

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 47 (2001)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE POSITIVE CONE OF SPHERES AND SOME PRODUCTS OF SPHERES  
**Autor:** MATTHEY, Michel / SUTER, Ulrich  
**Kapitel:** 2. PRELIMINAIRES  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-65432>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

that for spaces “with only one high-dimensional cell” the  $\gamma$ -cone is “blind” in some sense to be made precise there.

Section 11 is devoted to explicitly computing the  $\gamma$ -operations for the products  $S^{2n} \times S^{2m}$ . As a consequence of these calculations, we establish a “doubling-formula” for Stirling numbers of the second kind. Moreover, we are led to conjecture that the same formula holds for Stirling numbers of the first kind. (This has now been proved by Al Lundell; see Theorem 11.2.)

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We start by reviewing some topological  $K$ -theory. Our basic references are the books by Atiyah [Atiyah] and by Husemoller [Huse].

Let  $X$  be a *connected finite* CW-complex. (We assume all spaces and maps to be pointed.) For each  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\text{Vect}_n(X)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of complex  $n$ -plane vector bundles over  $X$ , and  $\text{Vect}(X)$  their disjoint union. There are well-known bijections

$$\text{Vect}_n(X) \approx [X, BU(n)] \quad (n \geq 0)$$

where  $BU(n)$  is the classifying space of the unitary group  $U(n)$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  stands for the set of homotopy classes of maps. For an  $n$ -plane vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $X$ , i.e.  $\xi \in \text{Vect}_n(X)$ , we write  $\text{rk}(\xi) = n$  (it is the *rank* of  $\xi$ ). The direct sum (also called Whitney sum) and the tensor product of vector bundles endow  $\text{Vect}(X)$  with a semiring structure. The  $K$ -theory of  $X$  is the ring  $K(X)$ , also denoted by  $K^0(X)$ , obtained by applying the Grothendieck construction to  $\text{Vect}(X)$ , i.e.  $K(X) = \mathcal{G}(\text{Vect}(X))$ . An element of  $K(X)$  is sometimes called a *virtual vector bundle*. There is a ring isomorphism

$$K(X) \cong [X, \mathbf{Z} \times BU],$$

where  $BU$  is the infinite Grassmannian, i.e. the direct limit of the classifying spaces  $BU(n)$ . We identify both rings from now on. There is a canonical splitting  $K(X) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus [X, BU] = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}(X)$ , where  $\tilde{K}(X) = \tilde{K}^0(X)$  is the subring of *stable classes* of vector bundles, and  $n \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is represented by the  $n$ -dimensional trivial vector bundle over  $X$ . Clearly, the Grothendieck construction gives rise to maps  $\theta: \text{Vect}(X) \longrightarrow K(X)$  and  $\theta_n: \text{Vect}_n(X) \longrightarrow n \times \tilde{K}(X)$  (by restriction of  $\theta$ ).

## DEFINITION 2.1.

i) The *positive cone* of  $X$ , denoted by  $K_+(X)$ , is the image of  $\theta$ . An element  $\xi \in K(X)$  is called *positive* if it lies in the positive cone.

ii) The *geometric dimension* of  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , denoted by  $\text{g-dim}(x)$ , is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $(n, x)$  lies in the image of  $\theta_n$ , i.e. the least integer  $n$  such that the stable class  $x$  is represented by an  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle.

Since  $\theta$  is a semiring homomorphism, it is clear that  $K_+(X)$  is a sub-semiring of  $K(X)$ . Notice that it is equivalent to determine the positive cone or the map  $\text{g-dim}: \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ ; in fact, we have

$$K_+(X) = \{(n, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}(X) \mid n \geq \text{g-dim}(x)\}.$$

Let us also notice that an element  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , considered as a homotopy class of maps  $X \rightarrow BU$ , has geometric dimension  $\leq n$  if and only if  $x$  has a lifting  $x_n: X \rightarrow BU(n)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & BU(n) \\ & \nearrow x_n & \downarrow i_n \\ X & \xrightarrow{x} & BU \end{array} \quad i_n \circ x_n = x$$

(Here, we identify a map with the homotopy class it represents.) Recall that  $i_n$  is a fibration with fibre  $U/U(n)$ , where  $U = \varinjlim U(n)$  is the infinite unitary group (and  $BU$  is really its classifying space). The image of  $\theta_n$  is equal to the image of the composition

$$[X, BU(n)] \xrightarrow{(i_n)_*} [X, BU] \hookrightarrow n \times [X, BU], \quad y \longmapsto (n, (i_n)_*(y)).$$

We write  $K^*(X) = K^0(X) \oplus K^1(X)$ , where the  $K^1$ -group is defined by

$$K^1(X) := [X, U].$$

For a pair of connected finite CW-complexes  $(X, Y)$ , there is the famous six-term exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{K}^0(X/Y) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & \tilde{K}^0(X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \tilde{K}^0(Y) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K^1(Y) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & K^1(X) & \xleftarrow{q^*} & K^1(X/Y), \end{array}$$

where  $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion and  $q: X \twoheadrightarrow X/Y$  is the quotient map.

