

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 44 (1998)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF AFFINE TEMPERLEY-LIEB ALGEBRAS  
**Autor:** Graham, J. J. / Lehrer, G. I.  
**Kapitel:** §3. HOMOMORPHISMS AND NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-63902>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 03.03.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

(2.13) THE TRIVIAL REPRESENTATION OF THE FINITE TEMPERLEY-LIEB ALGEBRAS

The cell module  $W_s(s)$  is one-dimensional and will be referred to as the *trivial representation* of  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ . Observe that the diagrams  $f_i \in \mathbf{T}(s)$  all act as the zero operator in this representation, whence if  $e_s$  is the corresponding idempotent in  $\mathbf{T}(s)$  ( $e_s$  exists generically, by generic semisimplicity), then  $f_i * e_s = 0 = e_s * f_i$  for all  $i$ . The idempotent  $e_s$  is referred to in the literature (cf. [MV], [We], [Li] and [J3], where  $e_s$  was first identified) as the Jones, or augmentation idempotent of  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ .

(2.14) LEMMA. *Let  $t, s$  and  $k$  be non-negative integers such that  $s = t + 2k$ . If  $x \in W_{t,z}(s)$  is annihilated by all finite diagrams  $\alpha: s \rightarrow s$  except  $id_s$ , then  $x$  is a scalar multiple of  $e_s * \eta^k$ , where  $e_s$  is defined above and  $\eta^k$  is defined in (1.9.1).*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $k > 0$ , since the case  $k = 0$  is trivial. The hypothesis implies that  $Rx$  is a realization of the trivial representation of  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ , whence  $x \in e_s * W_{t,z}(s)$ . We shall therefore be done if we show that

$$(2.14.1) \quad e_s * W_{t,z}(s) = R e_s * \eta^k.$$

Now  $\eta^k$  is characterised among the standard diagrams  $: t \rightarrow s$  as the unique diagram of maximal rank ( $k$ ). If  $\mu: t \rightarrow s$  is standard and  $|\mu| < k$ , then  $\mu = f_i * \nu$ , for some standard diagram  $\nu: t \rightarrow s$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$  because  $\phi_\mu$  must interchange two upper vertices in the fundamental rectangle (recall  $k > 0$ ). Hence  $e_s * \mu = e_s * f_i * \nu = 0$ , proving (2.14.1) and hence the lemma.  $\square$

§3. HOMOMORPHISMS AND NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS

For any integer  $n$ , define the Gaussian integer  $[n]_x$  in the function field  $\mathbf{Q}(x)$  by

$$[n]_x := \frac{x^n - x^{-n}}{x - x^{-1}} = x^{n-1} + x^{n-3} + \dots + x^{1-n}.$$

Define the Gaussian  $x$ -factorial by

$$[n!]_x = [n]_x [n - 1]_x \dots [2]_x [1]_x.$$

For any pair  $n \geq k$  of positive integers, the Gaussian binomial coefficient is

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_x = \frac{[n]_x [n-1]_x \cdots [n-k+1]_x}{[k]_x [k-1]_x \cdots [1]_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_x = 1.$$

These are Laurent polynomials in  $x$ , so that we may speak of  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  for any invertible element  $q$  of a ring  $R$ . If  $q^2$  has finite order  $l > 1$ , then  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = 0$  iff  $l$  divides  $n$ .

(3.1) DEFINITION. A *forest* is a partially ordered set such that if  $x \leq y$  and  $x \leq z$  then  $y \leq z$  or  $z \leq y$ .

(3.2) EXAMPLES.

(1) The set of orbits of a planar involution  $\phi$  (of a totally ordered set) is a forest  $F(\phi)$  with order defined by:  $X \leq Y$  if  $X$  is contained in the convex hull of  $Y$ . For a finite diagram  $\alpha: t \rightarrow n$ , the associated forest for  $\phi_\alpha$  is denoted  $F(\alpha)$ .

(2) For any affine diagram  $\mu: t \rightarrow n$ , order the set  $\text{lft}(\mu)$  (see (1.10)) by stipulating that  $y \preceq x$  if  $x \leq y \leq \phi_\mu(x)$  or  $x \leq Vy \leq \phi_\mu(x)$ . This condition amounts to the requirement that the convex hull of the orbit of  $x$  contains some translate of the orbit of  $y$ . The resulting poset is a forest which we denote by  $P(\mu)$ .

The following result is well known.

