

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 49 (2003)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE BASIC GERBE OVER A COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUP  
**Autor:** Meinrenken, Eckhard  
**Kapitel:** 2. Gerbes with connections  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-66691>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 12.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

The  $L_{ij}$ , together with these isomorphisms, define a gerbe over  $SU(d+1)$ , representing the generator of  $H^3(SU(d+1), \mathbf{Z})$ .

More generally, consider any compact, simply connected, simple Lie group  $G$  of rank  $d$ . Up to conjugacy,  $G$  contains exactly  $d+1$  elements with semi-simple centralizer. (For  $G = SU(d+1)$ , these are the central elements.) Let  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{d+1} \subset G$  be their conjugacy classes. We will define an invariant open cover  $V_1, \dots, V_{d+1}$  of  $G$ , with the property that each member of this cover admits an equivariant retraction onto the conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}_j \subset V_j$ . It turns out that every semi-simple centralizer has a distinguished central extension by  $U(1)$ . This central extension defines an equivariant bundle gerbe on  $\mathcal{C}_j$ , hence (by pull-back) an equivariant bundle gerbe over  $V_j$ . We will find that these gerbes over  $V_j$  glue together to produce a gerbe over  $G$ , using a gluing rule developed in this paper.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the theory of gerbes and pseudo-line bundles with connections, and discuss 'strong equivariance' under a group action. Section 4 describes gluing rules for bundle gerbes. Section 3 summarizes some facts about gerbes coming from central extensions. In Section 5 we give the construction of the basic gerbe over  $G$  outlined above, and in Section 6 we study the 'pre-quantization of conjugacy classes'.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** I would like to thank Ping Xu for fruitful discussions at the Poisson 2002 meeting in Lisbon, and for a preliminary version of his preprint [2] with Behrend and Zhang, giving yet another construction of the basic gerbe over  $G$ . Their (infinite-dimensional) approach is based on the notion of Morita equivalence of (quasi-)symplectic groupoids. I thank the referees for detailed comments and suggestions.

## 2. GERBES WITH CONNECTIONS

In this section we review gerbes on manifolds, along the lines of Chatterjee-Hitchin and Murray.

### 2.1 CHATTERJEE-HITCHIN GERBES

Let  $M$  be a manifold. Any Hermitian line bundle over  $M$  can be described by an open cover  $U_a$ , and transition functions  $\chi_{ab}: U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow U(1)$  satisfying a cocycle condition  $(\delta\chi)_{abc} = \chi_{bc}\chi_{ac}^{-1}\chi_{ab} = 1$  on triple intersections. The

cohomology class in  $H^1(M, \underline{\mathrm{U}(1)}) = H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$  defined by this cocycle is the Chern class of the line bundle. Chatterjee-Hitchin [10, 18, 17] suggested to realize classes in  $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$  in a similar fashion, replacing  $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -valued functions with Hermitian line bundles. They define a gerbe to be a collection of Hermitian transition line bundles  $L_{ab} \rightarrow U_a \cap U_b$  and a trivialization, i.e. unit length section,  $t_{abc}$  of the line bundle  $(\delta L)_{abc} = L_{bc}L_{ac}^{-1}L_{ab}$  over triple intersections. These trivializations have to satisfy a compatibility relation over quadruple intersections,

$$(\delta t)_{abcd} \equiv t_{bcd}t_{acd}^{-1}t_{abd}t_{abc}^{-1} = 1,$$

which makes sense since  $(\delta t)_{abcd}$  is a section of the *canonically* trivial bundle. (Each factor  $L_{ab}$  cancels with a factor  $L_{ab}^{-1}$ .) After passing to a refinement of the cover, such that all  $L_{ab}$  become trivializable, and picking trivializations,  $t_{abc}$  is simply a Čech cocycle of degree 2, hence defines a class in  $H^2(M, \underline{\mathrm{U}(1)}) = H^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$ . The class is independent of the choices made in this construction, and is called the *Dixmier-Douady class* of the gerbe.