The  $n$ -th exterior power operation for complex vector spaces induces an operation on vector bundles denoted by  $\xi \mapsto \lambda^n \xi$ , and endows  $K(X)$  with a natural  $\lambda$ -ring structure. For  $\xi \in K(X)$ , one defines

$$\lambda_t(\xi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (\lambda^n \xi) \cdot t^n \in K(X)[[t]]$$

(the latter being the ring of formal power series with coefficients in  $K(X)$ ). The function  $\lambda_t$  is exponential, i.e.  $\lambda_t(\xi + \eta) = \lambda_t(\xi) \cdot \lambda_t(\eta)$ . Associated to the  $\lambda$ -operations are the  $\gamma$ -operations or Grothendieck operations  $\gamma^n(\xi)$ , which are defined by their generating series as follows:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma^n(\xi) \cdot t^n = \gamma_t(\xi) := \lambda_{t/1-t}(\xi).$$

In particular,  $\gamma^0(\xi) = 1$  and  $\gamma^1(\xi) = \xi$ . Again, the function  $\gamma_t$  is exponential, which implies that

$$\gamma^n(\xi + \eta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma^k(\xi) \cdot \gamma^{n-k}(\eta).$$

The importance of the  $\gamma$ -operations in our context is illustrated by the following fact (see [Atiyah], Proposition 3.1.1):

Let  $x \in \widetilde{K}(X)$ ; if  $\text{g-dim}(x) \leq n$ , then  $\gamma^k(x) = 0$  for  $k > n$ .

(Assume that  $(n, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \widetilde{K}(X)$  is represented by an  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\xi$ . Then  $\lambda_s(\xi)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $s$ . By the exponential property,  $\lambda_s(\xi) = \lambda_s(1)^n \cdot \lambda_s(x) = (1 + s)^n \cdot \lambda_s(x)$ . Letting  $s = t/(1 - t)$ , we see that  $\gamma_t(x) = \lambda_{t/1-t}(x) = (1 - t)^n \lambda_{t/1-t}(\xi)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  in  $t$ .)

The representable  $K$ -theory of  $BU(n)$ , i.e.  $[BU(n), \mathbf{Z} \times BU]$ , is

$$K(BU(n)) = \mathbf{Z}[[\widetilde{\gamma}^1, \dots, \widetilde{\gamma}^n]],$$

where  $\widetilde{\gamma}^k = \gamma^k(\widetilde{\rho}_n)$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\widetilde{\rho}_n$  being the stable class of the universal  $n$ -plane bundle  $\rho_n$  over  $BU(n)$ . Note that  $\gamma^k(\widetilde{\rho}_n) = 0$ , for all  $k > n$ , and that the map  $j^*$ , induced by  $j: BU(n) \rightarrow BU(n + l)$  in  $K$ -theory, takes  $\widetilde{\rho}_{n+l}$  to  $\widetilde{\rho}_n$ , for any  $l \geq 0$ .

For a complex vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $X$ , the  $n$ -th Chern class  $c_n(\xi)$  is a  $2n$ -dimensional integral cohomology class of  $X$ , i.e.  $c_n(\xi) \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbf{Z})$ . One has  $c_0(\xi) = 1$ , and the element  $c(\xi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(\xi) \in H^*(X; \mathbf{Z})$ , called the total Chern class, is exponential, i.e. it satisfies

$$c(\xi + \eta) = c(\xi) \cdot c(\eta).$$

The basic properties of Chern classes (see [Huse]) imply the following facts:

- i) Two stably equivalent bundles over  $X$  have the same Chern classes. In particular, for an element  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , the  $n$ -th Chern class  $c_n(x) \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbf{Z})$  is well-defined.
- ii) If  $n > \text{rk}(\xi)$ , then  $c_n(\xi) = 0$ .
- iii) Let  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ ; if  $\text{g-dim}(x) \leq n$ , then  $c_k(x) = 0$  for  $k > n$ .

Let us also formally define the polynomial

$$c_\xi(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(\xi) \cdot t^n \in H^*(X; \mathbf{Z})[t],$$

which, by ii) above, is indeed a polynomial. It is also exponential.