(3.3) PROPOSITION (Stanley [RS]). *Let  $P$  be a forest of cardinality  $n$ ; for  $y \in P$  denote by  $h_y$  the number of elements of  $P$  which are less than or equal to  $y$ . Then the rational function*

$$h_P(x) := \frac{[n!]_x}{\prod_{y \in P} [h_y]_x}$$

*is a Laurent polynomial with integer coefficients.*

It is possible to strengthen the proofs of [RS (5.3) and (22.1)] to yield that the coefficients of  $h_P(x)$  are actually positive, but we do not require this here.

The next result, one of the main ones in this work, provides the homomorphisms between cell modules which enable us to analyse them. For any affine diagram  $\mu: t \rightarrow s$ , we sometimes (e.g. in the statement of (3.4)) identify the set  $u(\{0\} \times s)$  with  $s$  in the obvious way, thereby identifying the sets  $\text{thr}(\mu)$ ,  $\text{rgt}(\mu)$  and  $\text{lft}(\mu)$  with subsets of  $s$ .

(3.4) THEOREM. *Let  $R$  be a ring with an invertible element  $q$ . Let  $t, s$  and  $k$  be non-negative integers such that  $t + 2k = s$ . Let  $z \in R$  be such that  $z^2 = q^s$  and set  $y = zq^{-k}$ , so that  $y^2 = q^t$ . Then there exists a natural transformation  $\theta: W_{s,y} \rightarrow W_{t,z}$  of  $\mathbf{T}^a$ -modules (2.5, 2.6) whose component at  $n$  applied to a monic diagram  $\nu: s \rightarrow n$  is given by:*

$$(3.4.1) \quad \theta_n(\nu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu: t \rightarrow s \\ \text{standard}}} q^i z^{k-|\mu|} h_{P(\mu)}(q) \nu \mu$$

where  $2i = s(|\mu| - k) + t(s + 1)/2 - \sum_{u(x,0) \in \text{thr}(\mu)} x$ ,  $|\alpha|$  is the rank (see (1.3) et seq.) of the affine diagram  $\alpha$  and  $h_{P(\mu)}(x)$  is the polynomial associated to the forest  $P(\mu)$  of (3.2)(2) by (3.3).

*Proof.* We shall assume without loss of generality that  $R$  is the function field  $\mathbf{Q}(q^{1/2})$  and that  $s > t$ , the case  $s = t$  having been covered in Theorem (2.8).

To define a natural transformation  $\theta$  from  $W_{s,y}$  to  $W_{t,z}$ , we require, for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , a homomorphism  $\theta_n: W_{s,y}(n) \rightarrow W_{t,z}(n)$  such that for any pair  $n, m$  of non-negative integers and diagram  $\alpha: n \rightarrow m$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_{s,y}(n) & \xrightarrow{W_{s,y}(\alpha)} & W_{s,y}(m) \\ \theta_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_m \\ W_{t,z}(n) & \xrightarrow{W_{t,z}(\alpha)} & W_{t,z}(m) \end{array}$$

Now  $W_{s,y}(s)$  is a one dimensional  $R$ -module with basis  $\text{id}_s: s \rightarrow s$ . Write  $\theta_s(\text{id}_s) = \mathbf{v}$ . Taking  $n = m = s$  and  $\alpha = \tau_s$  in (3.4.2) we see that

$$(1) \quad \tau_s * \mathbf{v} = y\mathbf{v}.$$

Moreover if we take  $m = s - 2$  and  $n = s$ , then  $W_{s,y}(m) = 0$  whence  $\alpha * \mathbf{v} = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}^a(s, s - 2)$ . In particular, taking  $\alpha = \eta_s^*$ , we obtain

$$(2) \quad \eta_s^* * \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

It follows that (1) and (2) are necessary conditions for the particular  $\theta$  of (3.4.1) to define a natural transformation. We shall prove (1) and (2) shortly, but first show that they are sufficient for the proof of the theorem. Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in W_{t,z}(s)$  is such that (1) and (2) hold.

