Zeitschrift:	L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber:	Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band:	49 (2003)
Heft:	3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE
Artikel:	THE BASIC GERBE OVER A COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUP
Autor:	Meinrenken, Eckhard
Kapitel:	2.3 Simplicial gerbes
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66691

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 15.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

over $X^{[3]}$, satisfying a compatibility condition $\delta t = 1$ over $X^{[4]}$ (which makes sense since δt is a section of the canonically trivial bundle $\delta \delta L$). Given local sections $\sigma_a \colon U_a \to X$, one can pull these data back under the maps $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) \colon U_a \cap U_b \to X^{[2]}$ and $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c) \colon U_a \cap U_b \cap U_c \to X^{[3]}$ to obtain a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe. The Dixmier-Douady class of (X, L, t) is by definition the Dixmier-Douady class of this Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe; again this is independent of all choices. The Dixmier-Douady class behaves naturally under tensor product, pull-back and duals.

Notice that Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes may be viewed as a special case of bundle gerbes, with X the disjoint union of the sets U_a in the given cover.

REMARK 2.1. In his original paper [24] Murray considered bundle gerbes only for fiber bundles, but this was found too restrictive. In [25], [29] the weaker condition (called 'locally split') is used that every point $x \in M$ admits an open neighborhood U and a map $\sigma: U \to X$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = id$. However, this condition seems insufficient in the smooth category, as the fiber product $X \times_M X$ need not be a manifold unless π is a submersion.

2.3 SIMPLICIAL GERBES

Murray's construction fits naturally into a wider context of *simplicial gerbes*. We refer to Mostow-Perchik's notes of lectures by R. Bott [23] and to Dupont's paper [12] for a nice introduction to simplicial manifolds, and to Stevenson [29] for their appearance in the gerbe context.

Recall that a simplicial manifold M_{\bullet} is a sequence of manifolds $(M_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, together with face maps $\partial_i \colon M_n \to M_{n-1}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$ satisfying relations $\partial_i \circ \partial_j = \partial_{j-1} \circ \partial_i$ for i < j. (The standard definition also involves degeneracy maps but these need not concern us here.) The (fat) geometric realization of M_{\bullet} is the topological space $||M|| = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta^n \times M_n / \sim$, where Δ^n is the *n*-simplex and the relation is $(t, \partial_i(x)) \sim (\partial^i(t), x)$, for $\partial^i \colon \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ the inclusion as the *i*th face. A (smooth) simplicial map between simplicial manifolds $M_{\bullet}, M'_{\bullet}$ is a collection of smooth maps $f_n \colon M_n \to M'_n$ intertwining the face maps; such a map induces a map between the geometric realizations.

EXAMPLES 2.2.

(a) If S is any manifold, one can define a simplicial manifold $E_{\bullet}S$ where E_nS is the n + 1-fold cartesian product of S, and ∂_j omits the *j*th factor. It is known [23] that the geometric realization ||ES|| of this simplicial manifold is contractible. More generally, if $X \to M$ is a fiber bundle with fiber S,

one can define a simplicial manifold $E_n X := X^{[n+1]}$, with face maps as in Section 2.2. The geometric realization ||EX|| becomes a fiber bundle over M with contractible fiber ||ES||.

(b) [22, 27] For any Lie group G there is a simplicial manifold $B_n G = G^n$. The face maps ∂_i for 0 < i < n are

$$\partial_i(g_1,\ldots,g_n)=(g_1,\ldots,g_ig_{i+1},\ldots,g_n),$$

while ∂_0 omits the first component and ∂_n the last component. The map $\pi_n: E_n G \to B_n G$ given by $\pi_n(k_0, \ldots, k_n) = (k_0 k_1^{-1}, \ldots, k_{n-1} k_n^{-1})$ is simplicial, and the induced map on geometric realizations is a model for the classifying bundle $EG \to BG$.

(c) [27, 23] If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a, a \in A\}$ is an open cover of M, one defines a simplicial manifold

$$\mathcal{U}_n M := \coprod_{(a_0,\ldots,a_n)\in A_n} U_{a_0\ldots a_n}$$

where A_n is the set of all sequences (a_0, \ldots, a_n) such that $U_{a_0 \ldots a_n} := U_{a_0} \cap \ldots \cap U_{a_n}$ is non-empty. The face maps are induced by the inclusions,

$$\partial_i \colon U_{a_0 \dots a_n} \hookrightarrow U_{a_0 \dots \widehat{a_i} \dots a_n}$$
.

One may view this as a special case of (a), with $X = \coprod_{a \in A} U_a$. It is known [23, Theorem 7.3] that $||\mathcal{U}M||$ is homotopy equivalent to M.

