

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 57 (2011)

**Artikel:** The stable rank of arithmetic orders in division algebras : an elementary approach  
**Autor:** Schwermer, Joachim / Vukadin, Ognjen  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-283531>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 13.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

THE STABLE RANK OF ARITHMETIC ORDERS  
IN DIVISION ALGEBRAS – AN ELEMENTARY APPROACH

by Joachim SCHWERMER and Ognjen VUKADIN

ABSTRACT. A well-known theorem of Bass implies that 2 defines a stable range for an arithmetic order in a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra over an algebraic number field. The purpose of this note is to provide an independent and elementary proof of this fact for arithmetic orders contained in a finite-dimensional division algebra over an algebraic number field.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of general linear groups over rings and the description of all their normal subgroups the concept of a *stable range* is fundamental. Given a ring  $R$  with identity, an element  $x \in GL_n(R)$  is an *elementary matrix* if  $x$  is of the form  $x = 1 + aE_{ij}$  where  $a \in R$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix with  $(i, j)$ -coordinate 1 and zeroes elsewhere. Let  $E_n(R)$  be the subgroup of  $GL_n(R)$  generated by all elementary matrices. Define the *stable linear group*  $GL(R)$  to be the union  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} GL_n(R)$ , where  $GL_m(R)$  is naturally identified with a subgroup of  $GL_{m+1}(R)$ . This identification sends elementary matrices to elementary matrices. Thus, we set  $E(R) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n(R)$ .

In the case of a field  $k$ , the group  $E_n(k)$  coincides with the derived group of  $GL_n(k)$  (except if  $n = 2$  and  $|k| = 2$ ). In the case of an arbitrary ring  $R$ , the relation between the group  $GL_n(R)$  and the group  $E_n(R)$  is much more intricate. However, for the stable groups,  $E(R) = [GL(R), GL(R)]$ . More generally, given a two-sided ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $R$ , one has

$$E(R, \mathfrak{q}) = [E(R), GL(R, \mathfrak{q})],$$

where  $GL(R, \mathfrak{q})$  denotes the union  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} GL_n(R, \mathfrak{q})$  over the principal congruence subgroups of level  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

Due to the work of Bass [1] one can recover this stable structure theorem for the linear group  $GL_n(R)$  subject to the assumption that  $n$  is larger than the so-called *stable rank of  $R$* . We say that  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , defines a *stable range for  $GL(R)$* , or, simply, *for the ring  $R$* , if, for all  $m \geq n$ , given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})$  unimodular in  $R^{m+1}$ , there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in R$  such that  $(x_1 + \mu_1 x_{m+1}, \dots, x_m + \mu_m x_{m+1})$  is unimodular in  $R^m$ . The smallest integer  $n$  such that for every  $k \geq n$ ,  $k$  defines a stable range for  $R$ , is called the *stable rank of  $R$* , to be denoted  $sr(R)$ .

There are many important families of rings for which the stable rank is known. Among these are semi-local rings for which  $sr(R) = 1$  (see Section 2) or Dedekind domains which have stable rank less than or equal 2. More generally, as proved in [1, Thm 11.1], an  $S$ -algebra  $R$  which is finitely generated as a module over a commutative Noetherian ring  $S$  of finite Krull dimension  $d$  has stable rank less than or equal to  $d + 1$ .

In view of the applications of this latter result and the methods of proof within the realm of linear groups over orders in a finite-dimensional semi-simple algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  (see [1, Sect. 19]), it might be of interest to have an elementary proof, independent of the result just alluded to, of the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $D$  be a finite-dimensional division algebra over an algebraic number field  $K$  and let  $\Lambda$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -order in  $D$ . Then 2 defines a stable range for  $GL(\Lambda)$ , i.e.,  $sr(\Lambda) \leq 2$ .*

For the lack of reference, retaining the previous notation, we conclude the note with the following result:

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A = M_r(D)$  with  $D$  a finite-dimensional division algebra over  $K$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a maximal  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -order in  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}$  is a finite ring, in particular:  $sr(\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ .*