Note that in practice, it is often not desirable to pass to a refinement. For example, if  $M$  is a connected, oriented 3-manifold, the generator of  $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$  can be described in terms of the cover  $U_1, U_2$ , where  $U_1$  is an open ball around a given point  $p \in M$ , and  $U_2 = M \setminus \{p\}$ , using the degree one line bundle over  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cong S^2 \times (0, 1)$ .

## 2.2 BUNDLE GERBES

Bundle gerbes were invented by Murray [24], generalizing the following construction of line bundles. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow M$  be a fiber bundle, or more generally a surjective submersion. (Different components of  $X$  may have different dimensions.) For each  $k \geq 0$  let  $X^{[k]}$  denote the  $k$ -fold fiber product of  $X$  with itself. There are  $k+1$  projections  $\partial^i: X^{[k+1]} \rightarrow X^{[k]}$ , omitting the  $i$ th factor in the fiber product. Suppose we are given a smooth function  $\chi: X^{[2]} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ , satisfying a cocycle condition  $\delta\chi = 1$  where

$$\delta\chi := \partial_0^* \chi \partial_1^* \chi^{-1} \partial_2^* \chi: X^{[3]} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1).$$

Then  $\chi$  determines a Hermitian line bundle  $L \rightarrow M$ , with fibers at  $m \in M$  the space of all linear maps  $\phi: X_m = \pi^{-1}(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\phi(x) = \chi(x, x')\phi(x')$ . Given local sections  $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow X$  of  $X$ , the pull-backs of  $\chi$  under the maps  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b): U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow X^{[2]}$  give transition functions  $\chi_{ab}$  for the line bundle.

Again, replacing  $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -valued functions by line bundles in this construction, one obtains a model for gerbes: A bundle gerbe is given by a line bundle  $L \rightarrow X^{[2]}$  and a trivializing section  $t$  of the line bundle  $\delta L = \partial_0^* L \otimes \partial_1^* L^{-1} \otimes \partial_2^* L$

over  $X^{[3]}$ , satisfying a compatibility condition  $\delta t = 1$  over  $X^{[4]}$  (which makes sense since  $\delta t$  is a section of the canonically trivial bundle  $\delta\delta L$ ). Given local sections  $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow X$ , one can pull these data back under the maps  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b): U_a \cap U_b \rightarrow X^{[2]}$  and  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c): U_a \cap U_b \cap U_c \rightarrow X^{[3]}$  to obtain a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe. The Dixmier-Douady class of  $(X, L, t)$  is by definition the Dixmier-Douady class of this Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe; again this is independent of all choices. The Dixmier-Douady class behaves naturally under tensor product, pull-back and duals.

Notice that Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes may be viewed as a special case of bundle gerbes, with  $X$  the disjoint union of the sets  $U_a$  in the given cover.

**REMARK 2.1.** In his original paper [24] Murray considered bundle gerbes only for fiber bundles, but this was found too restrictive. In [25], [29] the weaker condition (called ‘locally split’) is used that every point  $x \in M$  admits an open neighborhood  $U$  and a map  $\sigma: U \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}$ . However, this condition seems insufficient in the smooth category, as the fiber product  $X \times_M X$  need not be a manifold unless  $\pi$  is a submersion.

### 2.3 SIMPLICIAL GERBES

Murray’s construction fits naturally into a wider context of *simplicial gerbes*. We refer to Mostow-Perchik’s notes of lectures by R. Bott [23] and to Dupont’s paper [12] for a nice introduction to simplicial manifolds, and to Stevenson [29] for their appearance in the gerbe context.

Recall that a *simplicial manifold*  $M_\bullet$  is a sequence of manifolds  $(M_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ , together with *face maps*  $\partial_i: M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$  satisfying relations  $\partial_i \circ \partial_j = \partial_{j-1} \circ \partial_i$  for  $i < j$ . (The standard definition also involves *degeneracy maps* but these need not concern us here.) The *(fat) geometric realization* of  $M_\bullet$  is the topological space  $\|M\| = \coprod_{n=1}^\infty \Delta^n \times M_n / \sim$ , where  $\Delta^n$  is the  $n$ -simplex and the relation is  $(t, \partial_i(x)) \sim (\partial^i(t), x)$ , for  $\partial^i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$  the inclusion as the  $i$ th face. A (smooth) simplicial map between simplicial manifolds  $M_\bullet, M'_\bullet$  is a collection of smooth maps  $f_n: M_n \rightarrow M'_n$  intertwining the face maps; such a map induces a map between the geometric realizations.

