

The fundamental group at infinity

Autor(en): **Geoghegan, Ross**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **54 (2008)**

Heft 1-2

PDF erstellt am: **21.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109902>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

33

THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP AT INFINITY

by Ross GEOGHEGAN

Let G be a finitely presented group which has one end. There are three flavors of the question: homological, homotopical, and geometric.

THE HOMOLOGICAL FLAVOR

QUESTION 33.1. *Is it true that the abelian group $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ is free?*

REMARKS 33.2. (i) $H^0(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ and $H^1(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ are trivial.

(ii) $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ is either trivial, or is infinite cyclic, or is an infinitely generated abelian group ([5]).

(iii) $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ need not be free abelian when $n > 2$ [1], [4].

(iv) $H^2(G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ need not be free abelian when G is only finitely generated.

Perhaps FP_2 could replace “finitely presented” in Question 33.1.

THE HOMOTOPICAL FLAVOR

Let X be any (one-ended) complex on which G acts cocompactly as a group of covering transformations.

QUESTION 33.3. *Is it true that the “fundamental group at infinity” of X is semistable (aka Mittag-Leffler)?*

An inverse sequence of groups $\{G_r\}$ is *semistable* or *Mittag-Leffler* if, given any n , the sequence of images of the groups G_{n+k} in G_n is eventually constant. We choose a proper ray $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ and a filtration of X by finite subcomplexes K_n . By reparametrizing ω we can assume $\omega([r, \infty)) \subset X - K_r$ for all r . Let G_n denote the fundamental group of the complement of K_n based at $\omega(n)$, and let $f_n: G_{n+1} \rightarrow G_n$ be induced by inclusion using change of base point along ω . Question 33.3 asks if this $\{G_r\}$ is semistable.

REMARKS 33.4. (i) The answer only depends on G , not on X nor on the filtration nor on the base ray; so I can rephrase the homotopical question as

QUESTION 33.5. *Is G semistable at infinity?*

(ii) The answer is known to be *yes* for many classes of groups. For example, all of the following imply that G is semistable at infinity:

- G sits in the middle of a short exact sequence of infinite groups where the kernel is finitely generated [7].
- G is a one-relator group [9].
- G is the fundamental group of a graph of groups whose vertex groups are finitely presented and semistable at infinity, and whose edge groups are finitely generated [8].

(iii) There are positive answers coming from topology. Assume X admits a Z -set compactifying boundary. Then the answer is *yes* if and only if this (connected) boundary has semistable pro- π_1 in the sense of shape theory (the technical term is “pointed 1-movable”); examples are Coxeter groups [3]. This π_1 -condition holds if the boundary is locally connected; examples are hyperbolic groups [2], [10].

(iv) The answer is unknown for CAT(0) groups (as far as I know).

The homological Question 33.1 is equivalent to:

QUESTION 33.6. *Is it true that the inverse sequence of integral first homology groups of the spaces $X - K_n$ is semistable?*

Thus Question 33.1 is the abelianized version of Question 33.3, and is perhaps more likely to have a positive answer.

THE GEOMETRIC FLAVOR

QUESTION 33.7. *Is it true that any two proper rays in X are properly homotopic?*

This is so deliciously simple and “right” that it hardly needs comment³⁾ except to say that it is *equivalent* to Question 33.3 [7].

³⁾ My book [6] contains a much more detailed account of what I summarize here.

FINAL REMARK. There are lots of contractible locally finite 2-dimensional complexes X having one end whose fundamental groups at infinity are not semistable; for example the infinite inverse mapping telescope S associated with a dyadic solenoid (suitably coned off to make it contractible). The problem is to know if any of these admit a cocompact, free and properly discontinuous group action. We know that S does not admit such an action.

REFERENCES

- [1] BESTVINA, M. and G. MESS. The boundary of negatively curved groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1991), 469–481.
- [2] BOWDITCH, B.H. Splittings of finitely generated groups over two-ended subgroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), 1049–1078.
- [3] DAVIS, M.W. Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 117 (1983), 293–324.
- [4] ——— The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients. *Duke Math. J.* 91 (1998), 297–314.
- [5] FARRELL, F.T. The second cohomology group of G with Z_2G coefficients. *Topology* 13 (1974), 313–326.
- [6] GEOGHEGAN, R. *Topological Methods in Group Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 243. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [7] MIHALIK, M.L. Semistability at the end of a group extension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277 (1983), 307–321.
- [8] MIHALIK, M.L. and S.T. TSCHANTZ. *Semistability of Amalgamated Products and HNN-Extensions*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 98. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.
- [9] MIHALIK, M.L. and S.T. TSCHANTZ. One relator groups are semistable at infinity. *Topology* 31 (1992), 801–804.
- [10] SWARUP, G.A. On the cut point conjecture. *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1996), 98–100.

Ross Geoghegan

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Binghamton University (SUNY)
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
USA
e-mail: ross@math.binghamton.edu