## 2. SEMI-LOCAL RINGS

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity element. The *radical*  $\text{rad}(M)$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is defined to be the intersection of all the maximal submodules of  $M$ . If we view  $R$  as a module over itself, the radical  $\text{rad}(R)$  of  $R$  is defined. It is a two-sided ideal in  $R$ , equals the intersection of the annihilators in  $R$  of all simple  $R$ -modules. By definition, a non-zero ring  $R$  is called *local* if it has a unique maximal left ideal, or, equivalently, if  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  is a division

ring. A ring  $R$  is said to be *semi-local* if  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  is a left artinian ring, or, equivalently, if  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  is a semi-simple ring. A semi-local ring has only a finite number of maximal left ideals. The converse holds if  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  is commutative.

In general, the projection  $R \rightarrow R/\text{rad}(R)$  is a ring homomorphism. If an element  $r \in R$  is invertible, viewed as an element in  $R/\text{rad}(R)$ , then it is invertible in  $R$ .

The following result [1, 6.4] due to Bass plays a decisive role. For the sake of completeness, we include the simple proof given by Swan [7, 11.8].

LEMMA. *Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring, let  $a \in R$  and let  $I$  be a left ideal of  $R$  such  $Ra + I = R$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $a + x$  is a unit of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous remark we may assume that  $\text{rad}(R) = 0$  and that  $R$  is a semi-simple ring. Then there exists a left ideal  $J \subset I$  such that  $R = Ra \oplus J$ . The map  $\alpha: R \rightarrow Ra$ , defined by the assignment  $y \mapsto ya$ , gives rise to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \alpha \rightarrow R \rightarrow Ra \rightarrow 0$$

of left  $R$ -modules. Since  $R$  is semi-simple the exact sequence splits, that is, there exists a splitting  $\beta: R \rightarrow \ker \alpha$ . Thus, there exists an  $R$ -submodule  $S \subset R$  such that  $\ker \alpha \oplus S = R$ . By  $Ra \oplus J = R$ , this induces an isomorphism  $\gamma: \ker \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ . The composition of isomorphisms

$$R \rightarrow Ra \oplus \ker \alpha \rightarrow Ra \oplus J = R$$

sends 1 to  $a + x$ , where  $x := \gamma(\beta(1)) \in J$ . Hence  $a + x$  is a right unit, and, by semi-simplicity, a unit of  $R$ .

### 3. STABLE RANGE FOR $GL(R)$

#### 3.1 THE STABLE RANK OF A RING

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity element. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be an element of the right  $R$ -module  $R^m$ . By definition,  $x$  is *unimodular* in  $R^m$  if  $Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_m = R$ .

We say that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , *defines a stable range for  $GL(R)$* , or, simply, *for the ring  $R$* , if, for all  $m \geq n$ , given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})$  unimodular in  $R^{m+1}$ , there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in R$  such that  $(x_1 + \mu_1 x_{m+1}, \dots, x_m + \mu_m x_{m+1})$  is

unimodular in  $R^m$ . This definition uses the structure of a right  $R$ -module on  $R^m$ . As shown in [9, Thm 2] or [10, Thm 1.6], using the natural left module structure leads to an equivalent condition. It follows from the definition that if  $n$  defines a stable range for  $R$ , then so does any  $m \geq n$ . The smallest integer  $n$  such that for every  $k \geq n$ ,  $k$  defines a stable range for  $R$ , is called the *stable rank of  $R$* , to be denoted  $sr(R)$ .

If  $R$  is a semi-local ring then  $sr(R) = 1$ . This follows from the lemma in Section 2.

If  $R = \mathcal{O}_k$  is the *ring of integers* in an algebraic number field  $k$ , or, more generally, if  $R$  is a Dedekind ring, then 2 defines a stable range for  $GL(\mathcal{O}_k)$ , whereas 1 does not define a stable range for  $R$ . Thus  $sr(\mathcal{O}_k) = 2$ . A simple direct proof of these facts is given in [3, Prop. K 13] or [2].

### 3.2 ARITHMETIC ORDERS

Let  $k$  be an algebraic number field and let  $\mathcal{O}_k$  denote its ring of integers. Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional semi-simple algebra over  $k$ . We call a subring  $\Lambda$  of  $A$  an *arithmetic order in  $A$*  (or an  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -*order in  $A$* ) if  $1 \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -module and  $k \cdot \Lambda = A$ .