### EXAMPLES 2.2.

(a) If  $S$  is any manifold, one can define a simplicial manifold  $E_\bullet S$  where  $E_n S$  is the  $n+1$ -fold cartesian product of  $S$ , and  $\partial_j$  omits the  $j$ th factor. It is known [23] that the geometric realization  $\|ES\|$  of this simplicial manifold is contractible. More generally, if  $X \rightarrow M$  is a fiber bundle with fiber  $S$ ,

one can define a simplicial manifold  $E_n X := X^{[n+1]}$ , with face maps as in Section 2.2. The geometric realization  $\|EX\|$  becomes a fiber bundle over  $M$  with contractible fiber  $\|ES\|$ .

(b) [22, 27] For any Lie group  $G$  there is a simplicial manifold  $B_n G = G^n$ . The face maps  $\partial_i$  for  $0 < i < n$  are

$$\partial_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n),$$

while  $\partial_0$  omits the first component and  $\partial_n$  the last component. The map  $\pi_n: E_n G \rightarrow B_n G$  given by  $\pi_n(k_0, \dots, k_n) = (k_0 k_1^{-1}, \dots, k_{n-1} k_n^{-1})$  is simplicial, and the induced map on geometric realizations is a model for the classifying bundle  $EG \rightarrow BG$ .

(c) [27, 23] If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a, a \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $M$ , one defines a simplicial manifold

$$\mathcal{U}_n M := \coprod_{(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in A_n} U_{a_0 \dots a_n}$$

where  $A_n$  is the set of all sequences  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $U_{a_0 \dots a_n} := U_{a_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n}$  is non-empty. The face maps are induced by the inclusions,

$$\partial_i: U_{a_0 \dots a_n} \hookrightarrow U_{a_0 \dots \widehat{a_i} \dots a_n}.$$

One may view this as a special case of (a), with  $X = \coprod_{a \in A} U_a$ . It is known [23, Theorem 7.3] that  $\|\mathcal{U} M\|$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M$ .

(d) [2] The definitions of  $E_n G$  and  $B_n G$  extend to Lie groupoids  $G$  over a base  $S$ . If  $s, t: G \rightarrow S$  are the source and target maps, one defines  $E_n G$  as the  $n+1$ -fold fiber product of  $G$  with respect to the target map  $t$ . The space  $B_n G$  for  $n \geq 1$  is the set of all  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$  with  $s(g_j) = t(g_{j-1})$ , while  $B_0 G = S$ . The definition of the face maps  $\partial_j: B_n G \rightarrow B_{n-1} G$  is as before for  $n > 1$ , while for  $n = 1$ ,  $\partial_0 = t$  and  $\partial_1 = s$ . We have a simplicial map  $E_n G \rightarrow B_n G$  defined just as in the group case.

The bi-graded space of differential forms  $\Omega^\bullet(M_\bullet)$  carries two commuting differentials  $d, \delta$ , where  $d$  is the de Rham differential and  $\delta: \Omega^k(M_n) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M_{n+1})$  is an alternating sum,  $\delta\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \partial_i^* \alpha$ . It is known [23, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5] that the total cohomology of this double complex is the (singular) cohomology of the geometric realization, with coefficients in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

We will use the  $\delta$  notation in many similar situations: For instance, given a Hermitian line bundle  $L \rightarrow M_n$ , we define a Hermitian line bundle  $\delta L \rightarrow M_{n+1}$  as a tensor product,

$$\delta L = \partial_0^* L \otimes \partial_1^* L^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{n+1}^* L^\pm.$$

The line bundle  $\delta(\delta L) \rightarrow M_{n+1}$  is canonically trivial, due to the relations between face maps. If  $\sigma$  is a unitary section (i.e. a trivialization) of  $L$ , one uses a similar formula to define a unitary section  $\delta\sigma$  of  $\delta L$ . Then  $\delta(\delta\sigma) = 1$  (the identity section of the trivial line bundle  $\delta(\delta L)$ ). For any unitary connection  $\nabla$  of  $L$ , one defines a unitary connection  $\delta\nabla$  of  $\delta L$  in the obvious way.

