

NOTE ON TWO CRITERIA FOR DEDEKIND DOMAINS

Autor(en): **Gilmer, Robert**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **13 (1967)**

Heft 1: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.04.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41548>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

A NOTE ON TWO CRITERIA FOR DEDEKIND DOMAINS

by Robert GILMER

Let A be an ideal of the commutative ring R . In case the residue class ring R/A is finite, we say that A has *finite norm*, and we set $N(A) = |R/A|$, where $|S|$ denotes the cardinal number of the set S ; $N(A)$ is called *the norm of A* . We say that A has *finite length s* and we write $L(A) = s$ if there is a chain $A \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_s = R$ of ideals of R , but no such chain of $s+1$ ideals; therefore $L(A)$ is the length of R/A , as an R/A -module, when this length is finite. If A has finite norm, then A has finite length. The converse fails; for example, each maximal ideal of R has finite length 1.

In [2], Butts and Wade have shown that each of the following conditions implies, in an integral domain R with identity, that R is a Dedekind domain:

(*) Each nonzero ideal of R has finite norm, and $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$ for any nonzero ideals A, B of R .

(**) Each nonzero ideal of R has finite length, and $L(AB) = L(A) + L(B)$ for any nonzero ideals A, B of R .

Our purpose here is to show that in any commutative ring R , (*) or (**) implies the following condition ($\sqrt{}$).

($\sqrt{}$) R is Noetherian, has an identity, and for any maximal ideal M of R , there are no ideals of R properly between M and M^2 .

A result of Asano in [1] shows that ($\sqrt{}$) is equivalent to the condition that R be a *general ZPI-ring*—that is, each ideal of R is a finite product of prime ideals. Hence, by proving that (*) or (**) implies ($\sqrt{}$), we obtain a generalization of Butts and Wade's results already cited to the case of rings with zero divisors. In addition, our proof will be simpler than that given in [2] for the case of an integral domain with identity, so that we obtain both a generalization and a simplification of the results of [2].

THEOREM 1. *If condition (*) or condition (**) holds in the ring R , then R is Noetherian, contains an identity, and has the property that there are no ideals properly between M and M^2 for any maximal ideal M of R .*

Proof. If (*) or (**) holds in R , it is clear that R/A is Noetherian for each nonzero ideal A of R . Therefore, R is also Noetherian. If (*) holds,

then $N(R^2) = [N(R)]^2 = 1$ so that $R = R^2$. And if $(**)$ holds, $L(R^2) = 2L(R) = 0$, and again $R = R^2$. However, a finitely generated idempotent ideal of a commutative ring is principal and is generated by an idempotent element [1; 86]. Consequently, $(*)$ or $(**)$ implies that R has an identity.

We consider a maximal ideal M of R . If $(**)$ is valid, then $L(M^2) = 2L(M) = 2$; therefore, there are no ideals of R properly between M and M^2 . If $(*)$ holds in R , then $N(M^2) = [N(M)]^2$. But the isomorphism $R/M \simeq (R/M^2)/(M/M^2)$ implies that $N(M^2) = N(M) \cdot k$, where $k = |M/M^2|$. Therefore, $k = N(M)$. This means that M/M^2 , as a vector space over the field R/M , must have dimension 1. Consequently, there are no nontrivial R/M -subspaces of M/M^2 , and therefore no ideals of R properly between M and M^2 . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

We remark that in our proof of Theorem 1 we have not used the full generality that $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$ or that $L(AB) = L(A) + L(B)$; rather, we have only used the fact that, $N(A^2) = [N(A)]^2$ and that $L(A^2) = 2L(A)$ for any nonzero ideal A of R .

The remainder of this paper will be concerned with some results related to the converse of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that A and B are relatively prime ideals of the ring R with identity.*

a) *If A and B have finite norm, then AB has finite norm and $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$.*

b) *If A and B have finite length, then AB has finite length and $L(AB) = L(A) + L(B)$.*

Proof. a) is immediate from the fact that R/AB is isomorphic to $R/A \oplus R/B$, the direct sum of R/A and R/B [4; 178]. To prove b), we need only note that if R_1 and R_2 are rings of which the zero ideals have finite lengths n_1 and n_2 , then the zero ideal of $R_1 \oplus R_2$ has finite length $n_1 + n_2$. This is immediate from the fact, however, that each ideal of $R_1 \oplus R_2$ is of the form $A_1 \oplus A_2$, where A_i is an ideal of R_i [4; 175].

