

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 59 (2013)

**Artikel:** Subtleties of the minimax selector  
**Autor:** Wei, Qiaoling  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-515834>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 02.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## SUBTLETIES OF THE MINIMAX SELECTOR

by Qiaoling WEI

**ABSTRACT.** In this note, we show that the minimax and maximin critical values of a function quadratic nondegenerate at infinity are equal when defined in homology or cohomology with coefficients in a field. However, by an example of F. Laudenbach, this is not always true for coefficients in a ring and, even in the case of a field, the minimax–maximin depends on the field.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a Lagrangian submanifold  $L$  in the cotangent bundle of a closed manifold  $M$ , obtained by Hamiltonian deformation of the zero section, the minimax selector introduced by J.-C. Sikorav [14] provides an almost everywhere defined section  $M \rightarrow L$  of the projection  $T^*M \rightarrow M$  restricted to  $L$ . As noticed by M. Chaperon [5, 6], this defines weak solutions of smooth Cauchy problems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations; in the classical case of a convex Hamiltonian, the minimax is a minimum and the minimax solution coincides with the viscosity solution, which is not always the case for nonconvex Hamiltonians. For a recent use of the minimax selector in weak KAM theory, see [1].

The minimax has been defined using homology or cohomology with various coefficient rings, for example  $\mathbf{Z}$  in [5, 15],  $\mathbf{Q}$  in [3] and  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  in [13]. Also, in [15], the maximin was mentioned as a natural analogue to the minimax. But there is no evidence showing that all these critical values coincide. G. Capitanio has given a proof [3] that the maximin and minimax for homology with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Q}$  are equal, but the criterion he uses (Proposition 2 in [3]) is not correct — see Remark 3.11 hereafter.

In this note, we investigate the maximin and minimax for a general function quadratic at infinity, not necessarily related to Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We give both algebraic and geometric proofs that the minimax and maximin with coefficients in a field coincide; the geometric proof, based on Barannikov's Jordan normal form for the boundary operator of the Morse complex, improves our understanding of the problem. The Barannikov normal form also plays a crucial role in the proof of Arnold's 4 cusps conjecture [7].

A counterexample for coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , due to F. Laudenbach [11], is constructed using Morse homology; in this example, moreover, the minimax-maximin for coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  is not the same as for coefficients in  $\mathbf{Q}$ . However, if the minimax and maximin for coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$  coincide, then all three minimax-maximin critical values are equal.

## 2. MAXIMIN AND MINIMAX

**HYPOTHESES AND NOTATION.** We denote by  $X$  the vector space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and by  $f$  a real function on  $X$ , *quadratic at infinity* in the sense that it is continuous and there exists a nondegenerate quadratic form  $Q: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f$  coincides with  $Q$  outside a compact subset.

Let  $f^c := \{x \mid f(x) \leq c\}$  denote the sub-level sets of  $f$ . Note that for  $c$  large enough, the homotopy types of  $f^c$ ,  $f^{-\infty}$  do not depend on  $c$ , we may denote them as  $f^\infty$  and  $f^{-\infty}$ . Suppose the quadratic form  $Q$  has Morse index  $\lambda$ , then the homology groups with coefficient ring  $R$  are

$$H_*(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{in dimension } \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the homomorphism of homology groups

$$i_{c*}: H_*(f^c, f^{-\infty}; R) \rightarrow H_*(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R)$$

induced by the inclusion  $i_c: (f^c, f^{-\infty}) \hookrightarrow (f^\infty, f^{-\infty})$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** If  $\Xi$  is a generator of  $H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R)$ , we let

$$\underline{\gamma}(f, R) := \inf \{c : \Xi \in \text{Im}(i_{c*})\},$$

i.e.  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R) = \inf \{c : i_{c*} H_\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; R) = H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R)\}$ .

Similarly, we can consider the homology group

$$H_*(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{in dimension } n - \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the homomorphism

$$j_{c*}: H_*(X \setminus f^c, X \setminus f^\infty; R) \rightarrow H_*(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R)$$

induced by  $j_c: (X \setminus f^c, X \setminus f^\infty) \hookrightarrow (X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty)$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. If  $\Delta$  is a generator of  $H_{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R)$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}(f, R) &:= \sup\{c : \Delta \in \text{Im}(j_{c*})\} \\ &= \sup\{c : j_{c*}H_{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^c, X \setminus f^\infty; R) = H_{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *One has that*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\gamma}(f, R) &= \inf \max f := \inf_{[\sigma] = \Xi} \max_{x \in |\sigma|} f(x) \\ \bar{\gamma}(f, R) &= \sup \min f := \sup_{[\sigma] = \Delta} \min_{x \in |\sigma|} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a relative cycle and  $|\sigma|$  denotes its support. We call  $\sigma$  a descending (resp. ascending) simplex if  $[\sigma] = \Xi$  (resp.  $[\sigma] = \Delta$ ).

