4. Gluing data

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **49 (2003)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

All of these constructions can be made equivariant in a rather obvious way: Thus if G is another Lie group and P is a G-invariant principal K-bundle, any $\varrho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathrm{U}(1))$ defines a G-equivariant bundle gerbe (P, L, t) (with flat connection) over M. If ϱ is in the image of $\mu \in (\mathfrak{k}^*)^K$, there is a G-equivariant pseudo-line bundle for this gerbe. Furthermore any choice of G-equivariant principal connection on P defines a G-equivariant pseudo-line bundle connection, with equivariant error 2-form $\pi^*\omega_G = \langle \mu, F_G^\theta \rangle$ where $F_G^\theta \in \Omega_G^2(P, \mathfrak{k})$ is the equivariant curvature.

4. GLUING DATA

In this Section we describe a procedure for gluing a collection of bundle gerbes (X_i, L_i, t_i) on open subsets $V_i \subset M$, with pseudo-line bundles of their quotients on overlaps[2]). We begin with the somewhat simpler case that the surjective submersions $X_i \to V_i$ are obtained by restricting a surjective submersion $X \to M$, and later reduce the general case to this special case.

Thus, let $\pi : X \to M$ be a surjective submersion and let V_i, $i = 0, \ldots, d$ an open cover of M. Let $X_i = X|_{V_i}$, and more generally $X_I = X|_{V_I}$ where V_I is the intersection of all V_i with $i \in I$.

Suppose we are given bundle gerbes (X_i, L_i, t_i) over V_i and pseudo-line bundles (E_{ij}, s_{ij}) for the quotients $(X_{ij}, L_j L_i^{-1}, t_j t_i^{-1})$ over $V_i \cap V_j$, where $E_{ij} = E_{ji}^{-1}$ and $s_{ij} = s_{ji}^{-1}$. Note that $E_{ij} E_{jk} E_{ki}$ is a pseudo-line bundle for the trivial gerbe, hence is a pull-back $\pi^* F_{ijk}$ of a line bundle $F_{ijk} \to M$, and we will also require a unitary section u_{ijk} of that line bundle. Under suitable conditions the data (E_{ij}, s_{ij}) and u_{ijk} can be used to 'glue' the gerbes (X_i, L_i, t_i). The glued gerbe will be defined over the disjoint union $\coprod_{i=1}^d X_i$. We have

$$\Big(\coprod_{i=1}^d X_i\Big)^{[2]} = \coprod_{ij} X_i \times_M X_j$$

$$\Big(\coprod_{i=1}^d X_i\Big)^{[3]} = \coprod_{ijk} X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k$$

$$\ldots$$

Hence, the glued gerbe will be of the form $(\coprod_i X_i, \coprod_{ij} L_{ij}, \coprod_{ijk} t_{ijk})$ where L_{ij} are line bundles over $X_i \times_M X_j$ and t_{ijk} unitary sections of a line bundle $(\delta L)_{ijk}$

[2]) See Stevenson [29] for similar gluing constructions.

over $\coprod_{ijk} X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k$. We will define L_{ij} by tensoring $L_i \to X^{[2]}$ (restricted to $X_i \times_M X_j$) with the pull-back of E_{ij} under the map $\partial_1 : X_i \times_M X_j \to X_{ij}$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Suppose the sections u_{ijk} satisfy the cocycle condition $u_{jkl}u_{ikl}^{-1}u_{ijl}u_{ijk}^{-1} = 1$, and the sections s_{ij} satisfy a cocycle condition $s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki} = 1$. Then there is a well-defined gerbe $(\coprod_i X_i, \coprod_{ij} L_{ij}, \coprod_{ijk} t_{ijk})$ over M, where $L_{ij} \to X_i \times_M X_j$ is the line bundle*

$$L_{ij} = L_j \otimes \partial_1^* E_{ij}$$

and t_{ijk} is a section of $(\delta L)_{ijk} \to X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k$ given by

$$t_{ijk} = t_k \otimes \partial_2^* s_{kj} \otimes \partial_2^* \partial_1^* \pi^* u_{ijk}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. A short calculation gives