EXAMPLES. Given a positive integer  $m > 2$ , let  $k_m$  be the *cyclotomic field* of  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . One has  $k_m = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$  with a primitive root of unity  $\zeta_m \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . A field with an abelian Galois group over  $\mathbf{Q}$  has a unique maximal *totally real* subfield. In the case of the cyclotomic field  $k_m$  this is the field  $l_m = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1})$ . The ring of integers of the field  $l_m$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{l_m} = \mathbf{Z}(\zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1})$ .

Now we assume that  $m$  is even. Let  $I$  be the two-sided ideal in the free algebra  $Q := \mathbf{Q}\langle X, Y \rangle$  over  $X$  and  $Y$  generated by  $\Phi_m$ ,  $X^2 + 1$ , and  $XYX^{-1} - Y^{-1}$ , where  $\Phi_m$  denotes the  $m^{\text{th}}$  *cyclotomic polynomial*. Then  $Q/I$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra generated by  $x_m = X + I$  and  $y_m := Y + I$ . The center of this algebra is a field, isomorphic to the maximal subfield  $l_m$  in  $k_m$ . In fact,  $A_{\zeta_m} := \mathbf{Q}\langle X, Y \rangle / I$ , viewed as an  $l_m$ -algebra is a central simple algebra with  $1, y_m, x_m, y_m x_m$  as a basis over  $l_m$ . Thus,  $A_{\zeta_m}$  is what is usually called a *quaternion algebra* over  $l_m$ . The algebra  $A_{\zeta_m}$  ramifies at each archimedean place  $v \in V_\infty$  of the field  $l_m$ , that is,  $A_{\zeta_m} \otimes (l_m)_v$  is isomorphic to the algebra of Hamilton quaternions.

We denote by  $\Lambda_m$  the  $\mathcal{O}_{l_m}$ -order in  $A_{\zeta_m}$  generated by  $1, y_m, x_m, y_m x_m$ . In the case of a prime power  $\frac{m}{2} = p^k$  with a prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  the order  $\Lambda_m$  is a maximal order whereas in the case  $\frac{m}{2} = p^k$  with a prime  $p \equiv 1$

mod 4 there are two maximal orders which properly contain  $\Lambda_m$ . If  $\frac{m}{2}$  is not a prime power then  $\Lambda_m$  is a maximal order. (This follows by determining the discriminant of the order, or see, for example, [4, Satz 3.2.4].)

**THEOREM.** *Let  $D$  be a finite-dimensional division algebra over an algebraic number field  $k$  and let  $\Lambda$  be an arithmetic order in  $D$ . Then 2 defines a stable range for  $GL(\Lambda)$ , i.e.,  $sr(\Lambda) \leq 2$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *For the matrix algebra  $M_n(\Lambda)$  over an arithmetic order  $\Lambda$  of the above type one has  $sr(M_n(\Lambda)) \leq 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that given  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda \cdot x_1 + \Lambda \cdot x_2 + \Lambda \cdot x_3 = \Lambda$  there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda \cdot (x_1 + \mu_1 \cdot x_3) + \Lambda \cdot (x_2 + \mu_2 \cdot x_3) = \Lambda$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Let  $I := \Lambda \cdot x_1$  be the left ideal in  $\Lambda$  generated by  $x_1$ . Since  $k \cdot \Lambda = D$ , we have<sup>1)</sup>

$$x_1^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \cdot \lambda_i$$

for some  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in k$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ . Now, since  $k$  is the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}_k$ , we have  $k_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}$  with  $r_i, s_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$ ,  $s_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; so for  $s = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$  we have:  $sx_1^{-1} \in \Lambda$ , with  $s \in \mathcal{O}_k$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . Then

$$s = sx_1^{-1} \cdot x_1 \in I,$$

so  $\mathfrak{b} := I \cap \mathcal{O}_k$  is a nonzero ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . Consider

$$J = \Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{b} = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} \lambda_i \cdot b_i \mid \lambda_i \in \Lambda, b_i \in \mathfrak{b} \right\}.$$