*Proof.* A descending simplex  $\sigma$  defines an element of  $H_\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; R)$  if and only if  $|\sigma| \subset f^c$ , in which case one has  $\max_{x \in |\sigma|} f(x) \leq c$ , hence  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R) \geq \inf \max f$ ; choosing  $c = \max_{x \in |\sigma|} f(x)$ , we get equality. The case of  $\bar{\gamma}$  is identical.

DEFINITION 2.4.  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R)$  is called a *minimax* of  $f$  and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, R)$ , a *maximin*.

REMARK. As we shall see later, in view of Morse homology, these names are proper for excellent Morse functions.

One can also consider cohomology instead of homology and define

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\alpha}(f, R) &:= \inf\{c : i_c^* \neq 0\}, \quad i_c^*: H^\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R) \rightarrow H^\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; R) \\ \bar{\alpha}(f, R) &:= \sup\{c : j_c^* \neq 0\}, \quad j_c^*: H^{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R) \rightarrow H^{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^c, X \setminus f^\infty; R). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.5 ([15], Proposition 2.4). *When  $X$  is  $R$ -oriented,*

$$\bar{\alpha}(f, R) = \underline{\gamma}(f, R) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\alpha}(f, R) = \bar{\gamma}(f, R).$$

*Proof.* We establish for example the first identity: one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; R) & \simeq & H^{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^c; R) \\
 \downarrow i_{c*} & & \downarrow \\
 H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R) & \simeq & H^{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^{-\infty}, X \setminus f^\infty; R) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow j_c^* \\
 H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^c; R) & \simeq & H^{n-\lambda}(X \setminus f^c, X \setminus f^\infty; R)
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal isomorphisms are given by Alexander duality ([9], section 3.3) and the columns are exact. It does follow that  $i_{c*}$  is onto if and only if  $j_c^*$  is zero.

**DEFINITION 2.6** ([8]). As long as  $X$  is finite dimensional, the *Clarke generalized derivative* of a locally Lipschitzian function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  can be defined as follows: by Rademacher's theorem, the set  $\text{dom}(df)$  of differentiability points of  $f$  is dense in  $X$ ; we let  $\partial f(x)$  be the convex hull of the set of limits of convergent sequences  $df(x_n)$  with  $\lim x_n = x$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a *critical point* of  $f$  if  $0 \in \partial f(x)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *If  $f$  is  $C^2$  then  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R)$  and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, R)$  are critical values of  $f$ ; they are critical values of  $f$  in the sense of Clarke when  $f$  is locally Lipschitzian.*

*Proof.* Take  $\underline{\gamma}$  for example: if  $c = \underline{\gamma}(f, R)$  is not a critical value then, for small  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f^{c-\epsilon}$  is a deformation retract of  $f^{c+\epsilon}$  via the flow of  $-\frac{\nabla f}{\|\nabla f\|^2}$ , hence  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R) \leq c - \epsilon$ , a contradiction. The same argument applies when  $f$  is only locally Lipschitzian, replacing  $\nabla f$  by a pseudo-gradient [4].

**LEMMA 2.8.** *If  $f$  is locally Lipschitzian, then*

$$\bar{\gamma}(f, R) = -\underline{\gamma}(-f, R).$$

*Proof.* Using a (pseudo-)gradient of  $f$  as previously, one can see that  $X \setminus f^c$  and  $(-f)^{-c}$  have the same homotopy type when  $c$  is not a critical value of  $f$ . Otherwise, choose a sequence of non-critical values  $c_n \nearrow c = \bar{\gamma}(f, R)$ , then  $-c_n \geq \underline{\gamma}(-f, R)$ , taking the limit, we have  $\bar{\gamma}(f, R) \leq -\underline{\gamma}(-f, R)$ . Similarly, taking  $c'_n \searrow \underline{\gamma}(-f, R)$ , then  $-c'_n \leq \bar{\gamma}(f, R)$ , from which the limit gives us the reverse inequality  $-\underline{\gamma}(-f, R) \leq \bar{\gamma}(f, R)$ .

REMARK. The extension of the minimax selector to Lipschitzian functions is natural in the framework of Hamilton-Jacobi equations: even for smooth initial data, the minimax solution at time  $t$  is not smooth in general, but it is Lipschitzian; now, it can be interesting to take it as a new Cauchy datum.

The following two questions arise naturally:

- (1) Do we have  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R) = \bar{\gamma}(f, R)$ ?
- (2) Do  $\underline{\gamma}(f, R)$  and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, R)$  depend on the coefficient ring  $R$ ?

Here are two obvious elements for an answer:

PROPOSITION 2.9. *One has  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \geq \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* As the intersection number of  $\Xi$  and  $\Delta$  is  $\pm 1$ , the support of any descending simplex  $\sigma$  must intersect the support of any ascending simplex  $\tau$  at some point  $\bar{x}$ , hence  $\max_{x \in |\sigma|} f(x) \geq f(\bar{x}) \geq \min_{x \in |\tau|} f(x)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.10. *One has  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \geq \underline{\gamma}(f, R)$  and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \leq \bar{\gamma}(f, R)$  for every ring  $R$ .*

*Proof.* A simplex  $\sigma$  whose homology class generates  $H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{Z})$  induces a simplex whose homology class generates  $H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; R)$ , whence the first inequality and, mutatis mutandis, the second one.