$$(\delta L)_{ijk} = (\delta L_k) \otimes \partial_2^*(L_j L_k^{-1} \delta E_{kj}^{-1}) \otimes \partial_2^* \partial_1^* \pi^* F_{ijk}\,,$$

showing that t_{ijk} is a well-defined section of $(\delta L)_{ijk}$. One finds furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta t)_{ijkl} &= (\delta t_l) \otimes \partial_3^* \left(t_l t_k^{-1} \delta s_{kl}^{-1} \otimes \partial_2^* \left(s_{lj}s_{jk}s_{kl} \otimes \partial_1^* \pi^* (u_{jkl}u_{ikl}^{-1}u_{ijl}u_{ijk}^{-1})\right)\right) \\ &= \partial_3^* \partial_2^* \left(s_{lj}s_{jk}s_{kl} \otimes \partial_1^* \pi^* (u_{jkl}u_{ikl}^{-1}u_{ijl}u_{ijk}^{-1})\right)\end{aligned}$$

which equals 1 under the given assumptions on u and s.

The gluing construction described in this Proposition is particularly natural for Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes: Suppose $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of M, and $X = \coprod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U$. For any decomposition $\mathcal{U} = \coprod_{i=1}^d \mathcal{U}_i$ let $V_i = \cup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_i} U$, and $X_i = \coprod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_i} U$. Note that in this case,

$$\coprod_i X_i = X\,.$$

Suppose (L_i, t_i) are Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes for the cover $\mathcal{U}_i$ of V_i, and that we are given pseudo-line bundles (E_{ij}, s_{ij}) and a section u_{ijk} as above. Note that the E_{ij} are a collection of line bundles over intersections $U_a \cap U_b$ where $U_a \in \mathcal{U}_i$ and $U_b \in \mathcal{U}_j$. The gluing construction gives a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe (L, t) for the cover $\mathcal{U}$ of M, where the E_{ij} enter the definition of transition line bundles between open sets in distinct $\mathcal{U}_i$, $\mathcal{U}_j$.

REMARK 4.2. Suppose $X = M$, and that all L_i, t_i, s_{ij} are trivial. Then the gerbe described in Proposition 4.1 *is* a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe for the cover $\{V_i\}$. The E_{ij} now play the role of transition line bundles, and u_{ijk} play the role of t.

Suppose now that, in addition to the assumptions of Proposition 4.1, we have gerbe connections (∇^{L_i}, B_i) and pseudo-line bundle connections $\nabla^{E_{ij}} = (\nabla^{E_{ji}})^{-1}$. Let ω_{ij} denote the error 2-form for $\nabla^{E_{ij}}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *The connections* $\nabla^{L_{ij}} = \nabla^{L_j} \otimes \partial_1^* \nabla^{E_{ij}}$ *on* L_{ij}, *together with the two forms* $B_i \in \Omega^2(X_i)$, *define a gerbe connection if all error* 2*-forms* ω_{ij} *vanish, and if*

$$\nabla^{E_{ij}} \nabla^{E_{jk}} \nabla^{E_{ki}} (\pi^* u_{ijk}) = 0 .$$

Proof. Let B be the 2-form on $\coprod X_i$ given by B_i on X_i. We first verify that $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^{L_{ij}}) = (\delta B)_{ij}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^{L_{ij}}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^{L_j}) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial_1^* \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^{E_{ij}}) \\ &= \delta B_j + \partial_1^* (B^j - B^i + \pi^* \omega_{ij}) \\ &= \partial_0^* B_j - \partial_1^* B_i = (\delta B)_{ij} . \end{aligned}$$

Next, we check that t_{ijk} is parallel for $(\delta \nabla^L)_{ijk}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \nabla^L)_{ijk} &= \partial_0^* \nabla^{L_{jk}} \partial_1^* (\nabla^{L_{ik}})^{-1} \partial_2^* \nabla^{L_{ij}} \\ &= \delta \nabla^{L_k} \otimes \partial_2^* (\nabla^{L_k} (\nabla^{L_j})^{-1} \delta \nabla^{E_{jk}}) \otimes \partial_2^* \partial_1^* (\nabla^{E_{ij}} \nabla^{E_{jk}} \nabla^{E_{ki}}) . \end{aligned}$$

This annihilates (4.1) as required.

