der Waerden [14, p. 203]. The counting argument was used by Artin [1] in his proof of the same theorem.

THEOREM. *Every finite division ring is a field.*

Proof. Suppose that D is a finite division ring with centre K and maximal subfield F . If the order of F is q , then the elements of F constitute all the roots of the polynomial $X^q - X$; hence any two finite fields of the same order are isomorphic. The multiplicative group of a finite field is cyclic, so $F = K(\theta)$ for some θ . Any element of D is contained in a maximal subfield, which by (2.5) has the same order as F and hence by (2.7) any element of the multiplicative group G of non-zero elements of D belongs to a conjugate of H , the multiplicative group of non-zero elements of F . The