THEOREM 2.11. *If  $\mathbf{F}$  is a field, then  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F})$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.5, it is enough to prove that

$$\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \underline{\alpha}(f, \mathbf{F}).$$

Recall that  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F})$  (resp.  $\underline{\alpha}(f, \mathbf{F})$ ) is the infimum of the real numbers  $c$  such that  $i_{c*}: H_\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F})$  is onto (resp. such that  $i_c^*: H^\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow H^\lambda(f^c, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F})$  is nonzero). Now, as  $H_\lambda(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F})$  is a one-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ , the linear map  $i_{c*}$  is onto if and only if it is nonzero, i.e. if and only if the transposed map  $i_c^*$  is nonzero.

REMARK. This proof is invalid for coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$  since a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear map to  $\mathbf{Z}$ , for example  $\mathbf{Z} \ni m \rightarrow km$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k > 1$ , can be nonzero without being onto; we shall see in Section 4 that Theorem 2.11 itself is not true in that case.

COROLLARY 2.12. *If  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \gamma$  then  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \gamma$  for every field  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows at once from Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 2.10.

COROLLARY 2.13. *Let  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  have the following property: there exist both a descending simplex over  $\mathbf{Z}$  along which  $\gamma$  is the maximum of  $f$  and an ascending simplex over  $\mathbf{Z}$  along which  $\gamma$  is the minimum of  $f$ . Then,  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \gamma$  for every field  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z})$  by Lemma 2.3 and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \leq \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z})$  by Proposition 2.9, hence our result by Corollary 2.12.

### 3. MORSE COMPLEXES AND THE BARANNIKOV NORMAL FORM

The previous proof of Theorem 2.11, though simple, is quite algebraic. We now give a more geometric proof, which we find more concrete and illuminating, based on Barannikov's canonical form of Morse complexes. It will provide a good setting for the counterexample in Section 4.

First, there is a continuity result for the minimax and maximin:

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([14, 16]). *If  $f$  and  $g$  are two continuous functions quadratic at infinity with the same reference quadratic form, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{\gamma}(f, R) - \underline{\gamma}(g, R)| &\leq |f - g|_{C^0} \\ |\bar{\gamma}(f, R) - \bar{\gamma}(g, R)| &\leq |f - g|_{C^0}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $f \leq g$ , from Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that  $\underline{\gamma}(f) \leq \underline{\gamma}(g)$ . In the general case, this implies  $\underline{\gamma}(g) \leq \underline{\gamma}(f + |g - f|) \leq \underline{\gamma}(f) + |g - f|_{C^0}$ ; exchanging  $f$  and  $g$ , we get  $\underline{\gamma}(f) \leq \underline{\gamma}(g) + |f - g|_{C^0}$ .

COROLLARY 3.2. *To prove Theorem 2.11, it suffices to establish it for excellent Morse functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , i.e. smooth functions having only nondegenerate critical points, each of which corresponds to a different value of  $f$ .*

*Proof.* By a standard argument, given a non-degenerate quadratic form  $Q$  on  $X$ , the set of all continuous functions on  $X$  equal to  $Q$  off a compact subset contains a  $C^0$ -dense subset consisting of excellent Morse functions; our result follows by Proposition 3.1.

To prove Theorem 2.11 for excellent Morse functions, we will use Morse homology.

HYPOTHESES. We consider an excellent Morse function  $f$  on  $X$ , quadratic at infinity<sup>1)</sup>; for each pair of regular values  $b < c$  of  $f$ , we denote by  $f_{b,c}$  the restriction of  $f$  to  $f^c \cap (-f)^{-b} = \{b \leq f \leq c\}$ .

MORSE COMPLEXES. Let

$$C_k(f_{b,c}) := \{\xi_\ell^k : 1 \leq \ell \leq m_k\}$$

denote the set of critical points of index  $k$  of  $f_{b,c}$ , ordered so that  $f(\xi_\ell^k) < f(\xi_m^k)$  for  $\ell < m$ . Given a generic gradient-like vector field  $V$  for  $f$  such that  $(f, V)$  is Morse-Smale<sup>2)</sup>, the *Morse complex* of  $(f_{b,c}, V)$  over  $R$  consists of the free  $R$ -modules

$$M_k(f_{b,c}, R) := \left\{ \sum_\ell a_\ell \xi_\ell^k, \quad a_\ell \in R \right\}$$

together with the boundary operator  $\partial: M_k(f_{b,c}, R) \rightarrow M_{k-1}(f_{b,c}, R)$  given by

$$\partial \xi_\ell^k := \sum_m \nu_{f,V}(\xi_\ell^k, \xi_m^{k-1}) \xi_m^{k-1}$$

where, with given orientations for the stable manifolds (hence co-orientations for unstable manifolds),  $\nu_{f,V}$  is the intersection number of the stable manifold  $W^s(\xi_\ell^k)$  of  $\xi_\ell^k$  and the unstable manifold  $W^u(\xi_m^{k-1})$  of  $\xi_m^{k-1}$ , i.e. the algebraic number of trajectories of  $V$  connecting  $\xi_\ell^k$  and  $\xi_m^{k-1}$ ; note that