Then  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\substack{\mu: t \rightarrow s \\ \text{standard}}} c_\mu(q, z)\mu$  for certain coefficients  $c_\mu(q, z) \in R$ . Define  $\theta$  by

$$(3.4.3) \quad \theta_n(\nu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu: t \rightarrow s \\ \text{standard}}} c_\mu(q, z)\nu\mu$$

for any monic diagram  $\nu: s \rightarrow n$ . Then (1) implies that the formula (3.4.3) for  $\theta_n$  defines a unique  $R$ -linear map  $W_{s,y}(n) \rightarrow W_{t,z}(n)$  for each  $n$ ; these maps are clearly  $\mathbf{T}^a(n)$ -module homomorphisms. In order to prove that this family of maps defines a natural transformation, fix a diagram  $\alpha: n \rightarrow m$  and standard diagram  $\nu: s \rightarrow n$ . If  $\alpha \circ \nu$  is also monic, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha * \theta_n(\nu) &= \alpha * (\nu * \mathbf{v}) = (\alpha\nu) * \mathbf{v} = (-q - q^{-1})^{m(\alpha,\nu)}(\alpha \circ \nu) * \mathbf{v} \\ &= (-q - q^{-1})^{m(\alpha,\nu)}\theta_m(\alpha \circ \nu) = \theta_m(\alpha * \nu). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\alpha \circ \nu$  is not monic, then by Lemma 1.6 there is  $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\alpha \circ \nu = \alpha \circ \nu \circ f_i = \beta \circ \eta^* \circ \tau^{-i}$  where  $\beta = \alpha \circ \nu \circ \tau^i$ ; hence we have

$$\alpha * \theta_n(\nu) = (-q - q^{-1})^{m(\alpha,\nu)}(\alpha \circ \nu) * \mathbf{v} = (-q - q^{-1})^{m(\alpha,\nu)}y^{-i} \beta * \eta^* * \mathbf{v} = 0$$

while  $\theta_m(\alpha * \nu) = \theta_m(0) = 0$ , proving that the squares (3.4.2) commute. It follows that  $\theta$  is a natural transformation if (1) and (2) hold.


We therefore turn to the proof of (1) and (2) for the particular  $\mathbf{v}$  defined by (3.4.1). First we establish (1). Let  $\mu: t \rightarrow s$  be standard, let  $\nu$  be the image of  $\tau \circ \mu$  and recall that  $\tau \circ \mu = \nu \circ \sigma$  for some monic diagram  $\sigma: t \rightarrow t$ . Suppose first that  $s \notin \text{thr}(\mu)$ ; then  $\sigma$  is the identity. Using the abuse of notation explained after (1.10), we have  $\text{thr}(\nu) = \{x + 1 \mid x \in \text{thr}(\mu)\}$  and  $\phi_\nu$  agrees with  $\tau_s \circ \phi_\mu \circ \tau_s^{-1}$  elsewhere. Hence  $\sum_{x \in \text{thr}(\nu)} x = t + \sum_{x \in \text{thr}(\mu)} x$ ,  $|\nu| = |\mu| \pm 1$  and  $h_{P(\nu)}(x) = h_{P(\mu)}(x)$ . Alternatively, assume that  $s \in \text{thr}(\mu)$ . Then  $t > 0$  and  $\sigma = \tau$ . We have  $\text{thr}(\nu) = \{x + 1 \mid x \in \text{thr}(\mu), x \neq s\} \cup \{1\}$  and  $\phi_\nu$  agrees with  $\tau \circ \phi_\mu \circ \tau^{-1}$  elsewhere. Hence  $\sum_{x \in \text{thr}(\nu)} x = t - s + \sum_{x \in \text{thr}(\mu)} x$ ,  $|\nu| = |\mu|$  and  $h_{P(\nu)}(x) = h_{P(\mu)}(x)$ . In either case, the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $y\mathbf{v}$  equals the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $\tau * \mathbf{v}$  and (1) follows.

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains only to prove (2). Fix a standard affine diagram  $\nu: t \rightarrow s - 2$ . We consider standard diagrams  $\mu: t \rightarrow s$  such that  $\nu$  is the image of  $\eta^* \circ \mu$ , because these index the terms in the expression (3.4.1) which contribute to the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $\eta^* * \mathbf{v}$ ; we shall show that the sum of these contributions is zero. In the figures below, we depict the upper edge of the fundamental rectangle of  $\mu$ .

Let  $h$  denote the coefficient of  $\eta \circ \nu$  in  $\mathbf{v}$ . Let  $a' \in \mathbf{s}$  be minimal subject to  $a' > 1$  and  $\phi_{\eta \circ \nu}(u(0, a')) \notin u(\{0\} \times \mathbf{s})$ . Similarly, let  $b' \in \mathbf{s}$  be maximal

subject to  $b' < s$  and  $\phi_{\eta \circ \nu}(u(0, b')) \notin u(\{0\} \times \mathfrak{s})$ . Define  $a = a'/2$  and  $b = (s + 1 - b')/2$ . We shall consider four types of diagrams  $\mu$  and compute the contribution of each type to the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $\eta^* * \mathfrak{v}$  separately. Note that the stipulation that  $\nu$  is the image of  $\eta^* \circ \mu$  implies that  $\mu$  is determined completely by the images  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1))$  and  $\phi_\mu(u(0, s))$ .

