Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 44 (1998)
Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: NEW PROOF OF VINCENT'S THEOREM
Autor: Alesina, Alberto / Galuzzi, Massimo
Kapitel: 6. Vincent's proof revisited in modern terms
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-63903

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

6. VINCENT'S PROOF REVISITED IN MODERN TERMS

The 'qualitative' argument used by Vincent to prove his theorem can easily be recast in modern terms to obtain Uspensky's result, but under the only condition that

$$F_h F_{h-1} \Delta > 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} .$$

In view of the fact that Viète's formulae relate the coefficients of a polynomial to its roots, it is far from astonishing that Vincent's proof can be improved to provide a quantitative estimate for h. But it is worthwhile to observe that it gives exactly Uspensky's result.

Consider once again the proof of Theorem 4.1 up to (4.3), which describes the polynomial $G(x)$.

Factoring out $g(b)$ we have

$$G(x) = (x+1)^{n-1} g(b) \left[1 + \frac{g'(b)}{1! \cdot g(b)} u + \frac{g''(b)}{2! \cdot g(b)} u^2 + \cdots + \frac{g^{(n-1)}(b)}{(n-1)! \cdot g(b)} u^{n-1}\right].$$

Recalling that $u = \dfrac{a-b}{1+x}$, we have

$$\frac{G(x)}{g(b)} = (x+1)^{n-1} + \frac{g'(b)}{1! \cdot g(b)}(a-b)(x+1)^{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{g^{(n-1)}(b)}{(n-1)! \cdot g(b)}(a-b)^{n-1} . \tag{6.1}$$

Since the roots of $g(x)$ are $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$, we have

$$\frac{g'(x)}{1! \cdot g(x)} = \sum_i \frac{1}{1!} \frac{1!}{x - x_i} = \sum_i \frac{1}{x - x_i} ,$$

$$\frac{g''(x)}{2! \cdot g(x)} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{2!}{(x - x_i)(x - x_j)} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{(x - x_i)(x - x_j)} ,$$

$$\frac{g'''(x)}{3! \cdot g(x)} = \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{1}{3!} \frac{3!}{(x - x_i)(x - x_j)(x - x_k)} = \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{1}{(x - x_i)(x - x_j)(x - x_k)} ,$$

. . . . . .

The above sums contain respectively $\binom{n-1}{1}$, $\binom{n-1}{2}$, $\binom{n-1}{3}$, ... terms.

Since $F_h F_{h-1} \Delta > 1 + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_n} > 1$, we have, in particular, $|b - a| < \Delta$. Hence

$$|b-a| = \theta \cdot \Delta, \quad \text{with} \quad \theta < 1 .$$

Observe that

$$|b-x_i| = |b-x_0+x_0-x_i| > |x_0-x_i| - |b-x_0| > \Delta - \theta\Delta = (1-\theta)\Delta ;$$

hence

$$\left| \frac{g^{(k)}(b)}{k! \cdot g(b)} \right| < \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^k \Delta^k} ,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{g^{(k)}(b)}{k! \cdot g(b)} (a-b)^k \right| < \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{1}{\Delta^k} \Delta^k \cdot \frac{\theta^k}{(1-\theta)^k} = \binom{n-1}{k} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^k .$$

Let $\dfrac{\theta}{1-\theta} = \tau$. The absolute value of the coefficient of x^i on the right hand side of (6.1) is smaller than

$$\binom{n-1}{i} + \binom{n-1}{1}\binom{n-2}{i}\tau + \binom{n-1}{2}\binom{n-3}{i}\tau^2$$

$$+ \binom{n-1}{3}\binom{n-4}{i}\tau^3 + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1-i} \binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n-1-k}{i}\tau^k$$

$$= \binom{n-1}{i}(1+\tau)^{n-1-i} = \binom{n-1}{i}\left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{n-1-i} .$$

To apply Lemma 5.1 we need to impose the condition

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{n-1-i} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{n} \qquad \forall i , \tag{6.2}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\theta}\right)^{n-1} < 1 + \frac{1}{n} ,$$

that is

$$\theta < 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1+\varepsilon_n} . \tag{6.3}$$

It follows from

$$F_h F_{h-1} \Delta > 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}$$

that

$$q_h q_{h-1} \Delta = \frac{\Delta}{|b-a|} = \frac{1}{\theta} > 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} ,$$

hence (6.3) holds and (6.2) is satisfied. Lemma 5.1 may be applied to conclude the proof. □