Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 49 (2003)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: SOME REMARKS ON NONCONNECTED COMPACT LIE GROUPS
Autor: HÄMMERLI, Jean-François
Bibliographie
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66678

connected component by SO(3) *(i.e. by "any" group of the same rank), or the group of components by* $\mathbf{Z}/4$ *(i.e. by "any" group of the same size), will force the extension to be split.*

Proof. The assertions about the connected component and the group of components are clear. Let us show that the extension associated to G is not split. Let us denote $[g,\gamma] \in G$ the image of $(g,\gamma) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{D}_8$ under the canonical projection. Let $\mathbf{S}^1$ denote the standard maximal torus in SU(2), and let N denote its normalizer in G. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N = &\left\{[t,e] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[jt,e] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[t,r] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[jt,r] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \\ &\amalg \left\{[t,s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[jt,s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[t,rs] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} \amalg \left\{[jt,rs] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\} . \end{aligned}$$

By contradiction, suppose that the extension associated to G is split, i.e. there exists a section. As $\mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ is abelian, thus nilpotent, we deduce, by Proposition 5.4, that the extension associated to N is also split. We want to show that this is not possible by considering the elements of order 2 in N. For $n = 0, 1$, a straightforward calculation shows that in the component corresponding to $r^n s$, an element $[t, r^n s]$ is of order 2 if and only if $t = \pm\mathbf{1}$, and that the sub-component $\left\{[jt, r^n s] : t \in \mathbf{S}^1\right\}$ does not contain any element of order 2. Two of the three non-trivial elements in $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ must thus be mapped by the section to $[\pm\mathbf{1}, s]$ and $[\pm\mathbf{1}, rs]$. Therefore, as the section is a homomorphism, the image of the third non-trivial element is

$$[\pm\mathbf{1}, rs] \cdot [\pm\mathbf{1}, s] = [\pm\mathbf{1}, r] ,$$

which is not of order 2. A contradiction that shows that the extension associated to G is not split.

The property of minimality follows by Theorem 5.3, and by the fact that any extension with SO(3) as normal subgroup is a direct product (because SO(3) is complete, i.e. centerless and with trivial outer automorphism group). □

REFERENCES

[1] ADAMS, J. F. Maps between classifying spaces, II. *Invent. Math. 49* (1978), 1–65.

[2] ADEM, A. and R. J. MILGRAM. *Cohomology of Finite Groups.* Springer, 1994.

[3] BOREL, A. and J. DE SIEBENTHAL Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos. *Comment. Math. Helv. 23* (1949), 200–221.

[4] BOURBAKI, N. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie (Chapitres 2 et 3).* Hermann, 1972.

[5] —— *Groupes et algèbres de Lie (Chapitre 9).* Masson, 1983.

[6] BROWN, K. S. *Cohomology of Groups.* GTM 87. Springer, 1982.

[7] CURTIS, M., A. WIEDERHOLD and B. WILLIAMS. Normalizers of maximal tori. In: *Lecture Notes in Math. 418,* 31–47. Springer, 1974.

[8] DE SIEBENTHAL, J. Sur les sous-groupes fermés d'un groupe de Lie clos. *Comment. Math. Helv. 25* (1951), 210–256.

[9] —— Sur les groupes de Lie compacts non connexes. *Comment. Math. Helv. 31* (1956/57), 41–89.

[10] DYNKIN, E. B. Automorphisms of semi-simple Lie algebras. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 76* (1951), 629–632.

[11] EILENBERG, S. and S. MAC LANE. Cohomology theory in abstract groups, II. Group extensions with non-abelian kernel. *Ann. of Math. (2) 48* (1947), 326–341.

[12] HÄMMERLI, J.-F. Une généralisation de la notion de tore maximal dans les groupes de Lie compacts non connexes. Travail de diplôme. Université de Neuchâtel, 1995.

[13] —— Normalizers of maximal tori and classifying spaces of compact Lie groups. Ph.D. thesis. University of Neuchâtel, 2000.

[14] HOFMANN, K. H. and S. A. MORRIS. *The Structure of Compact Groups.* de Gruyter, 1998.

[15] KIRILLOV, A. A. *Elements of the Theory of Representations.* Springer, 1976.

[16] MAC LANE, S. *Homology.* Springer, 1963.

[17] MATTHEY, M. Sur les sous-groupes principaux et les normalisateurs de tores maximaux dans les groupes de Lie compacts connexes. Travail de diplôme. Université de Neuchâtel, 1995.

[18] MCINNES, B. Disconnected forms and the standard group. *J. Math. Phys. (8) 38* (1997), 4354–4362.

[19] OLIVER, B. The representation ring of a compact Lie group revisited. *Comment. Math. Helv. 73* (1998), 353–378.

[20] OSSE, A. λ-structures and representation rings of compact connected Lie groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra 121* (1997), 69–93.

[21] ROBINSON, D. J. S. *A Course in the Theory of Groups.* GTM 80 (2nd ed.). Springer, 1996.

[22] SEGAL, G. The representation ring of a compact Lie group. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 34* (1968), 113–128.

[23] TOM DIECK, T. *Transformation Groups and Representation Theory.* Lecture Notes in Math. *766.* Springer, 1979.

(Reçu le 25 juin 2002)

Jean-François Hämmerli

Institut de Mathématiques
Université de Lausanne
Bâtiment de Chimie
CH-1015 Lausanne
Switzerland
e-mail: jean-francois.haemmerli@ima.unil.ch