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a maximal ideal of a commutative ring R with identity such that there are no ideals properly between M and M^2 , and let k be a positive integer such that $M^k \subset M^{k-1}$.*

a) If M has finite norm, then $N(M^k) = [N(M)]^k$.

b) If M has finite length, then $L(M^k) = kL(M) = k$.

Proof. If r is a positive integer such that $M^r \supset M^{r+1}$, it is known that M^r/M^{r+1} and R/M are, as vector spaces over R/M , isomorphic [1; 83]. Hence, $|R/M| = |M^r/M^{r+1}|$. If we assume that $N(M^{k-1}) = [N(M)]^{k-1}$, then the isomorphism $R/M^{k-1} \simeq (R/M^k)/(M^{k-1}/M^k)$ implies that $N(M^k) = N(M^{k-1})|M^{k-1}/M^k| = [N(M)]^{k-1} N(M) = [N(M)]^k$. This establishes *a*).

To prove *b*), we note that if A is an ideal of R containing M^k , then $\sqrt{M^k} = M \subseteq \sqrt{A}$. Therefore, $\sqrt{A} = M$ or $\sqrt{A} = R$. In the first case $M^k \subseteq A \subseteq M$, so that A is a power of M since there are no ideals properly between M and M^2 [3; 45]. And in the second case, $A = R$. Therefore, $\{M^i\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$ is the set of ideals of R properly containing M^k , and $L(M^k) = k = kL(M)$.

COROLLARY 1. Let A and B be ideals of the Dedekind domain D .

a) If A and B have finite norm, then AB has finite norm and $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$.

b) If A and B have finite length, then AB has finite length and $L(AB) = L(A) + L(B)$.

Proof. Since D is Dedekind, there is a set $\{M_i\}_1^n$ of maximal ideals of D and sets $\{e_i\}_1^n, \{f_i\}_1^n$ of nonnegative integers such that $A = M_1^{e_1} \dots M_n^{e_n}$ and such that $B = M_1^{f_1} \dots M_n^{f_n}$. Further, we may assume that for each i , either e_i or f_i is positive. The fact that D is Dedekind implies that for each i , there are no ideals properly between M_i and M_i^2 and the powers of M_i properly descend.

If *a*) holds, then each M_i has finite norm and Lemmas 1 and 2 show that $N(AB) = N(M_1^{e_1+f_1} \dots M_n^{e_n+f_n}) = N(M_1^{e_1+f_1}) \dots N(M_n^{e_n+f_n}) = N(M_1)^{e_1+f_1} \dots N(M_n)^{e_n+f_n} = N(M_1)^{e_1} \dots N(M_n)^{e_n} N(M_1)^{f_1} \dots N(M_n)^{f_n} = N(A)N(B)$. Similarly, if *b*) holds, then each M_i has finite length, and Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that $L(AB) = L(A) + L(B)$.

Remark. Corollary 1 need not hold in a general ZPI-ring R , for in such a ring, a nonzero maximal ideal of finite norm may be idempotent. This occurs, for example, if R is the direct sum of two finite fields.

A close reading of [2] will indicate that some of our results were likely known to Butts and Wade, especially Corollary 1 and our proof that (**) implies $(\sqrt{})$ in a ring with identity (cf. pages 18 and 20 of [2]).

REFERENCES

1. ASANO, Keizo, Über kommutative Ringe, in denen jedes Ideal als Produkt von Primealeen darstellbar ist. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 1 (1951), 82—90.
2. BUTTS, H. S. and L. I. WADE, Two criteria for Dedekind domains. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 73 (1966), 14-21.
3. GILMER, Robert and Joe MOTT, Multiplication rings as rings in which ideals with prime radical are primary. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114 (1965), 40-52.
4. ZARISKI, O. and P. SAMUEL, *Commutative Algebra*, Volume I (Van Nostrand, 1958).

(Reçu le 10 juin 1968)

Department of Mathematics
Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida, U.S.A.