We now describe a slightly more complicated gluing construction, in which the X_i are not simply the restrictions of a surjective submersion $X \to M$. Instead, we assume that for each I we are given a surjective submersion $\pi_I : X_I \to V_I$ are surjective submersions, and for each $I \supset J$ a fiber preserving smooth map $f_I^J : X_I \to X_J$, with the compatibility condition $f_J^K \circ f_I^J = f_I^K$ for $I \supset J \supset K$. Our gluing data will consist of the following:

(i) Over each V_i, bundle gerbes (X_i, L_i, t_i) with connections (∇^{L_i}, B_i).

(ii) Over each V_{ij}, pseudo-line bundles $E_{ij} = E_{ji}^{-1}$, $s_{ij} = s_{ji}^{-1}$ with connections $\nabla^{E_{ij}} = (\nabla^{E_{ji}})^{-1}$ for the bundle gerbe (X_{ij}, L_{ij}, t_{ij}), given as the quotient of the pull-back of (X_j, L_j, t_j) by f_{ij}^j and the pull-back of (X_i, L_i, t_i) by f_{ij}^i.

(iii) Over triple intersections, unitary sections u_{ijk} of the line bundle $F_{ijk} \to V_{ijk}$ defined by tensoring the pull-backs of E_{ij}, E_{jk}, E_{ki} by the maps f_{ijk}^{ij}, f_{ijk}^{jk}, f_{ijk}^{ki}.

We require that the s_{ij} and u_{ijk} satisfy a cocycle condition similar to Proposition 4.1, that all error 2-forms ω_{ij} are zero, and that the connections $\nabla^{E_{ij}}$ satisfy a compatibility condition as in 4.3.

These data may be used to define a bundle gerbe over M, by reducing to the setting of Propositions 4.1, 4.3. As a first step we construct a more convenient cover.

LEMMA 4.4. *There are open subsets U_I of M, with $\overline{U}_I \subset V_I$, and $\bigcup_I U_I = M$, such that*

$$\overline{U}_I \cap \overline{U}_J = \varnothing \quad \text{unless} \quad J \subset I \text{ or } I \subset J .$$

The collection of open subsets

$$V_i' = M \setminus \bigcup_{J \not\ni i} \overline{U}_J$$

is a shrinking of the open cover V_i, that is, $\bigcup V_i' = M$ and $\overline{V_i'} \subset V_i$.

The proof of this technical lemma is deferred to Appendix A. Now set $X = \coprod_I X_I|_{U_I}$. By definition of V_i', the restriction $X_i' = X|_{V_i'}$ is given by

$$X_i' = \coprod_{J \ni i} X_J|_{U_J \cap V_i'} .$$

More generally, letting $V_I' = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i'$ and $X_I' = X|_{V_I'}$ we have

$$X_I' = \coprod_{J \supset I} X_J|_{U_J \cap V_I'} .$$

Let $X_I' \to X_I|_{V_I'}$ be the fiber preserving map, given on $X_J|_{U_J \cap V_I'}$ by the map $f_J^I : X_J \to X_I$. Using these maps, we can pull-back our gluing data: Let (X_i', L_i', t_i') be the pull-back of the bundle gerbe (X_i, L_i, t_i) under the map $X_i' \to X_i$, equipped with the pull-back connection. On overlaps V_{ij}', we let (E_{ij}', s_{ij}') be the pseudo-line bundle with connections defined by pulling back (E_{ij}, s_{ij}). The gluing data obtained in this way satisfy the conditions from Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, and hence give rise to a bundle gerbe with connection over M.

REMARK 4.5. In our applications, the line bundles E_{ij} are in fact trivial, so one can simply take $u_{ijk} = 1$ in terms of the trivialization. The s_{ij} are U(1)-valued functions in this case, and the compatibility condition reads $s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki} = 1$ over X_{ijk}.

The gluing constructions generalize equivariant bundle gerbes in a straightforward way.