

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 49 (2003)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: LECTURES ON QUASI-INVARIANTS OF COXETER GROUPS AND THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA
Autor: Etingof, Pavel / Strickland, Elisabetta
Kapitel: 1.5 The Poincaré séries of Q_m
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66677>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

We show how one can prove this Theorem in 3.10. This proof follows [BEG] (the original proof of [EG2] is shorter but somewhat less conceptual). The main idea of the proof is to show that the $\mathbf{C}[\hbar]^W$ -module Q_m can be extended to a module over a bigger (noncommutative) algebra, namely the spherical subalgebra of the rational Cherednik algebra. Furthermore, this module belongs to an appropriate category of representations of this algebra, called category \mathcal{O} . On the other hand, it can be shown that any module over the spherical subalgebra that belongs to this category is free when restricted to the commutative algebra $\mathbf{C}[\hbar]^W$.

1.5 THE POINCARÉ SERIES OF Q_m

Consider now the Poincaré series

$$h_{Q_m}(t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \dim Q_m[r] t^r,$$

where $Q_m[r]$ denotes the graded component of Q_m of degree r . For every irreducible representation $\tau \in \widehat{W}$, define

$$\chi_\tau(t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_W(\tau, \mathbf{C}[\hbar][r]) t^r.$$

Consider the element in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[W]$

$$\mu_m = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} m_s (1 - s).$$

The W -invariance of m implies that μ_m lies in the center of $\mathbf{Z}[W]$. Hence it is clear that μ_m acts as a scalar, $\xi_m(\tau)$, on τ . Let d_τ be the degree of τ .

LEMMA 1.9. *The scalar $\xi_m(\tau)$ is an integer.*

Proof. $\mathbf{Z}[W]$ and hence also its center, is a finite \mathbf{Z} -module. This clearly implies that $\xi_m(\tau)$ is an algebraic integer. Thus to prove that $\xi_m(\tau)$ is an integer, it suffices to see that $\xi_m(\tau)$ is a rational number. Let $d_{\tau,s}$ be the dimension of the space of s -invariants in τ . Taking traces we get

$$d_\tau \xi_m(\tau) = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} 2m_s (d_\tau - d_{\tau,s}),$$

which gives the rationality of $\xi_m(\tau)$. \square

THEOREM 1.10. *One has*

$$(1) \quad h_{Q_m}(t) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{W}} d_\tau t^{\xi_m(\tau)} \chi_\tau(t).$$

REMARK. This theorem was proved in [FeV] modulo Theorem 1.7 (conjectured in [FV]) using the so-called Matsuo-Cherednik correspondence (see [FeV] for details). Thus, Theorem 1.10 follows from [FeV] and [EG2]. Another proof of this theorem is given in [BEG]; this is the proof we will discuss below (in Lecture 3).

EXAMPLE 1.11. If $m = 0$, since $Q_0 = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, the theorem says that

$$h_{Q_0}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{W}} d_\tau \chi_\tau(t).$$

Indeed, as a W -module one has

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] = \bigoplus_{\tau} \tau \otimes \text{Hom}_W(\tau, \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]).$$

EXAMPLE 1.12. If $W = \mathbf{Z}/2$, then $\widehat{W} = \{+, -\}$, where $+$ (respectively $-$) denotes the trivial (respectively the sign) representation. One has

$$\mathbf{C}[x] = \mathbf{C}[x^2] \oplus \mathbf{C}[x^2]x,$$

where $\mathbf{C}[x^2] = \mathbf{C}[x]^W$ and $\mathbf{C}[x^2]x$ is the isotypic component of the sign representation. Thus

$$\chi_+(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2}, \quad \chi_-(t) = \frac{t}{1-t^2},$$

$\mu_m = m(1-s)$. Thus $\xi_m(+)=0$, $\xi_m(-)=2m$. We deduce that

$$h_{Q_m}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} + \frac{t^{2m+1}}{1-t^2},$$

as we already know.

Recall now that as a graded W -module $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \otimes H$, H being the space of harmonic polynomials. We deduce that the τ -isotypic component in $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \otimes H_\tau$.

Set $K_\tau(t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \dim \text{Hom}_W(\tau, H[r])t^r$. This is a polynomial, called the Kostka polynomial relative to τ . We deduce that

$$(2) \quad \chi_\tau(t) = \frac{K_\tau(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})}.$$

Also, if $\tau' = \tau \otimes \varepsilon$, ε being the sign representation, one has

$$K_{\tau'}(t) = K_\tau(t^{-1})t^{|\Sigma|}.$$

Set now

$$P_m(t) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{W}} d_\tau t^{\xi_m(\tau)} K_\tau(t).$$

We have

PROPOSITION 1.13 ([FeV]).

$$h_{Q_m}(t) = \frac{P_m(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})}.$$

Furthermore $P_m(t) = t^{\xi_m(\varepsilon) + |\Sigma|} P_m(t^{-1})$.

Proof. Substituting the expression (2) for $\chi_\tau(t)$ in (1.10) and using the definition of $P_m(t)$, we get

$$h_{Q_m}(t) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{W}} d_\tau t^{\xi_m(\tau)} \frac{K_\tau(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})} = \frac{P_m(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{d_i})},$$

as desired.

Now notice that

$$\xi_m(\tau) + \xi_m(\tau') = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} 2m_s = \xi_m(\varepsilon).$$

Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\xi_m(\varepsilon) + |\Sigma|} P_m(t^{-1}) &= \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{W}} d_\tau t^{\xi_m(\varepsilon) - \xi_m(\tau)} t^{|\Sigma|} K_\tau(t^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau' \in \widehat{W}} d_{\tau'} t^{\xi_m(\tau')} K_{\tau'}(t) = P_m(t), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

From this we deduce

THEOREM 1.14 ([EG2, BEG, FeV], conjectured in [FV]). *The ring Q_m of m -quasi-invariants is Gorenstein.*

Proof. By Stanley's theorem (see [Eis]), a positively graded Cohen-Macaulay domain A is Gorenstein iff its Poincaré series is a rational function $h(t)$ satisfying the equation $h(t^{-1}) = (-1)^n t^l h(t)$, where l is an integer and n is the dimension of the spectrum of A . Thus the result follows immediately from Proposition 1.13. \square

1.6 THE RING OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON X_m

Finally, let us introduce the ring $\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ of differential operators on X_m , that is the ring of differential operators with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ mapping Q_m to Q_m . It is clear that this definition coincides with Grothendieck's well-known definition ([Bj]).

THEOREM 1.15 ([BEG]). *$\mathcal{D}(X_m)$ is a simple algebra.*

REMARK 1.16. a) The ring of differential operators on a smooth affine algebraic variety is always simple (see [Bj], Chapter 3).

b) By a result of M. van den Bergh [VdB], for a non-smooth variety, the simplicity of the ring of differential operators implies the Cohen-Macaulay property of this variety.

2. LECTURE 2

We will now see how the ring Q_m appears in the theory of completely integrable systems.

2.1 HAMILTONIAN MECHANICS AND INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

Recall the basic setup of Hamiltonian mechanics [Ar]. Consider a mechanical system with configuration space X (a smooth manifold). Then the phase space of this system is T^*X , the cotangent bundle on X . The space T^*X is naturally a symplectic manifold, and in particular we have an operation of Poisson bracket on functions on T^*X . A point of T^*X is a pair (x, p) , where $x \in X$ is the position and $p \in T_x^*X$ is the momentum. Such pairs are