- $\nu_{f,V}(\xi_\ell^k, \xi_m^{k-1})$  is the same for all  $b, c$  with  $f(\xi_\ell^k), f(\xi_m^{k-1})$  in  $[b, c]$ ;
- $\nu_{f,V}(\xi_\ell^k, \xi_m^{k-1}) \neq 0$  implies  $f(\xi_\ell^k) > f(\xi_m^{k-1})$ : otherwise, the stable manifold of  $\xi_m^{k-1}$  and the unstable manifold of  $\xi_\ell^k$  for  $V$ , which cannot be transversal because of their dimensions, would intersect, contradicting the genericity of  $V$ .
- $\nu_{f,V}(\xi_\ell^k, \xi_m^k) = 0$  for two distinct critical points of the same index.

This does define a complex, i.e.  $\partial \circ \partial = 0$ : see for example [10, 12]. The homology  $HM_*(f_{b,c}, R) := H_*(M_*(f_{b,c}, R))$  is called the *Morse homology*<sup>3)</sup> of  $f_{b,c}$ .

<sup>1)</sup> The theory applies as well to functions on a closed manifold, for example.

<sup>2)</sup> Being *Morse-Smale* means that the stable and unstable manifolds of all the critical points are transversal.

<sup>3)</sup> Morse homology is defined in general for any Morse function.

LEMMA 3.3 (Barannikov [2]). *If  $R$  is a field  $\mathbf{F}$ , then this boundary operator  $\partial$  has a special kind of Jordan normal form as follows: each  $M_k(f_{b,c}, \mathbf{F})$  has a basis*

$$(1) \quad \Xi_\ell^k := \sum_{i \leq \ell} \alpha_{\ell,i} \xi_i^k, \quad \alpha_{\ell,\ell} \neq 0$$

such that either  $\partial \Xi_\ell^k = 0$  or  $\partial \Xi_\ell^k = \Xi_m^{k-1}$  for some  $m$ , in which case no  $\ell' \neq \ell$  satisfies  $\partial \Xi_{\ell'}^k = \Xi_m^{k-1}$ . If  $(\Theta_\ell^k)$  is another such basis, then  $\partial \Xi_\ell^k = \Xi_m^{k-1}$  (resp. 0) is equivalent to  $\partial \Theta_\ell^k = \Theta_m^{k-1}$  (resp. 0); in other words, the matrix of  $\partial$  in all such bases is the same.

*Proof.* We prove existence by induction. Given nonnegative integers  $k, i$  with  $i < m_k$ , suppose that vectors  $\Xi_q^p$  of the form (1) have been obtained for all  $(p, q)$  with either  $p < k$ , or  $p = k$  and  $q \leq i$ , possessing the required property that either  $\partial \Xi_q^p = \Xi_{j_p(q)}^{p-1}$  (with  $j_p(q) \neq j_p(q')$  for  $q \neq q'$ ) or  $\partial \Xi_q^p = 0$ . If  $\partial \xi_{i+1}^k = 0$  (e.g., when  $k = 0$ ), we take  $\xi_{i+1}^k := \Xi_{i+1}^k$  and continue the induction. Otherwise,  $\partial \xi_{i+1}^k = \sum \alpha_j \Xi_j^{k-1}$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{F}$ . Moving all the terms  $\Xi_{j_k(q)}^{k-1} = \partial \Xi_q^k, q \leq i$  from the right-hand side to the left, we get

$$\partial(\xi_{i+1}^k - \sum_{q \leq i} \alpha_{j_k(q)} \Xi_q^k) = \sum_j \beta_j \Xi_j^{k-1}.$$

Let

$$\Xi_{i+1}^k := \xi_{i+1}^k - \sum_{q \leq i} \alpha_{j_k(q)} \Xi_q^k.$$

If  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $\partial \Xi_{i+1}^k = 0$  and the induction can go on. Otherwise,

$$\partial \Xi_{i+1}^k = \sum_{j \leq j_0} \beta_j \Xi_j^{k-1} =: \tilde{\Xi}_{j_0}^{k-1} \text{ with } \beta_{j_0} \neq 0;$$

as  $\partial \tilde{\Xi}_{j_0}^{k-1} = \partial \partial \Xi_{i+1}^k = 0$ , we can replace  $\Xi_{j_0}^{k-1}$  by  $\tilde{\Xi}_{j_0}^{k-1}$  and continue the induction<sup>4)</sup>.

DEFINITION 3.4. Under the hypotheses and with the notation of the Barannikov lemma, two critical points  $\xi_\ell^k$  and  $\xi_m^{k-1}$  of  $f_{b,c}$  are *coupled* if  $\partial \Xi_\ell^k = \Xi_m^{k-1}$ . A critical point is *free* (over  $\mathbf{F}$ ) when it is not coupled with any other critical point.