(d) [2] The definitions of E_nG and B_nG extend to Lie groupoids G over a base S. If $s, t: G \to S$ are the source and target maps, one defines E_nG as the n+1-fold fiber product of G with respect to the target map t. The space B_nG for $n \ge 1$ is the set of all $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ with $s(g_j) = t(g_{j-1})$, while $B_0G = S$. The definition of the face maps $\partial_j \colon B_nG \to B_{n-1}G$ is as before for n > 1, while for n = 1, $\partial_0 = t$ and $\partial_1 = s$. We have a simplicial map $E_nG \to B_nG$ defined just as in the group case.

The bi-graded space of differential forms $\Omega^{\bullet}(M_{\bullet})$ carries two commuting differentials d, δ , where d is the de Rham differential and $\delta \colon \Omega^{k}(M_{n}) \to \Omega^{k}(M_{n+1})$ is an alternating sum, $\delta \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{i} \partial_{i}^{*} \alpha$. It is known [23, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5] that the total cohomology of this double complex is the (singular) cohomology of the geometric realization, with coefficients in **R**.

We will use the δ notation in many similar situations: For instance, given a Hermitian line bundle $L \to M_n$, we define a Hermitian line bundle $\delta L \to M_{n+1}$ as a tensor product,

$$\delta L = \partial_0^* L \otimes \partial_1^* L^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{n+1}^* L^{\pm}.$$

The line bundle $\delta(\delta L) \to M_{n+1}$ is canonically trivial, due to the relations between face maps. If σ is a unitary section (i.e. a trivialization) of L, one uses a similar formula to define a unitary section $\delta\sigma$ of δL . Then $\delta(\delta\sigma) = 1$ (the identity section of the trivial line bundle $\delta(\delta L)$). For any unitary connection ∇ of L, one defines a unitary connection $\delta\nabla$ of δL in the obvious way.

CONVENTION. For the rest of this paper, we take all line bundles L to be *Hermitian* line bundles, and all connections ∇ on L to be *unitary* connections.

Let M_{\bullet} be a simplicial manifold. One might define a simplicial line bundle as a collection of line bundles $L_n \to M_n$ such that the face maps $\partial_i \colon M_n \to M_{n-1}$ lift to line bundle homomorphisms $\hat{\partial}_i \colon L_n \to L_{n-1}$, satisfying the face map relations. Thus L_{\bullet} is itself a simplicial manifold, and its geometric realization ||L|| is a line bundle over ||M||. Equivalently, the lifts $\hat{\partial}_i$ may be viewed as isomorphisms, $\partial_i^* L_{n-1} \to L_n$. In particular, we may identify L_n with the pull-back of $L := L_0$ under the *n*th-fold iterate $\partial_0 \circ \cdots \circ \partial_0$.

The isomorphisms $\partial_1^* L \cong \partial_0^* L = L_1$ determine a unitary section t of $\delta L \to M_1$, and the compatibility of isomorphisms

$$(\partial_0 \partial_2)^* L \cong (\partial_0 \partial_1)^* L \cong (\partial_0 \partial_0)^* L = L_2$$

amount to the condition $\delta t = 1$. (Compatibility of the isomorphisms for L_n with $n \ge 3$ is then automatic.) That is, a simplicial line bundle over M_{\bullet} is given by a line bundle $L \to M_0$, together with a unitary section t of $\delta L \to M_1$, such that $\delta t = 1$ over M_2 . A unitary section s of L with $\delta s = t$ induces a unitary section of $||L|| \to ||M||$.

Taking L to be trivial, we see in particular that any U(1)-valued function t on M_1 , with $\delta t = 1$, defines a line bundle over the geometric realization. A trivialization of that line bundle is given by a U(1)-valued function on M_0 satisfying $\delta s = t$. Replacing U(1)-valued functions with line bundles, this motivates the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.3. A simplicial gerbe over M_{\bullet} is a pair (L, t), consisting of a line bundle $L \to M_1$, together with a section t of $\delta L \to M_2$ satisfying $\delta t = 1$. A pseudo-line bundle for (L, t) is a pair (E, s), consisting of a line bundle $E \to M_0$ and a section s of $\delta E^{-1} \otimes L$ such that $\delta s = t$. Remark 2.4.

(a) We are using the notion of a simplicial gerbe only as a 'working definition'. It is clear from the discussion above that a more general notion would involve a gerbe over M_0 .

(b) In [9], what we call simplicial gerbe is called a simplicial line bundle. The name pseudo-line bundle is adopted from [9], where it is used in a similar context.

A simplicial gerbe over $\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}M$ (for a cover \mathcal{U} of M) is a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe, while a simplicial gerbe over $E_{\bullet}X = X^{[\bullet+1]}$ (for a surjective submersion $X \to M$) is a bundle gerbe. It is shown in [24] that the characteristic class of a bundle gerbe (X, L, t) vanishes if and only if it admits a pseudo-line bundle.