A central feature of Chern classes is that the cohomology ring of  $BU(n)$  is given by

$$H^*(BU(n); \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n],$$

where  $\tilde{c}_k = c_k(\tilde{\rho}_n)$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Moreover,  $c_k(\tilde{\rho}_n) = 0$ , for any  $k > n$ . On the combinatorial point of view, for any  $n \geq 0$ , the Grassmannians  $BU(n)$  and  $BU$  admit CW-decompositions with the same  $(2n+1)$ -skeleton, in other words, such that  $BU(n)^{[2n+1]} = BU^{[2n+1]}$ . (This can be proved by adapting Section 6 of [MilSt] to the complex case.)

The Chern character  $ch$  is a multiplicative natural transformation from  $K$ -theory to rational cohomology

$$ch: K(X) \longrightarrow H^{ev}(X; \mathbf{Q}) = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^{2q}(X; \mathbf{Q}), \quad \xi \longmapsto ch(\xi) = \sum_{q \geq 0} ch_{2q}(\xi),$$

where  $ch_{2q}(\xi) \in H^{2q}(X; \mathbf{Q})$  ( $X$  being a connected *finite* CW-complex). It relates  $\gamma$ -operations and Chern classes as given in the following well-known proposition. Before stating it, we introduce some notation. For  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , we let  $\bar{c}_j(x)$  be the image of  $c_j(x)$  under the coefficient homomorphism  $H^{2j}(X; \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{2j}(X; \mathbf{Q})$ , and let  $I_x(\bar{c}_k, \dots, \bar{c}_n)$  be the ideal in  $H^{ev}(X; \mathbf{Q})$  generated by  $\bar{c}_k(x), \dots, \bar{c}_n(x)$ , where  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$  and  $n \geq k$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 2n$ ,  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , and  $k \leq n$ . One then has*

$$ch(\gamma^k(x)) = \bar{c}_k(x) + P_{k+1}(\bar{c}_1(x), \dots, \bar{c}_n(x)),$$

where  $P_{k+1}$  is a polynomial in  $\bar{c}_1(x), \dots, \bar{c}_n(x)$  contained in the ideal

$$I_x(\bar{c}_k, \dots, \bar{c}_n) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{q \geq k+1} H^{2q}(X; \mathbf{Q}) \right).$$

In particular,

$$ch(\gamma^n(x)) = \bar{c}_n(x) \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbf{Q}).$$

*Proof.* For a line bundle  $\eta = 1 + y$ , one has  $ch(\gamma_t(y)) = 1 + (e^{c_1(y)} - 1) \cdot t$  in  $H^{ev}(X; \mathbf{Q})[[t]]$ , therefore, the result follows readily for the Whitney sum of  $n$  universal line bundles over  $\mathbf{C}P^\infty \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}P^\infty$  ( $n$  factors) and hence for any element  $x$  represented by a Whitney sum of line bundles. The general case is obtained by invoking the splitting principle.  $\square$

(Proposition 2.2 shows that  $\gamma^k(x)$  is of filtration  $\geq k$ , in the terminology of Atiyah and Hirzebruch [AtHi].)

Recall that a finite CW-complex is called *torsion-free* if its integral homology (or equivalently integral cohomology) contains no torsion. The Chern classes give some “sharp information” on the geometric dimension, as the next fundamental theorem shows.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Consider a connected finite CW-complex  $X$  of dimension  $\leq 2n$ , and  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ . Then*

$$\text{g-dim}(x) < n \iff c_n(x) = 0.$$

*If moreover  $X$  is torsion-free, then this is also equivalent to  $\gamma^n(x) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{g-dim}(x) < n$ , as already mentioned,  $c_n(x) = 0$ . The converse is a consequence of Theorem 41.5 on page 210 of [Steen] (the Chern classes of a vector bundle are the same as the Chern classes of the associated spherical bundle as defined in [Steen]). The last statement follows from Proposition 2.2 and injectivity of the Chern character for a torsion-free space.  $\square$

Let us recall the  $K$ -theory of the spheres:

$$\begin{array}{ll} K^0(S^{2n}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} & K^0(S^{2n+1}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \\ K^1(S^{2n}) = 0 & K^1(S^{2n+1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}. \end{array}$$