$J$  is obviously a left ideal in  $\Lambda$ , and since the  $b_i$ 's are elements of the center of  $\Lambda$  we have that  $J$  is a two-sided ideal and  $\Lambda/J$  is a ring. Since  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}_k$ , we have that  $\Lambda/J$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{b}$  is always finite, we have that  $\Lambda/J$  is a finite ring, in particular, it is a semi-local ring. The equality  $\Lambda \cdot x_1 + \Lambda \cdot x_2 + \Lambda \cdot x_3 = \Lambda$  leads to<sup>2)</sup>

$$\Lambda/J \cdot (x_2 + J) + \Lambda/J \cdot \langle (x_1 + J), (x_3 + J) \rangle = \Lambda/J.$$

<sup>1)</sup> Note that  $x_1^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $x_1$  in  $D$ , this element needs not to be in  $\Lambda$ .

<sup>2)</sup> For a ring  $R$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in R$  we denote by  $R \cdot \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$  the left ideal of  $R$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ .

Now we can apply the Lemma in Section 2 for semi-local rings to conclude that the set

$$(x_2 + J) + \Lambda/J \cdot \langle (x_1 + J), (x_3 + J) \rangle$$

contains a unit, so there exist  $\rho, \tau \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\Lambda/J \cdot ((x_2 + \rho \cdot x_1 + \tau \cdot x_3) + J) = \Lambda/J.$$

This implies that

$$J + \Lambda \cdot (x_2 + \rho \cdot x_1 + \tau \cdot x_3) = \Lambda.$$

Now, we have  $\Lambda x_1 \supseteq J$  and  $x_2 + \rho \cdot x_1 + \tau \cdot x_3 \in \Lambda x_1 + \Lambda(x_2 + \tau \cdot x_3)$ , which implies that

$$\Lambda x_1 + \Lambda(x_2 + \tau \cdot x_3) = \Lambda.$$

By setting  $\mu_1 := 0$ ,  $\mu_2 := \tau$  we get the desired reduction.

The corollary follows from the result of Vaserstein [9, Thm 3] which states that for any ring  $R$  with identity element  $sr(M_n(R)) = 1 + [\frac{sr(R)-1}{n}]$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $x$ .

REMARKS. (1) Note that the idea for the proof is based on the fact that, for  $x_1 \neq 0$ , the left ideal  $\Lambda \cdot x_1$  has a nonzero intersection with  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . This allows us to factor the ring modulo  $J$  and then at the end capture  $J$  with  $x_1$ . However, this is not valid if we omit the condition “ $D$  is a division algebra”. One can easily verify this for  $M_n(\mathbf{Z})$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -order in the matrix algebra  $M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ .

(2) Since a ring is semi-local if and only if  $R/\text{rad}R$  is left artinian we can slightly modify the proof of the theorem using the fact that an algebra which is finitely generated as a module over an artinian ring is artinian as a ring, in order to generalize the result for orders in finite-dimensional division algebras over quotient fields of arbitrary Dedekind rings  $R$ .

(3) The idea of the proof can be applied in a simplified version to give a short simple proof of the fact that 2 defines a stable range for any Dedekind ring.

#### 4. MAXIMAL ORDERS IN $M_n(D)$

PROPOSITION. *In the above setting, let  $A = M_r(D)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a maximal arithmetic order in  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}$  is a finite ring, in particular  $sr(\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By the classification of maximal orders in  $M_n(D)$  [6, Thm 27.6], there are a maximal arithmetic order  $\Delta$  in  $D$  and a right ideal<sup>3)</sup>  $J$  so that  $\Lambda$  has the form

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & . & . & \Delta & J^{-1} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ \Delta & . & . & \Delta & J^{-1} \\ J & . & . & J & \Delta' \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $J^{-1} := \{x \in D \mid JxJ \subseteq J\}$ , and  $\Delta' := \{x \in D \mid xJ \subseteq J\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  contains a matrix  $X$  with some nonzero entry  $d = x_{ij}$  for some  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . We want to show that  $\mathcal{O}_k \cap \mathfrak{q}$  is a non-zero ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_k$ .