CASE 1:  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1)) = u(-1, s)$ .




It follows that  $\text{thr}(\mu) = \text{thr}(\nu)$  and  $\mu = \eta \circ \nu$ . Thus  $\eta^* * \mu = (-q - q^{-1})\nu$  and so the contribution of the term  $\mu$  to the coefficient of  $\nu$  is

$$(-q - q^{-1})h = -[2]_q h.$$

CASE 2: Suppose  $\phi_\mu(u(-1, s)) > u(0, 1)$ .

Then  $u(0, s) \notin \text{thr}(\mu)$  by planarity. If  $\phi_\mu(u(-1, s)) = u(0, j)$  (with  $j > 1$ ), then  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1)) = u(0, i)$  and clearly  $i < j < a'$  since  $\phi_\mu$  is planar.



Since  $\eta^* * \mu = \nu$ ,  $\mu$  contributes its own coefficient in  $\mathfrak{v}$  to the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $\eta^* * \mathfrak{v}$ . It is easily checked that this coefficient may be expressed as

$$\frac{[1]_q [(j - i + 1)/2]_q}{[(j + 1)/2]_q [i/2]_q} h.$$

Now the interval  $u(0, 2), u(0, 3), \dots, u(0, a' - 1)$  is a union of  $\phi_{\eta \circ \nu}$ -orbits. These form a subforest  $Q$  of the forest of (3.2)(1) and in this subforest, the  $\phi_{\eta \circ \nu}$ -orbit  $(u(0, i), u(0, j))$  is clearly maximal. Moreover there is an obvious bijection between the maximal orbits  $u(0, i_r), u(0, j_r)$  ( $r = 1, \dots, l$ ) of  $\phi_{\eta \circ \nu}$  on  $u(0, 2), u(0, 3), \dots, u(0, a' - 1)$  and the diagrams  $\mu$  satisfying the condition  $\phi_\mu(u(-1, s)) > u(0, 1)$  under which  $\phi_\mu(u(-1, s)) = u(0, j_r)$ . If  $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_l, j_l)$  are the possible pairs  $(i_r, j_r)$  as above, listed in


order of increasing  $i_r$ , then  $i_1 = 2$ ,  $j_l = a' - 1$  and  $j_k + 1 = i_{k+1}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, l - 1$ . A straightforward induction argument shows that altogether this family contributes

$$\frac{[a - 1]_q h}{[a]_q}$$

to the coefficient of  $\nu$ .

CASE 3:  $\phi_\mu(0, 1) < (-1, s)$  and  $1 \notin \text{thr}(\mu)$ .

If  $i = \phi_\mu(1, 1)$  and  $j = \phi_\mu(s)$ , then  $b' < i < j$  since  $\phi_\mu$  is planar.




This case is the mirror image of case 2, working from the right instead of the left. Arguing as above, one finds that the total contribution from the  $\mu$  of this type is

$$\frac{[b - 1]_q h}{[b]_q}$$

CASE 4: Otherwise.

We shall see that there are just one or two remaining diagrams. First assume that the rank of  $\nu$  is nonzero. Then it follows from the planar nature of  $\eta \circ \nu$  and the choice of  $a'$  and  $b'$  that  $\phi_\eta \circ \nu$  interchanges  $u(0, a')$  and  $u(i, b')$  for some  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $\mu$  is planar,  $u(0, 1), u(0, s) \notin \text{thr}(\mu)$ , so that  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1)) > u(0, 1)$  and  $\phi_\mu(u(0, s)) < u(0, s)$  and it follows that  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1)) = u(0, a')$  and  $\phi_\mu(u(0, s)) = u(0, b')$ .




One now computes that this diagram  $\mu$  contributes  $([a + b]_q / ([a]_q [b]_q)) h$  to the coefficient of  $\nu$ .

Alternatively, assume that  $\nu$  has rank zero, i.e. is finite. If  $\text{thr}(\nu)$  is empty (in which case  $t = 0$ ), then  $1, s \notin \text{thr}(\nu)$  (being empty) and it follows that  $\mu(u(0, 1)) = u(0, s)$ .