**CONVENTION.** For the rest of this paper, we take all line bundles  $L$  to be *Hermitian* line bundles, and all connections  $\nabla$  on  $L$  to be *unitary* connections.

Let  $M_\bullet$  be a simplicial manifold. One might define a simplicial line bundle as a collection of line bundles  $L_n \rightarrow M_n$  such that the face maps  $\partial_i: M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$  lift to line bundle homomorphisms  $\hat{\partial}_i: L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1}$ , satisfying the face map relations. Thus  $L_\bullet$  is itself a simplicial manifold, and its geometric realization  $\|L\|$  is a line bundle over  $\|M\|$ . Equivalently, the lifts  $\hat{\partial}_i$  may be viewed as isomorphisms,  $\partial_i^* L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_n$ . In particular, we may identify  $L_n$  with the pull-back of  $L := L_0$  under the  $n$ th-fold iterate  $\partial_0 \circ \cdots \circ \partial_0$ .

The isomorphisms  $\partial_1^* L \cong \partial_0^* L = L_1$  determine a unitary section  $t$  of  $\delta L \rightarrow M_1$ , and the compatibility of isomorphisms

$$(\partial_0 \partial_2)^* L \cong (\partial_0 \partial_1)^* L \cong (\partial_0 \partial_0)^* L = L_2$$

amount to the condition  $\delta t = 1$ . (Compatibility of the isomorphisms for  $L_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  is then automatic.) That is, a *simplicial line bundle over  $M_\bullet$*  is given by a line bundle  $L \rightarrow M_0$ , together with a unitary section  $t$  of  $\delta L \rightarrow M_1$ , such that  $\delta t = 1$  over  $M_2$ . A unitary section  $s$  of  $L$  with  $\delta s = t$  induces a unitary section of  $\|L\| \rightarrow \|M\|$ .

Taking  $L$  to be trivial, we see in particular that any  $U(1)$ -valued function  $t$  on  $M_1$ , with  $\delta t = 1$ , defines a line bundle over the geometric realization. A trivialization of that line bundle is given by a  $U(1)$ -valued function on  $M_0$  satisfying  $\delta s = t$ . Replacing  $U(1)$ -valued functions with line bundles, this motivates the following definition.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** A *simplicial gerbe* over  $M_\bullet$  is a pair  $(L, t)$ , consisting of a line bundle  $L \rightarrow M_1$ , together with a section  $t$  of  $\delta L \rightarrow M_2$  satisfying  $\delta t = 1$ . A *pseudo-line bundle* for  $(L, t)$  is a pair  $(E, s)$ , consisting of a line bundle  $E \rightarrow M_0$  and a section  $s$  of  $\delta E^{-1} \otimes L$  such that  $\delta s = t$ .

## REMARK 2.4.

(a) We are using the notion of a simplicial gerbe only as a ‘working definition’. It is clear from the discussion above that a more general notion would involve a gerbe over  $M_0$ .

(b) In [9], what we call simplicial gerbe is called a simplicial line bundle. The name pseudo-line bundle is adopted from [9], where it is used in a similar context.

A simplicial gerbe over  $\mathcal{U} \cdot M$  (for a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$ ) is a Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe, while a simplicial gerbe over  $E \cdot X = X^{[\bullet+1]}$  (for a surjective submersion  $X \rightarrow M$ ) is a bundle gerbe. It is shown in [24] that the characteristic class of a bundle gerbe  $(X, L, t)$  vanishes if and only if it admits a pseudo-line bundle.