We first consider the case when  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ . Let  $E_{kl}$  denote the matrix with 1 in the  $(k, l)$ -coordinate, and zeroes elsewhere. The arithmetic order  $\Delta$  contains the identity element, thus  $E_{kl} \in \Delta$  for  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ . Now,  $E_{ii}XE_{jj} = dE_{ii} \in \mathfrak{q}$ , and  $E_{ki}dE_{ii}E_{ik} = dE_{kk} \in \mathfrak{q}$  for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ , thus:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

As in the proof of the theorem in 3.2, we can find  $s \neq 0$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{O}_k$ , such that  $s \cdot d^{-1} \in \Delta$ . Then the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} sd^{-1} & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & sd^{-1} & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & sd^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

to be denoted  $S$ , is an element of  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

Again, as in the proof of the theorem in 3.2, we have  $J \cap \mathcal{O}_k \neq 0$ . We choose any  $t \neq 0$ ,  $t \in J \cap \mathcal{O}_k$ . Then

$$tE_{r(r-1)}S = tsE_{r(r-1)} \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

<sup>3)</sup> For the definition of a *right ideal* of an order, see [6]. In the case of an order in a skewfield, the definition of a right ideal of an order coincides with the usual ring theoretic definition.

Since  $J$  is a right ideal of  $\Delta$  we have  $1 \in J^{-1}$ , hence  $E_{(r-1)r} \in \Delta$  and

$$tsE_{r(r-1)}E_{(r-1)r} \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

Consequently, the product  $ts$ , written in the form

$$0 \neq \begin{pmatrix} ts & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ts & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & ts & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & ts \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S + tsE_{r(r-1)}E_{(r-1)r},$$

is an element in  $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_k$ .

The cases where  $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ ,  $j = r$ , reduce to the previous one by observing that  $XtE_{ri} \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Analogously, the cases where  $i = r$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$  also reduce to the first case by using the fact that  $sE_{jr}X \in \mathfrak{q}$ , and the case  $i = j = r$  reduces to the latter case by observing that  $XtE_{r1} \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

We obtain that  $\beta := \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_k$  is a nonzero ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . Thus, as in the proof of the theorem we have that  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_k/\beta$ -module, hence finite, in particular,  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}$  is a semi-local ring and  $sr(\Lambda/\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BASS, H. *K*-theory and stable algebra. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 22 (1964), 5–60.
- [2] ESTES, D. and J. OHM. Stable range in commutative rings. *J. Algebra* 7 (1967), 343–362.
- [3] JANTZEN, J. C. and J. SCHWERMER. *Algebra*. Springer-Lehrbuch. Springer, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [4] KIRSCHMER, M. Konstruktive Idealtheorie in Quaternionenalgebren. Diplomarbeit, Universität Ulm, 2005.
- [5] LAM, T. Y. Bass's work in ring theory and projective modules. In: *Algebra, K-theory, Groups, and Education. On the Occasion of Hyman Bass's 65th Birthday*. Edited by T. Y. Lam and A. R. Magid, 83–124. Contemporary Mathematics 243. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [6] REINER, I. *Maximal Orders*. London Mathematical Society Monographs 5. Academic Press, London-New York, 1975.
- [7] SWAN, R. G. *Algebraic K-Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 76. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.
- [8] ———. *K-Theory of Finite Groups and Orders*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 149. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

- [9] VASERSTEIN, L. N. Stable rank of rings and dimensionality of topological spaces. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 5 (1971), 102–110.
- [10] WARFIELD, R. B., JR. Cancellation of modules and groups and stable range of endomorphism rings. *Pacific J. Math.* 91 (1980), 457–485.

(Reçu le 23 août 2010)

Joachim Schwermer

Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Vienna  
Nordbergstrasse 15  
A-1090 Vienna  
Austria

and

Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics  
Boltzmanngasse 9  
A-1090 Vienna  
Austria  
*e-mail*: Joachim.Schwermer@univie.ac.at

Ognjen Vukadin

Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Vienna  
Nordbergstrasse 15  
A-1090 Vienna  
Austria  
*e-mail*: ognjenvukadin@yahoo.com