In other words,  $\xi_\ell^k$  is free if and only if  $\Xi_\ell^k$  is a cycle of  $M_k(f_{b,c}, \mathbf{F})$  but not a boundary, hence the following result:

<sup>4)</sup> Note that if  $\mathbf{F}$  were not a field, this would not provide a basis for noninvertible  $\beta_{j_0}$ .

COROLLARY 3.5. *For each integer  $k$ , the Betti number  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} HM_k(f_{b,c}, \mathbf{F})$  is the number of free critical points of index  $k$  of  $f_{b,c}$  over  $\mathbf{F}$ .*  $\square$

THEOREM 3.6.

- (1) *The Barannikov normal form of the Morse complex of  $f_{b,c}$  over  $\mathbf{F}$  is independent of the gradient-like vector field  $V$ .*
- (2) *So is the Morse homology  $HM_*(f_{b,c}, R)$ ; it is isomorphic to  $H_*(f^c, f^b; R)$ .*
- (3) *For  $b' \leq b < c \leq c'$ , the inclusion  $i: f^c \hookrightarrow f^{c'}$ , restricted to the critical set  $C_*(f_{b,c})$ , induces a linear map  $i_*: M_*(f_{b,c}, R) \rightarrow M_*(f_{b',c'}, R)$  such that  $\partial \circ i_* = i_* \circ \partial$  and therefore a linear map  $i_*: HM_*(f_{b,c}, R) \rightarrow HM_*(f_{b',c'}, R)$ , which is the usual  $i_*: H_*(f^c, f^b; R) \rightarrow H_*(f^{c'}, f^{b'}; R)$  modulo the previous isomorphism.*

*Idea of the proof* [10]. (1) Connecting two generic gradient-like vector fields  $V_0, V_1$  for  $f$  by a generic family, one can prove that each of the Morse complexes defined by  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  is obtained from the other by a change of variables whose matrix is upper-triangular with all diagonal entries equal to 1.

(2) When there is no critical point of  $f$  in  $\{b \leq f \leq c\}$ , both  $HM_*(f_{b,c}, R)$  and  $H_*(f^c, f^b; R)$  are trivial (the flow of  $V$  defines a retraction of  $f^c$  onto  $f^b$ ).

When there is only one critical point  $\xi$  of  $f$  in  $\{b \leq f \leq c\}$ , of index  $\lambda$ ,

$$HM_k(f_{b,c}, R) \simeq H_k(f^c, f^b; R) \simeq \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } k = \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

the class of  $\xi$  obviously generates  $HM_\lambda(f_{b,c}, R)$ , whereas a generator of  $H_\lambda(f^c, f^b; R)$  is the class of a cell of dimension  $\lambda$ , namely the stable manifold of  $\xi$  for  $V|_{\{b \leq f \leq c\}}$ ; the isomorphism associates the second class to the first.

In the general case, one can consider a subdivision  $b = b_0 < \dots < b_N = c$  consisting of regular values of  $f$  such that each  $f_{b_i, b_{i+1}}$  has precisely one critical point. One can show that the boundary operator  $\partial$  of the relative singular homology  $\partial: H_{k+1}(f^{b_{i+1}}, f^{b_i}) \rightarrow H_k(f^{b_i}, f^{b_{i-1}})$  can be interpreted as the intersection number of the stable manifold of the critical point in  $\{b_i \leq f \leq b_{i+1}\}$  and the unstable manifold of that in  $\{b_{i-1} \leq f \leq b_i\}$ , i.e., their algebraic number of connecting trajectories.

(3) The first claims are easy. The last one follows from what has just been sketched.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.7. *If  $f$  is an excellent Morse function quadratic at infinity, then it has precisely one free critical point  $\xi$  over  $\mathbf{F}$ ; its index  $\lambda$  is that of the reference quadratic form  $Q$  and*

$$\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = f(\xi).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the dimension of

$$HM_k(f, \mathbf{F}) = HM_k(f_{-\infty, \infty}, \mathbf{F}) \simeq H_k(f^\infty, f^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F}) = H_k(Q^\infty, Q^{-\infty}; \mathbf{F})$$

is 1 if  $k = \lambda$  and 0 otherwise. The first two assertions follow by Corollary 3.5. To prove  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = f(\xi)$ , note that  $\underline{\gamma}(f)$  is the infimum of the regular values  $c$  of  $f$  such that the class of  $\xi$  in  $HM_\lambda(f_{-\infty, \infty}, \mathbf{F})$  lies in the image of  $i_{c*}: HM_\lambda(f_{-\infty, c}, \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow HM_\lambda(f_{-\infty, \infty}, \mathbf{F})$  by Theorem 3.6(3), which means  $c \geq f(\xi)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.8. *The excellent Morse function  $-f_{b,c} = (-f)_{-c,-b}$  has the same free critical points over the field  $\mathbf{F}$  as  $f_{b,c}$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming  $V$  fixed, this is essentially easy linear algebra:

- One has  $C_k(-f) = C_{n-k}(f)$  and the ordering of the corresponding critical values is reversed. Thus, the lexicographically ordered basis of  $M_*(-f)$  corresponding to  $(\xi_\ell^k)_{1 \leq \ell \leq m_k, 0 \leq k \leq n}$  is  $(\xi_{m_{n-k}-\ell+1}^{n-k})_{1 \leq \ell \leq m_{n-k}, 0 \leq k \leq n}$ .
- The vector field  $-V$  has the same relations with  $-f$  as  $V$  has with  $f$ , hence  $\nu_{-f, -V}(\xi_{m_{n-k}-\ell+1}^{n-k}, \xi_{m_{n-(k-1)}-m+1}^{n-(k-1)}) = \nu_{f, V}(\xi_{m_{n-(k-1)}-m+1}^{n-(k-1)}, \xi_{m_{n-k}-\ell+1}^{n-k})$ .

That is, the matrix of the boundary operator of  $M_*(-f_{b,c})$  in the basis  $(\xi_{m_{n-k}-\ell+1}^{n-k})$  is the matrix  $\tilde{M}$  obtained from the matrix  $A$  of the boundary operator of  $M_*(f_{b,c})$  in the basis  $(\xi_\ell^k)$  by symmetry with respect to the second diagonal (i.e. by reversing the order of both the lines and columns of the transpose of  $A$ ).

Lemma 3.3 can be rephrased as follows: there exists a block-diagonal matrix

$$P = \text{diag}(P_0, \dots, P_n)$$

where each  $P_k \in \text{GL}(m_k, \mathbf{F})$  is upper triangular, such that

$$(2) \quad P^{-1}AP = B$$

is a Barannikov normal form, meaning the following: the entries of the column of indices  $\frac{k}{\ell}$  are 0 except possibly one, equal to 1, which must lie on the line of indices  $\frac{k-1}{m}$  for some  $m$  and be the only nonzero entry on this line. The normal form  $B$  is the same for every choice of  $P$  and  $V$ . Clearly,  $\xi_\ell^k$  is a

free critical point of  $f_{b,c}$  if and only if both the line and column of indices  $\ell$  of  $B$  are zero.

Equation (2) reads

$$(3) \quad \tilde{P} \tilde{A} \tilde{P}^{-1} = \tilde{B}.$$

Now,  $\tilde{P}^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{P}^{-1})^{-1}$  are block diagonal upper triangular matrices whose  $k^{\text{th}}$  diagonal block lies in  $\text{GL}(m_{n-k}, \mathbf{F})$ ; therefore, by (3), as  $\tilde{B}$  is a Barannikov normal form for the ordering associated to  $-f$ , it is *the* Barannikov normal form of the boundary operator of  $M_*(-f_{b,c})$ , from which our result follows at once.

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *For any excellent Morse function  $f$  quadratic at infinity, the sole free critical point of  $-f$  over  $\mathbf{F}$  is the free critical point  $\xi$  of  $f$ ; hence  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = f(\xi) = -(-f)(\xi) = -\underline{\gamma}(-f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F})$  by Corollary 3.7 and Lemma 2.8, which proves Theorem 2.11.  $\square$*

Before we give an example where  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) > \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z})$ , here is a situation where this cannot occur:

**PROPOSITION 3.10.** *Assume that  $M_*(f, \mathbf{Z})$  can be put into Barannikov normal form by a basis change (1) of the free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M_*(f, \mathbf{Z})$ :*

$$(4) \quad \Xi_\ell^k := \sum_{i \leq \ell} \alpha_{\ell,i}^k \xi_i^k, \quad \alpha_{\ell,i}^k \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \alpha_{\ell,\ell}^k = \pm 1.$$

*Then,  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = f(\xi)$ , where  $\xi$  is the sole free critical point of  $f$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* We are in the situation of the proof of Proposition 3.8 with  $P_k \in \text{GL}(m_k, \mathbf{Z})$ , which implies that the Barannikov normal form  $B$  of the boundary operator is the same for  $\mathbf{Z}$  as for  $\mathbf{Q}$ ; it does follow that there is a unique free critical point  $\xi$  of  $f$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  (the same as over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) and that it is the unique free critical point of  $-f$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ; moreover, the proof of Corollary 3.7 shows that  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = f(\xi)$ . We conclude as in Corollary 3.9.

Now that the coefficients are in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the classical method called *handle sliding* [10, 12] states that, under an additional condition imposed on the index of the change of basis in (4), namely  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ , the Barannikov normal form can be realized by a gradient-like vector field for  $f$ .

More precisely, let  $P: M_*(f) \rightarrow M_*(f)$  be a transformation matrix where  $P = \text{diag}(P_0, \dots, P_n)$  with each  $P_k \in \text{GL}(m_k, \mathbf{Z})$  such that  $P_k = \text{id}$  for  $k = 0, 1$

or  $n-1, n$ , and  $P_k$  is upper triangular with  $\pm 1$  in the diagonal entries for  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ . Then one can construct a gradient-like vector field  $V'$  such that, if the matrix of the boundary operator for a given gradient-like vector field  $V$  is  $A$ , then the matrix for  $V'$  is given by  $B = P^{-1}AP$ .

