

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 44 (1998)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** HOMFLY POLYNOMIAL VIA AN INVARIANT OF COLORED PLANE GRAPHS  
**Autor:** Murakami, Hitoshi / Yamada, Shuji  
**Kapitel:** §5. Invariants corresponding to anti-symmetric tensors  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-63908>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 03.03.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

Similarly we have

$$\widetilde{\text{wt}} \left( \begin{array}{c} a \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ b \uparrow \\ + \\ a \uparrow \\ b \uparrow \end{array} \right) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\text{wt}} \left( \begin{array}{c} a \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ a \uparrow \\ + \\ a \uparrow \\ a \uparrow \end{array} \right) = q^{1/2}.$$

We have a similar formula for a negative crossing.

Therefore we see that our graph invariant gives an  $R$ -matrix of the form

$$R_{ij}^{kl} = \begin{cases} q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2} & \text{if } i = k > j = l, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = l \neq j = k, \\ q^{1/2} & \text{if } i = j = k = l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(R^{-1})_{ij}^{kl} = \begin{cases} -q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2} & \text{if } i = k < j = l, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = l \neq j = k, \\ q^{-1/2} & \text{if } i = j = k = l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

which coincides with  $-R(q^{1/2})^{-1}$ , where  $R(q^{1/2})$  is the  $R$ -matrix given in [16], replacing  $q$  with  $q^{1/2}$ .

## §5. INVARIANTS CORRESPONDING TO ANTI-SYMETRIC TENSORS

In this section, we will show briefly that our graph invariant gives the quantum link invariant each of its component equipped with an anti-symmetric tensor of the standard  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $SU(n)$ .

Let  $D$  be a link diagram each of its component colored with an integer  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). This corresponds to the  $i$ -fold anti-symmetric tensor of the standard representation of  $SU(n)$ .

Then  $\langle D \rangle_n$  is defined by

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \\ \text{crossing} \\ j \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{k+(j+1)i} q^{(i-k)/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \quad j+k-i \uparrow \quad j \uparrow \\ \text{diagram} \\ j+k \uparrow \quad i-k \uparrow \\ j \uparrow \quad k \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n, \quad \text{for } i \leq j$$

and

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \\ \text{crossing} \\ j \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{k+(i+1)j} q^{(j-k)/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \quad i+k-j \uparrow \quad j \uparrow \\ \text{diagram} \\ j-k \uparrow \quad i+k \uparrow \\ j \uparrow \quad k \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n, \quad \text{for } i > j$$

For a negative crossing, replace  $q$  with  $q^{-1}$ .

Now we will show

**THEOREM 5.1.** *The quantity  $\langle D \rangle_n$  with  $D$  a colored link diagram is invariant under the Reidemeister moves II and III. Thus it is a colored framed link invariant.*


To prove the theorem above, we prepare some lemmas:

**LEMMA 5.2.**


$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \quad i+1 \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \\ \text{diagram} \\ i \uparrow \quad 1 \uparrow \\ 1 \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = [n - i - 1] \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \\ \text{diagram} \\ 1 \uparrow \quad i \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ \text{diagram} \\ i \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.4 and we leave it to the reader.  $\square$

LEMMA 5.3.


(5.1) 

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the case  $i = 1$  or  $j = 1$  since we have



and the conclusion follows from the case  $i = 1$  or  $j = 1$  and induction. Here we use Lemma A.1 in the first equality.

We only prove the case  $j = 1$  and  $i < k$  since the remaining case is similar. From the definition, the left hand side of (5.1) with  $j = 1$  equals

$$\sum_{l=0}^{i+1} (-1)^{l+(k+1)(i+1)} q^{(i-l+1)/2} \cdot \text{Diagram}$$


The right hand side becomes

$$\sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)(i+1)} q^{(i-l+1)/2} \left[ \text{Diagram 1} \right] + \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)i+k} q^{(i-l)/2} \left[ \text{Diagram 2} \right]$$

Sliding the bar colored with  $l$  using Lemma 2.6, the first diagram becomes

$$\left[ \text{Diagram 1} \right] = [i-l] \left[ \text{Diagram 3} \right] + \left[ \text{Diagram 4} \right]$$

$$= [i-l] \left[ \text{Diagram 5} \right] + \left[ \text{Diagram 6} \right],$$


where the first equality follows from Lemma A.7 below.