This diagram  $\mu$  contributes  $\chi(\tau_0)q^{-s/2}z^1([1]_q/[s/2]_q)h = ([a+b]_q/([a]_q[b]_q))h$  to the coefficient of  $\nu$ .

Finally assume that  $\text{thr}(\nu)$  is nonempty (and  $\nu$  is finite). Then  $u(0, a' - 1)$  and  $u(0, b' - 1)$  are the minimum and maximum elements of  $\text{thr}(\nu)$  respectively. Equivalently,  $u(0, a')$  and  $u(0, b')$  are the minimum and maximum elements of  $\text{thr}(\eta \circ \nu)$  respectively. It follows that either  $u(0, s) \in \text{thr}(\mu)$  (in which case  $\phi_\mu(u(0, 1)) = u(0, a')$ )



or  $u(0, 1) \in \text{thr}(\mu)$  (in which case  $\phi_\mu(u(0, s)) = u(0, b')$ ).



Together these two diagrams contribute

$$\chi(\tau)q^{a-s}z^1 \frac{[1]_q}{[a]_q} h + \chi(\tau^{-1})q^{-b}z^1 \frac{[1]_q}{[b]_q} h = \frac{[a+b]_q}{[a]_q[b]_q} h.$$

We may now compute the sum of the contributions from all four cases:

$$\left( -[2]_q + \frac{[a-1]_q}{[a]_q} + \frac{[b-1]_q}{[b]_q} + \frac{[a+b]_q}{[a]_q[b]_q} \right) h = 0.$$

Thus the coefficient of  $\nu$  in  $\eta^* * \mathbf{v}$  vanishes and (2) follows.  $\square$

We next prove the following consequence of Theorem (3.4) for the cell modules of the finite Temperley-Lieb algebras. In discussing these, we think of  $\mathbf{t}\#\mathbf{n}$  as the fundamental rectangle  $\ell(\{0\} \times \mathbf{t}) \cup u(\{0\} \times \mathbf{n})$  of  $(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{t})\#(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{n})$  as explained in the discussion after (1.3).

(3.5) COROLLARY. Let  $R$  be a field with an invertible element  $q$ . Let  $t, s$  be non-negative integers of the same parity such that  $t \leq s$ . Then there is a natural transformation  $\theta: W_s \rightarrow W_{t, \infty}$  of  $\mathbf{T}$ -modules (see (2.2) and (2.11.1)) whose component at  $n$  applied to a finite monic diagram  $\nu: s \rightarrow n$  is given by

$$(3.5.1) \quad \theta_n(\nu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu: t \rightarrow s \\ \text{standard}}} h_{\mu}^f(q) \nu \mu$$

where  $h_{\mu}^f(x)$  is the polynomial associated in (3.3) to the subforest of  $F(\phi_{\mu})$  formed by the orbits of  $\phi_{\mu}$  which intersect the fundamental rectangle  $\mathbf{t}\#n$  non-trivially.

*Proof.* This result may be established by a computation similar to the one above. However we shall deduce it from Theorem (3.4). First we give a different construction for  $W_{t, \infty}$ . Let  $t$  and  $s$  be as above and choose  $l', k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $k' + l' > s$  and  $l' = t + k'$ . Set  $m = l' + s + k'$  and  $z = q^{m/2}$ . Define an embedding  $\bar{\cdot}: \mathbf{T}(s) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^a(m)$  by mapping  $f_i$  to  $\bar{f}_i := f_{i+l'}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$ . We say that a monic diagram  $\mu: 0 \rightarrow m$  is distinguished if  $|\mu| = 0$  and the involution  $\phi_{\mu}$  does not interchange two elements of  $u(\mathbf{l}')$  or two elements of  $u(\{m, m-1, \dots, m-k'+1\})$ . There is a one to one correspondence  $\psi$  between distinguished diagrams  $\mu: 0 \rightarrow m$  and standard diagrams  $\nu: t \rightarrow s$ ;  $\mu$  corresponds to  $\nu$  when  $\phi_{\nu}$  interchanges vertices  $u(i)$  and  $u(j)$  in  $u(s)$  iff  $\phi_{\mu}$  interchanges  $u(i+l')$  with  $u(j+l')$  in  $u(\mathbf{m})$ . This defines  $\mu$  completely, since  $\text{lft}(\mu)$  contains  $u(\mathbf{l}')$ , so that  $\text{lft}(\mu)$  is determined, whence  $\mu$  is, by (1.11).