EXAMPLE 2.5 (Central extensions). (See [9, p. 615].) Let K be a Lie group. A simplicial line bundle over $B_{\bullet}K$ is the same thing as a group homomorphism $K \to U(1)$: The line bundle $L \to B_0K$ is trivial since B_0K is just a point, hence the unitary section t of δL becomes a U(1)-valued function. The condition $\delta t = 1$ means that this function is a group homomorphism.

Similarly, a simplicial gerbe (Γ, τ) over $B_{\bullet}K$ is the same thing as a central extension

$$\mathrm{U}(1) \to \widehat{K} \to K$$
.

Indeed, given the line bundle $\Gamma \to K$ let \widehat{K} be the unit circle bundle inside Γ . The fiber of $\delta\Gamma \to K^2$ at (k_1, k_2) is a tensor product $\Gamma_{k_2}\Gamma_{k_1k_2}^{-1}\Gamma_{k_1}$, hence the section τ of $\delta\Gamma \to K^2$ defines a unitary isomorphism $\Gamma_{k_1}\Gamma_{k_2} \cong \Gamma_{k_1k_2}$, or equivalently a product on \widehat{K} covering the group multiplication on K. Finally, the condition $\delta\tau = 1$ is equivalent to associativity of this product.

A pseudo-line bundle (E, s) for the simplicial gerbe (Γ, τ) is the same thing as a splitting of the central extension: Obviously E is trivial since B_0K is just a point; the section s defines a trivialization $\hat{K} = K \times U(1)$, and $\delta s = t$ means that this is a group homomorphism.

DEFINITION 2.6. A connection on a simplicial gerbe (L, t) over M_{\bullet} is a line bundle connection ∇^L , together with a 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(M_0)$, such that $(\delta \nabla^L) t = 0$ and

$$\delta B = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^L).$$

Given a pseudo-line bundle $\mathcal{L} = (E, s)$, we say that ∇^{E} is a pseudo-line bundle connection if it has the property $((\delta \nabla^{E})^{-1} \nabla^{L})s = 0$.

Simplicial gerbes need not admit connections in general. A sufficient condition for the existence of a connection is that the δ -cohomology of the double complex $\Omega^k(M_n)$ vanishes in bidegrees (1,2) and (2,1). In particular, this holds true for bundle gerbes: Indeed it is shown in [24] that for any surjective submersion $\pi: X \to M$ the sequence

(2.1)
$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^k(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(X^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(X^{[3]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

is exact, so the δ -cohomology vanishes in *all* degrees.

Thus, every bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G} = (X, L, t)$ over a manifold M (and in particular every Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe) admits a connection. One defines the 3-curvature $\eta \in \Omega^3(M)$ of the bundle gerbe connection by $\pi^*\eta = dB \in \ker \delta$. It can be shown that its cohomology class is the image of the Dixmier-Douady class $[\mathcal{G}]$ under the map $H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$. Similarly, if \mathcal{G} admits a pseudo-line bundle $\mathcal{L} = (E, s)$, one can always choose a pseudo-line bundle connection ∇^E . The difference $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{curv}(\nabla^E) - B$ is δ -closed and one defines the *error 2-form* of this connection by

$$\pi^*\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\operatorname{curv}(\nabla^E) - B.$$

It is clear from the definition that $d\omega + \eta = 0$.

REMARK 2.7. There is a notion of holonomy around surfaces for gerbe connections (cf. Hitchin [18] and Murray [24]), and in fact gerbe connections can be defined in terms of their holonomy (see Mackaay-Picken [20]).

2.4 Equivariant bundle gerbes

Suppose G is a Lie group acting on X and on M, and that $\pi: X \to M$ is a G-equivariant surjective submersion. Then G acts on all fiber products $X^{[p]}$. We will say that a bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G} = (X, L, t)$ is G-equivariant, if L is a G-equivariant line bundle and t is a G-invariant section. An equivariant bundle gerbe defines a gerbe over the Borel construction¹) $X_G = EG \times_G X \to M_G = EG \times_G M$, hence has an equivariant Dixmier-Douady class in $H^3(M_G, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3_G(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, we say that a pseudo-line bundle (E, s) for (X, L, t) is equivariant, provided E carries a G-action and s is an invariant section.

¹) We have not discussed bundle gerbes over infinite-dimensional spaces such as M_G . Recall however [4] that the classifying bundle $EG \rightarrow BG$ may be approximated by finite-dimensional principal bundles, and that equivariant cohomology groups of a given degree may be computed using such finite dimensional approximations.