The second diagram turns out to be

$$\left( \text{Diagram 1} \right) = [i - l + 1] \left( \text{Diagram 2} \right) .$$

Therefore the right hand side of (5.1) becomes

$$\left\{ \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)(i+1)} q^{(i-l+1)/2} [i - l] + \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)i+k} q^{(i-l)/2} [i - l + 1] \right\}$$



$$+ \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)(i+1)} q^{(i-l+1)/2} \left( \text{Diagram 4} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+(k+1)(i+1)+1} \left( \text{Diagram 5} \right)$$



It suffices to show the case  $i = 1$  from Lemmas A.1 and 5.3 since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 1} = \frac{1}{[i]} \text{Diagram 2} = \frac{1}{[i]} \text{Diagram 3} \\
 & = \frac{1}{[i]} \text{Diagram 4} = \frac{1}{[i]} \text{Diagram 5} \\
 & = \langle i \uparrow \quad \uparrow j \rangle_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 5.3 and the fourth by induction on  $i$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 1} \\
 & = \text{Diagram 2} - q^{1/2} \text{Diagram 3} - q^{-1/2} \text{Diagram 4} + \text{Diagram 5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j \uparrow \\ 1 \uparrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j-1 \rightarrow \\ j \rightarrow \\ j-1 \leftarrow \\ j \leftarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left( -q^{1/2}[j] - q^{-1/2}[j] + [j+1] \right) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \uparrow \\ 1 \uparrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j \rightarrow \\ j+1 \rightarrow \\ j \leftarrow \\ j \leftarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left( [j-1] - q^{1/2}[j] - q^{-1/2}[j] + [j+1] \right) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \uparrow \\ 1 \uparrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} j \rightarrow \\ j+1 \rightarrow \\ j \leftarrow \\ j \leftarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma A.4 in the third equality.

Next we will show

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ j \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} i \uparrow \\ j \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n.$$

It also suffices to show the case  $i = 1$  as above. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ j \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j \downarrow \\ 1 \uparrow \\ j \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - q^{1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \\ j \downarrow \\ j-1 \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n - q^{-1/2} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j \downarrow \\ 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \\ j \downarrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \left( [n-j+1] - q^{1/2}[n-j] - q^{-1/2}[n-j] \right) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j-1 \downarrow \\ 1 \uparrow \\ j \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \\ j \downarrow \\ j+1 \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( [n-j+1] - q^{1/2}[n-j] - q^{-1/2}[n-j] + [n-j-1] \right) \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \\ 1 \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &\quad + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 1 \uparrow \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \right\rangle_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 5.2 in the third equality. Now the proof for the Reidemeister move II is complete.

*The invariance under the Reidemeister move III.* This is proved by repeated application of Lemma 5.3 and details are omitted. See the proof of Theorem 3.1.

A. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we give proofs of lemmas used in the previous section.

LEMMA A.1.

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \quad \downarrow i-j \\ \uparrow i \end{array} \right\rangle_n = [j] \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \end{array} \right\rangle_n$$

for  $i \geq j \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof for  $j = 1$  is similar to that of Lemma 2.2 and omitted. For  $j > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \quad \downarrow i-j \\ \uparrow i \end{array} \right\rangle_n &= \frac{1}{[j]} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j-1 \quad \downarrow 1 \\ \uparrow j \quad \uparrow i-j \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \frac{1}{[j]} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j-1 \quad \downarrow i-j+1 \\ \uparrow 1 \quad \uparrow i-j \end{array} \right\rangle_n \\
 &= \frac{[i-j+1]}{[j]} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j-1 \quad \downarrow i-j+1 \\ \uparrow i \end{array} \right\rangle_n = \frac{[i-j+1]}{[j]} [j-1] \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j-1 \end{array} \right\rangle_n = [j] \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow j \end{array} \right\rangle_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 2.6 and the fourth by induction. The proof is complete.  $\square$