EXAMPLE 2.5 (Central extensions). (See [9, p. 615].) Let  $K$  be a Lie group. A simplicial line bundle over  $B \cdot K$  is the same thing as a group homomorphism  $K \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ : The line bundle  $L \rightarrow B_0 K$  is trivial since  $B_0 K$  is just a point, hence the unitary section  $t$  of  $\delta L$  becomes a  $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -valued function. The condition  $\delta t = 1$  means that this function is a group homomorphism.

Similarly, a simplicial gerbe  $(\Gamma, \tau)$  over  $B \cdot K$  is the same thing as a central extension

$$\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \widehat{K} \rightarrow K.$$

Indeed, given the line bundle  $\Gamma \rightarrow K$  let  $\widehat{K}$  be the unit circle bundle inside  $\Gamma$ . The fiber of  $\delta\Gamma \rightarrow K^2$  at  $(k_1, k_2)$  is a tensor product  $\Gamma_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{-1} \Gamma_{k_1}$ , hence the section  $\tau$  of  $\delta\Gamma \rightarrow K^2$  defines a unitary isomorphism  $\Gamma_{k_1} \Gamma_{k_2} \cong \Gamma_{k_1 k_2}$ , or equivalently a product on  $\widehat{K}$  covering the group multiplication on  $K$ . Finally, the condition  $\delta\tau = 1$  is equivalent to associativity of this product.

A pseudo-line bundle  $(E, s)$  for the simplicial gerbe  $(\Gamma, \tau)$  is the same thing as a splitting of the central extension: Obviously  $E$  is trivial since  $B_0 K$  is just a point; the section  $s$  defines a trivialization  $\widehat{K} = K \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ , and  $\delta s = t$  means that this is a group homomorphism.

DEFINITION 2.6. A connection on a simplicial gerbe  $(L, t)$  over  $M_\bullet$  is a line bundle connection  $\nabla^L$ , together with a 2-form  $B \in \Omega^2(M_0)$ , such that  $(\delta \nabla^L) t = 0$  and

$$\delta B = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathrm{curv}(\nabla^L).$$

Given a pseudo-line bundle  $\mathcal{L} = (E, s)$ , we say that  $\nabla^E$  is a pseudo-line bundle connection if it has the property  $((\delta \nabla^E)^{-1} \nabla^L) s = 0$ .

Simplicial gerbes need not admit connections in general. A sufficient condition for the existence of a connection is that the  $\delta$ -cohomology of the double complex  $\Omega^k(M_n)$  vanishes in bidegrees  $(1, 2)$  and  $(2, 1)$ . In particular, this holds true for bundle gerbes: Indeed it is shown in [24] that for any surjective submersion  $\pi: X \rightarrow M$  the sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^k(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(X^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(X^{[3]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

is exact, so the  $\delta$ -cohomology vanishes in *all* degrees.

Thus, every bundle gerbe  $\mathcal{G} = (X, L, t)$  over a manifold  $M$  (and in particular every Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbe) admits a connection. One defines the *3-curvature*  $\eta \in \Omega^3(M)$  of the bundle gerbe connection by  $\pi^* \eta = dB \in \ker \delta$ . It can be shown that its cohomology class is the image of the Dixmier-Douady class  $[\mathcal{G}]$  under the map  $H^3(M, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(M, \mathbf{R})$ . Similarly, if  $\mathcal{G}$  admits a pseudo-line bundle  $\mathcal{L} = (E, s)$ , one can always choose a pseudo-line bundle connection  $\nabla^E$ . The difference  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{curv}(\nabla^E) - B$  is  $\delta$ -closed and one defines the *error 2-form* of this connection by

$$\pi^* \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{curv}(\nabla^E) - B.$$

It is clear from the definition that  $d\omega + \eta = 0$ .

**REMARK 2.7.** There is a notion of holonomy around surfaces for gerbe connections (cf. Hitchin [18] and Murray [24]), and in fact gerbe connections can be defined in terms of their holonomy (see Mackaay-Picken [20]).

