

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 49 (2003)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: THE BASIC GERBE OVER A COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUP
Autor: Meinrenken, Eckhard
Kapitel: 2.2 Bundle gerbes
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66691>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

cohomology class in $H^1(M, \underline{U(1)}) = H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$ defined by this cocycle is the Chern class of the line bundle. Chatterjee-Hitchin [10, 18, 17] suggested to realize classes in $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$ in a similar fashion, replacing $U(1)$ -valued functions with Hermitian line bundles. They define a gerbe to be a collection of Hermitian transition line bundles $L_{ab} \rightarrow U_a \cap U_b$ and a trivialization, i.e. unit length section, t_{abc} of the line bundle $(\delta L)_{abc} = L_{bc}L_{ac}^{-1}L_{ab}$ over triple intersections. These trivializations have to satisfy a compatibility relation over quadruple intersections,

$$(\delta t)_{abcd} \equiv t_{bcd}t_{acd}^{-1}t_{abd}t_{abc}^{-1} = 1,$$

which makes sense since $(\delta t)_{abcd}$ is a section of the *canonically* trivial bundle. (Each factor L_{ab} cancels with a factor L_{ab}^{-1} .) After passing to a refinement of the cover, such that all L_{ab} become trivializable, and picking trivializations, t_{abc} is simply a Čech cocycle of degree 2, hence defines a class in $H^2(M, \underline{U(1)}) = H^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$. The class is independent of the choices made in this construction, and is called the *Dixmier-Douady class* of the gerbe.

Note that in practice, it is often not desirable to pass to a refinement. For example, if M is a connected, oriented 3-manifold, the generator of $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$ can be described in terms of the cover U_1, U_2 , where U_1 is an open ball around a given point $p \in M$, and $U_2 = M \setminus \{p\}$, using the degree one line bundle over $U_1 \cap U_2 \cong S^2 \times (0, 1)$.

2.2 BUNDLE GERBES

Bundle gerbes were invented by Murray [24], generalizing the following construction of line bundles. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow M$ be a fiber bundle, or more generally a surjective submersion. (Different components of X may have different dimensions.) For each $k \geq 0$ let $X^{[k]}$ denote the k -fold fiber product of X with itself. There are $k + 1$ projections $\partial^i: X^{[k+1]} \rightarrow X^{[k]}$, omitting the i th factor in the fiber product. Suppose we are given a smooth function $\chi: X^{[2]} \rightarrow U(1)$, satisfying a cocycle condition $\delta\chi = 1$ where

$$\delta\chi := \partial_0^*\chi\partial_1^*\chi^{-1}\partial_2^*\chi: X^{[3]} \rightarrow U(1).$$

Then χ determines a Hermitian line bundle $L \rightarrow M$, with fibers at $m \in M$ the space of all linear maps $\phi: X_m = \pi^{-1}(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\phi(x) = \chi(x, x')\phi(x')$. Given local sections $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow X$ of X , the pull-backs of χ under the maps $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b): U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow X^{[2]}$ give transition functions χ_{ab} for the line bundle.

Again, replacing $U(1)$ -valued functions by line bundles in this construction, one obtains a model for gerbes: A bundle gerbe is given by a line bundle $L \rightarrow X^{[2]}$ and a trivializing section t of the line bundle $\delta L = \partial_0^*L \otimes \partial_1^*L^{-1} \otimes \partial_2^*L$

over $X^{[3]}$, satisfying a compatibility condition $\delta t = 1$ over $X^{[4]}$ (which makes sense since δt is a section of the canonically trivial bundle $\delta\delta L$). Given local sections $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow X$, one can pull these data back under the maps $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b): U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow X^{[2]}$ and $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c): U_a \cap U_b \cap U_c \rightarrow X^{[3]}$ to obtain a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe. The Dixmier-Douady class of (X, L, t) is by definition the Dixmier-Douady class of this Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe; again this is independent of all choices. The Dixmier-Douady class behaves naturally under tensor product, pull-back and duals.

Notice that Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes may be viewed as a special case of bundle gerbes, with X the disjoint union of the sets U_a in the given cover.

REMARK 2.1. In his original paper [24] Murray considered bundle gerbes only for fiber bundles, but this was found too restrictive. In [25], [29] the weaker condition (called ‘locally split’) is used that every point $x \in M$ admits an open neighborhood U and a map $\sigma: U \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}$. However, this condition seems insufficient in the smooth category, as the fiber product $X \times_M X$ need not be a manifold unless π is a submersion.

2.3 SIMPLICIAL GERBES

Murray’s construction fits naturally into a wider context of *simplicial gerbes*. We refer to Mostow-Perchik’s notes of lectures by R. Bott [23] and to Dupont’s paper [12] for a nice introduction to simplicial manifolds, and to Stevenson [29] for their appearance in the gerbe context.

Recall that a *simplicial manifold* M_\bullet is a sequence of manifolds $(M_n)_{n=0}^\infty$, together with *face maps* $\partial_i: M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ satisfying relations $\partial_i \circ \partial_j = \partial_{j-1} \circ \partial_i$ for $i < j$. (The standard definition also involves *degeneracy maps* but these need not concern us here.) The *(fat) geometric realization* of M_\bullet is the topological space $\|M\| = \coprod_{n=1}^\infty \Delta^n \times M_n / \sim$, where Δ^n is the n -simplex and the relation is $(t, \partial_i(x)) \sim (\partial^i(t), x)$, for $\partial^i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ the inclusion as the i th face. A (smooth) simplicial map between simplicial manifolds M_\bullet, M'_\bullet is a collection of smooth maps $f_n: M_n \rightarrow M'_n$ intertwining the face maps; such a map induces a map between the geometric realizations.

EXAMPLES 2.2.

(a) If S is any manifold, one can define a simplicial manifold $E_\bullet S$ where $E_n S$ is the $n + 1$ -fold cartesian product of S , and ∂_j omits the j th factor. It is known [23] that the geometric realization $\|ES\|$ of this simplicial manifold is contractible. More generally, if $X \rightarrow M$ is a fiber bundle with fiber S ,