Roughly speaking, one modifies  $V$ , each time for one  $i \leq \ell$ , by sliding the stable sphere<sup>5)</sup>  $S_L(\xi_\ell^k)$  of  $\xi_\ell^k$  for  $V$  so that it sweeps across the unstable sphere  $S_R(\xi_i^k)$  of  $\xi_i^k$  with indicated intersection number. In other words,  $S'_L(\xi_\ell^k)$  for the resulted  $V'$  is the connected sum of  $S_L(\xi_\ell^k)$  and the boundary of a meridian disk of  $S_R(\xi_i^k)$  described in section 4.4 of [10]. One may refer to the Basis Theorem (Theorem 7.6 in [12]) for a detailed construction of  $V'$ .

REMARK 3.11 (on the “proof” of Corollary 3.9 in [3]). Capitanio uses the following:

CRITERION. *A critical point  $\xi$  of  $f$  is free (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) if and only if, for any critical point  $\eta$  incident to  $\xi$ , there is a critical point  $\xi'$ , incident to  $\eta$ , such that*

$$|f(\xi') - f(\eta)| < |f(\xi) - f(\eta)|,$$

where, given a generic gradient-like vector field  $V$  for  $f$ , two critical points are called incident if their algebraic number of connecting trajectories is nonzero.

Unfortunately, this is not true: one can construct a function  $f: \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , quadratic at infinity with Morse index  $n$ , having five critical points, two of index  $n-1$  and three of index  $n$ , whose gradient vector field  $V$  defines the Morse complex

$$\partial\xi_1^n = \xi_2^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_3^n = 0.$$

This complex can be reformulated into

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\xi_1^n &= (\xi_2^{n-1} - \xi_1^{n-1}) + \xi_1^{n-1} \\ \partial(\xi_2^n + \xi_1^n) &= (\xi_2^{n-1} - \xi_1^{n-1}) + 2\xi_1^{n-1} \\ \partial(\xi_3^n + \xi_2^n) &= \xi_1^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for a change of basis

$$\xi_2^{n-1} \mapsto \xi_2^{n-1} - \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \xi_2^n \mapsto \xi_2^n + \xi_1^n, \quad \xi_3^n \mapsto \xi_3^n + \xi_2^n$$

<sup>5)</sup> The *stable* and *unstable* spheres are  $S_L(\xi_\ell^k) = W^s(\xi_\ell^k) \cap L$  and  $S_R(\xi_i^k) = W^u(\xi_i^k) \cap L$  where  $L = f^{-1}(c)$  for some  $c \in (f(\xi_i^k), f(\xi_\ell^k))$ .

one can construct a gradient-like vector field  $V'$  for  $f$  by sliding handles, such that

$$\partial\xi_1^n = \xi_2^{n-1} + \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = \xi_2^{n-1} + 2\xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_3^n = \xi_1^{n-1}.$$

Obviously,  $\xi_3^n$  is the only free critical point, but  $\xi_2^n$  satisfies the criterion (with incidences under  $V'$ ).  $\square$

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE OF LAUDENBACH

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There exists an excellent Morse function  $f: \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as follows:*

1. *it is quadratic at infinity and the reference quadratic form has index and coindex  $n > 1$ ;*
2. *it has exactly five critical points: three of index  $n$ , one of index  $n-1$  and one of index  $n+1$ ;*
3. *its Morse complex over  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given by*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial\xi_1^{n-1} &= 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^n &= \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = -2\xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_3^n = -\xi_1^{n-1} \\ \partial\xi_1^{n+1} &= \xi_2^n - 2\xi_3^n, \end{aligned}$$

hence, for any field  $\mathbf{F}_2$  of characteristic 2 and any field  $\mathbf{F}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ ,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) &= \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}_2) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}_2) = f(\xi_3^n) \\ &> f(\xi_2^n) = \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof that (5) implies (6).* The Morse complex of  $f$  over  $\mathbf{F}_2$  is written

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\xi_1^{n-1} &= 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^n &= \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = 0, \quad \partial(\xi_3^n + \xi_1^n) = 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^{n+1} &= \xi_2^n, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $\xi_3^n$  is the only free critical point, hence, by Corollary 3.7,

$$\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}_2) = \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}_2) = f(\xi_3^n);$$

as  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \geq \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}_2)$  by Proposition 2.10 and  $\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \leq f(\xi_3^n)$ , we do have

$$\underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) = f(\xi_3^n).$$

Similarly (keeping the numbering of the critical points defined by  $f$ ) the Morse complex of  $-f$  over  $\mathbf{F}$  has the Barannikov normal form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(-2\xi_1^{n+1}) &= 0 \\ \partial\xi_3^n &= -2\xi_1^{n+1}, \quad \partial(\xi_2^n + \frac{1}{2}\xi_3^n) = 0, \quad \partial(-\xi_3^n - 2\xi_2^n + \xi_1^n) = 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^{n-1} &= -\xi_3^n - 2\xi_2^n + \xi_1^n,\end{aligned}$$

