

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 45 (1999)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: COUNTING PATHS IN GRAPHS
Autor: Bartholdi, Laurent
Kapitel: 10. Direct products of graphs
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-64442>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Proof. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\rho(G_{\mathcal{X}}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(tG_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(tG_{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}} + \frac{1}{(tG_{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}} - \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{by (9.2)} \\ &= 1/\rho(G_{\mathcal{E}}) + 1/\rho(G_{\mathcal{F}}) - 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Note that the corollary does not extend to non-recurrent series; for instance, it fails if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{Z}$. Indeed then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathcal{E}} = G_{\mathcal{F}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4t^2}}, & \rho(G_{\mathcal{E}}) = \rho(G_{\mathcal{F}}) &= 1/4, \\ G_{\mathcal{X}} &= \frac{3}{1+2\sqrt{1-12t^2}}, & \rho(G_{\mathcal{X}}) &= 1/\sqrt{12}. \end{aligned}$$

10. DIRECT PRODUCTS OF GRAPHS

There are two natural definitions for *direct products* of graphs; they correspond to direct products of groups with generating set either the union or cartesian product of the generating sets of the factors. A general treatment of products of graphs can be found in [CDS79, pages 65 and 203].

DEFINITION 10.1. If S is a set, the *stationing graph* on S is the graph $\mathcal{X} = \Sigma_S$ with $V(\mathcal{X}) = E(\mathcal{X}) = S$, where for the edges $s^\alpha = s^\omega = \bar{s} = s$ hold.

LEMMA 10.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a graph, and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X} \sqcup \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the graph obtained by adding a loop to every vertex in \mathcal{X} . Let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the growth functions for circuits in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{E} respectively. Then we have

$$G_{\mathcal{E}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} G_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right).$$

DEFINITION 10.3 (First Product). Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two graphs. Their *direct product* $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$V(\mathcal{X}) = V(\mathcal{E}) \times V(\mathcal{F})$$

and

$$E(\mathcal{X}) = (E(\mathcal{E}) \times \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) \sqcup (\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}} \times E(\mathcal{F})).$$

Note that if the graphs \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} have respectively adjacency matrices E and F , then their product has adjacency matrix $E \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes F$.

In that case we have

$$G_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{S^1} \frac{G_{\mathcal{E}}((1+u)t) G_{\mathcal{F}}((1+u^{-1})t)}{u} du.$$

This is a simple application of the Laplace transform, that converts an exponential generating function into an ordinary one and vice versa [AS70, 29.3.3]. Indeed, if we had considered exponential generating functions, the formula would simply have been $G_{\mathcal{X}} = G_{\mathcal{E}}G_{\mathcal{F}}$, as is well known (see [Wil90] or [Sta78, page 102]).

As an example, let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{Z}$, so $G_{\mathcal{E}} = G_{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathcal{X}} &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{S^1} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1-4(1+u)^2t^2)(u^2-4(1+u)^2t^2)}} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} K(16t^2) = F\left(\begin{matrix} 1/2 & & 1/2 \\ & 1 & \end{matrix} \middle| 16t^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

where K is the complete elliptic function and F the hypergeometric series. These functions are known to be transcendental; thus the circuit series of \mathbf{Z}^2 is transcendental. This result appears in [GH97]. Numerical evidence suggests the growth function for \mathbf{Z}^3 is not even hypergeometric.

DEFINITION 10.4 (Second Product). Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two graphs, and suppose that for every vertex in \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} there is a loop at it. Then their *direct product* $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$V(\mathcal{X}) = V(\mathcal{E}) \times V(\mathcal{F})$$

and

$$E(\mathcal{X}) = E(\mathcal{E}) \times E(\mathcal{F}).$$

Note that if the graphs \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} have respectively adjacency matrices E and F , then their product has adjacency matrix $E \otimes F$.

In that case we have, again using Laplace transformations

$$G_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_{S^1} \frac{G_{\mathcal{E}}(u)G_{\mathcal{F}}(t/u)}{u} du.$$

Note that with both definitions of products it is possible that the growth function for circuits in the product be transcendental even if the growth functions for circuits in the factors are algebraic.