## 2.4 EQUIVARIANT BUNDLE GERBES

Suppose  $G$  is a Lie group acting on  $X$  and on  $M$ , and that  $\pi: X \rightarrow M$  is a  $G$ -equivariant surjective submersion. Then  $G$  acts on all fiber products  $X^{[p]}$ . We will say that a bundle gerbe  $\mathcal{G} = (X, L, t)$  is  *$G$ -equivariant*, if  $L$  is a  $G$ -equivariant line bundle and  $t$  is a  $G$ -invariant section. An equivariant bundle gerbe defines a gerbe over the Borel construction<sup>1)</sup>  $X_G = EG \times_G X \rightarrow M_G = EG \times_G M$ , hence has an *equivariant* Dixmier-Douady class in  $H^3(M_G, \mathbf{Z}) = H_G^3(M, \mathbf{Z})$ . Similarly, we say that a pseudo-line bundle  $(E, s)$  for  $(X, L, t)$  is equivariant, provided  $E$  carries a  $G$ -action and  $s$  is an invariant section.

<sup>1)</sup> We have not discussed bundle gerbes over infinite-dimensional spaces such as  $M_G$ . Recall however [4] that the classifying bundle  $EG \rightarrow BG$  may be approximated by finite-dimensional principal bundles, and that equivariant cohomology groups of a given degree may be computed using such finite dimensional approximations.

REMARK 2.8. As pointed out in Mathai-Stevenson [21], this notion of equivariant bundle gerbe is sometimes 'really too strong': For instance, if  $X = \bigsqcup U_a$ , for an open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a, a \in A\}$ , a  $G$ -action on  $X$  would amount to the cover being  $G$ -invariant. Brylinski [9] on the other hand gives a definition of equivariant Chatterjee-Hitchin gerbes that does not require invariance of the cover.

To define equivariant connections and curvature, we will need some notions from equivariant de Rham theory [15]. Recall that for a compact group  $G$ , the equivariant cohomology  $H_G^\bullet(M, \mathbf{R})$  may be computed from Cartan's complex of equivariant differential forms  $\Omega_G^\bullet(M)$ , consisting of  $G$ -equivariant polynomial maps  $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Omega(M)$ . The grading is the sum of the differential form degree and twice the polynomial degree, and the differential reads

$$(d_G \alpha)(\xi) = d \alpha(\xi) - \iota(\xi_M) \alpha(\xi),$$

where  $\xi_M = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(-t\xi)$  is the generating vector field corresponding to  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Given a  $G$ -equivariant connection  $\nabla^L$  on an equivariant line bundle, one defines [3, Chapter 7] a  $d_G$ -closed equivariant curvature  $\text{curv}_G(\nabla^L) \in \Omega_G^2(M)$ .

A equivariant connection on a  $G$ -equivariant bundle gerbe  $(X, L, t)$  over  $M$  is a pair  $(\nabla^L, B_G)$ , where  $\nabla^L$  is an invariant connection and  $B_G \in \Omega_G^2(X)$  an equivariant 2-form, such that  $\delta \nabla^L t = 0$  and  $\delta B_G = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{curv}_G(\nabla^L)$ . Its equivariant 3-curvature  $\eta_G \in \Omega_G^3(M)$  is defined by  $\pi^* \eta_G = d_G B_G$ . Given an *invariant* pseudo-line bundle connection  $\nabla^E$  on a equivariant pseudo-line bundle  $(E, s)$ , one defines the equivariant error 2-form  $\omega_G$  by

$$\pi^* \omega_G = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{curv}_G(\nabla^E) - B_G.$$

Clearly,  $d_G \omega_G + \eta_G = 0$ .

### 3. GERBES FROM PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

The following well-known example [7], [24] of a gerbe will be important for our construction of the basic gerbe over  $G$ . Suppose  $\text{U}(1) \rightarrow \widehat{K} \rightarrow K$  is a central extension, and  $(\Gamma, \tau)$  the corresponding simplicial gerbe over  $BK$ . Given a principal  $K$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow B$ , one constructs a bundle gerbe  $(P, L, t)$ , sometimes called the lifting bundle gerbe. Observe that

$$E_n P = P \times_K E_n K,$$