showing that the free critical point is  $\xi_2^n$ ; hence, by Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.8,

$$\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = \underline{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F}) = f(\xi_2^n);$$

finally, as we have  $\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \leq \bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{F})$  by Proposition 2.10, and  $\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \geq f(\xi_1^n)$ , we should prove  $\bar{\gamma}(f, \mathbf{Z}) > f(\xi_1^n)$ , which is obvious since  $\xi_1^n$  and  $\xi_1^{n+1}$  are boundaries in  $M_*(-f, \mathbf{Z})$ .

*How to construct such a function  $f$ .* It is easy to construct a function  $f_0: \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  with properties (1) and (2) required in the proposition and whose gradient vector field  $V_0$  provides a Morse complex given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\xi_1^{n-1} &= 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^n &= \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = 0, \quad \partial\xi_3^n = 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^{n+1} &= \xi_3^n.\end{aligned}$$

For a change of basis

$$\xi_2^n \mapsto \xi_2^n - \xi_1^n, \quad \xi_3^n \mapsto \xi_3^n - 2(\xi_2^n - \xi_1^n)$$

one can construct a gradient-like vector field  $V'$  for  $f_0$  by sliding handles, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\xi_1^{n-1} &= 0 \\ \partial\xi_1^n &= \xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \partial\xi_2^n = -\xi_1^{n-1}, \quad \xi_3^n = -2\xi_1^{n-1} \\ \partial\xi_1^{n+1} &= -2\xi_2^n + \xi_3^n.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(f_0, V')$  is Morse-Smale, the invariant manifolds of those critical points of the same index are disjoint, hence one can modify  $f_0$  to  $f$  such that

- $f$  has the same critical points as  $f_0$ ;
- the ordering of critical points for  $f$  is  $f(\xi_2^n) > f(\xi_3^n) > f(\xi_1^n)$ ;
- $V'$  is a gradient-like vector field for  $f$ .

This can be realized by the preliminary rearrangement theorem (Theorem 4.1 in [12]).

In other words, we have made a change of critical points  $\xi_2^n \leftrightarrow \xi_3^n$ , hence obtain the required Morse complex in the proposition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I wish to thank F. Laudenbach for his example. I am also grateful to M. Chaperon and A. Chenciner for useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARNAUD, M.-C. On a theorem due to Birkhoff. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20 (2010), 1307–1316.
- [2] BARANNIKOV, S. A. The framed Morse complex and its invariants, singularities and bifurcations. *Adv. Soviet Math.* 21 (1994), 93–115.
- [3] CAPITANIO, G. Caractérisation géométrique des solutions de minimax pour l'équation de Hamilton-Jacobi. *L'Enseignement Math.* (2) 49 (2003), 3–34.
- [4] CHANG, K. C. Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 80 (1981), 102–129.
- [5] CHAPERON, M. Lois de conservation et géométrie symplectique. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 312, série I (1991), 345–384.
- [6] —— On generating families. In: *The Floer Memorial Volume*. H. Hofer, C. H. Taubes, A. Weinstein and E. Zehnder, 283–296. *Progress in Mathematics* 133. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [7] CHEKANOV, YU. V. and P. E. PUSHKAR'. Combinatorics of fronts of Legendrian links and the Arnol'd 4-conjecture. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 60 (2005), 99–154; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 60 (2005), 95–149.
- [8] CLARKE, F. H. *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, New York, 1983.
- [9] HATCHER, A. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [10] LAUDENBACH, F. *Homologie de Morse dans la perspective de l'homologie de Floer*. Mini-cours dans le cadre de la rencontre GIRAGA XIII, Yaoundé, septembre 2010.
- [11] —— Personal communication, 2011.
- [12] MILNOR, J. *Lectures on the h-Cobordism Theorem*. Princeton University Press, 1965.
- [13] PATERNAIN, G. P., L. POLTEROVICH and K. F. SIBURG. Boundary rigidity for Lagrangian submanifolds, non-removable intersections, and Aubry-Mather theory. *Moscow Math. J.* 3 (2003), 593–619.
- [14] SIKORAV, J.-C. *Exposé*, Séminaire de géométrie et analyse, Université Paris 7, 1990.
- [15] VITERBO, C. Symplectic topology and Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In: *Morse Theoretic Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and in Symplectic Topology*, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. 217, 439–459. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[16] VITERBO, C. and A. OTTOLENGHI. Variational solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Available from <http://math.polytechnique.fr/~viterbo>, 1995.

*(Reçu le 8 janvier 2012)*

Qiaoling Wei

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Paris 7  
175 rue du Chevaleret  
F-75013 Paris  
France  
*e-mail :* [weiqiaoling@math.jussieu.fr](mailto:weiqiaoling@math.jussieu.fr)