(For technical reasons, we will always implicitly exclude the 0-sphere.) The multiplicative structure on  $\tilde{K}(S^{2n}) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot x_{2n}$  is given by  $x_{2n}^2 = 0$ . The  $\gamma$ -operations and the Chern classes are as given in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $x_{2n}$  be a generator of  $\tilde{K}(S^{2n}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . Then

i)  $\gamma^k(x_{2n}) = (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)! S(n, k) \cdot x_{2n}$ , where  $S(n, k)$  is a Stirling number of the second kind.

ii)  $c_n(x_{2n}) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)! \cdot a_{2n}$ , where  $a_{2n}$  is a suitable generator of the cohomology group  $H^{2n}(S^{2n}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $\lambda^k(x_{2n}) = (-1)^{k+1}k^{n-1} \cdot x_{2n}$ , for  $k \geq 1$  (see Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 11.2 in Chapter 13 of [Huse]). We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t(x_{2n}) &= \lambda_{t/1-t}(x_{2n}) = 1 + \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k+1} k^{n-1} t^k (1-t)^{-k} \right) \cdot x_{2n} \\ &= 1 - \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{-k}{j} k^{n-1} \cdot t^{k+j} \right) \cdot x_{2n} \\ &= 1 - \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{k+j}{k} \frac{k^n}{k+j} \cdot t^{k+j} \right) \cdot x_{2n} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} 1 - \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{k^n}{m} \right) \cdot t^m \right) \cdot x_{2n} \\ &= 1 + \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! S(n, m) \cdot t^m \right) \cdot x_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $S(n, m) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \frac{k^n}{m!}$  (see (6.19) on page 251 of [GKP]), hence the first formula. (The equality  $(*)$  is obtained by substituting  $m := k + j$ .)

The second formula follows from Theorem 9.6 and Corollary 9.8 (and its proof) in Chapter 20 of [Huse].  $\square$

Let us finally state a lemma relating  $\gamma$ -operations and Chern classes. We shall need it further on.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $Y$  be a connected CW-complex (possibly infinite). Then, for an element  $x \in \tilde{K}(Y) = [Y, BU]$ , one has

$$c_n(\gamma^n(x)) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)! c_n(x) \in H^{2n}(Y; \mathbf{Z}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $i: BU(n-1) \rightarrow BU$  be the canonical map, and

$$i^*: H^*(BU; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-1}] = H^*(BU(n-1); \mathbf{Z})$$

the induced map. Since  $c_n(\tilde{\gamma}^n) \in \text{Ker}(i^*) \cap H^{2n}(BU; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} \cdot \tilde{c}_n$ , there exists an integer  $q_n$  such that  $c_n(\tilde{\gamma}^n) = q_n \cdot \tilde{c}_n$ . Recalling that  $S(n, n) = 1$ , an easy

computation (based on Proposition 2.4) for the sphere  $S^{2n}$  shows that one has  $q_n = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$ , as claimed.  $\square$

### 3. THE $\gamma$ -CONE AND THE $c$ -CONE

In general, the problem of computing the geometric dimension of vector bundles is very complicated, as is any general lifting problem in homotopy theory. So, the same is true for the positive cone. That is why we now introduce what we call the  $\gamma$ -cone and the  $c$ -cone. They are supposed to be easier to compute and might be good approximations to the positive cone. As we will see, these two cones coincide for torsion-free spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1.

i) The  $\gamma$ -cone of  $X$  is defined by

$$K_\gamma(X) := \{(n, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}(X) \mid \gamma^k(x) = 0 \text{ for all } k > n\}.$$

The  $\gamma$ -dimension of a class  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , denoted by  $\gamma\text{-dim}(x)$ , is the least integer  $n$  such that  $\gamma^k(x) = 0$  for all  $k > n$ , in other words, it is the degree (in the variable  $t$ ) of the polynomial  $\gamma_t(x)$ .

ii) The  $c$ -cone of  $X$  is defined by

$$K_c(X) := \{(n, x) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}(X) \mid c_k(x) = 0 \text{ for all } k > n\}.$$

The  $c$ -dimension of a class  $x \in \tilde{K}(X)$ , denoted by  $c\text{-dim}(x)$ , is the least integer  $n$  such that  $c_k(x) = 0$  for all  $k > n$ , in other words, it is the degree (in the variable  $t$ ) of the polynomial  $c_x(t)$ .

Let us point out that the “lower boundary” of the positive cone  $K_+(X)$ , as a subset of  $\tilde{K}(X) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ , coincides with the graph of the geometric dimension function  $g\text{-dim}: \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  (the positive elements consisting exactly of the boundary and the points located above it). The analogous statements hold for the  $\gamma$ -cone and the  $c$ -cone with respect to the corresponding dimension function.

The following results on these objects follow readily from our preliminaries on  $K$ -theory.