Suppose  $\alpha: s \rightarrow s$  is finite and  $\mu: 0 \rightarrow m$  is standard. Then  $\bar{\alpha} \circ \mu$  is distinguished ( $: 0 \rightarrow m$ ) only if  $\mu$  is distinguished. Hence the  $R$ -submodule  $M$  of  $W_{0,z}(m)$  spanned by the non-distinguished standard diagrams  $\mu: 0 \rightarrow m$  is invariant under  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ . The  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ -module  $W_{0,z}(m)/M$  has basis  $\mu + M$  indexed by distinguished diagrams  $\mu: 0 \rightarrow m$ , which may be identified using the map  $\psi$  above with the standard diagrams  $: t \rightarrow s$ . This identification may be extended  $R$ -linearly to an isomorphism  $\psi: W_{0,z}(m)/M \rightarrow W_{t, \infty}(s)$  of  $\mathbf{T}(s)$ -modules.

Now Theorem (3.4) provides an explicit natural transformation  $\theta: W_{m,1} \rightarrow W_{0,z}$ . The image  $\theta_m(\text{id}_m) = \mathbf{v}$  is given by (3.4.1). Let  $\mathbf{w} = \theta_s^f(\text{id}_s)$ ; i.e.  $\mathbf{w}$  is the right hand side of (3.5.1) with  $\nu = \text{id}_s$ . Then it is easily checked that the isomorphism  $\psi$  takes  $\mathbf{v} + M$  to  $\mathbf{w}$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{w}$  is annihilated by any non-monic finite diagram and consequently, by an argument similar to that which follows (3.4.2), that the family  $\{\theta_n\}$  of homomorphisms given by (3.5.1) defines a natural transformation between the functors  $W_s$  and  $W_{t, \infty}$ .  $\square$

(3.6) COROLLARY. *In addition to the hypotheses of the previous corollary, assume that  $q^2$  has finite order  $l > 1$ . If  $t < s < t + 2l$  and  $s + t \equiv -2 \pmod{2l}$ , then there is a natural transformation  $\theta: W_s \rightarrow W_t$  of  $\mathbf{T}$ -modules whose component at  $n$  is*

$$(3.6.1) \quad \theta_n^f(\nu) = \sum_{\substack{\mu: t \rightarrow s \\ \text{monic} \\ \text{finite}}} h_{F(\mu)}(q) \nu \mu$$

where  $h_{F(\mu)}(x)$  is the polynomial of (3.3) for the forest of (3.2)(1) associated to the planar involution  $\phi_\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu: t \rightarrow s$  be a monic affine diagram and consider the forest  $A$  of orbits of  $\phi_\mu$  which intersect  $\mathbf{t}\#s$  non-trivially, as in (3.5). Let  $B$  be the ideal of  $A$  generated by those  $\phi_\mu$ -orbits which contain a lower vertex, and let  $C = A \setminus B$ . If  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ , then  $x \not\preceq y$  and  $x \not\preceq y$ . It follows that

$$h_A(x) = h_B(x)h_C(x) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}_x$$

where  $h_A(x)$ ,  $h_B(x)$  and  $h_C(x)$  are the Laurent polynomials associated by Proposition (3.3) to the forests  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  of cardinality  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c = a - b$  respectively. Since  $c \leq (s - t)/2 < l$ , the denominator  $[r!]_x$  of the Gaussian binomial coefficient does not vanish when we set  $x$  equal to  $q$  and we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[a]_q \dots [b + 1]_q}{[c]_q \dots [1]_q}.$$

If  $\mu$  has nonzero rank, then  $a = (t + s)/2 + |\mu| \geq (t + s)/2 + 1 > b$ . Since  $2l$  divides  $s + t + 2$ , the numerator vanishes and so  $h_{F(\mu)}(q) = h_A(q) = 0$ .

Thus the image  $\theta_s^f(\text{id})$  of (3.5.1) actually lies in the submodule  $W_{t,\infty}^{t+2}(s)$  which is canonically isomorphic to  $W_t(s)$ . Therefore the right side of (3.6.1) (with  $n = s$  and  $\nu = \text{id}_s$ ) is annihilated by non-monic diagrams, and so the argument following (3.4.2) shows that (3.6.1) defines a natural transformation.  $\square$

The next result gives an explicit closed formula for the ‘‘Jones’’ or ‘‘augmentation’’ idempotent in the singular case, i.e. when  $q$  is a root of unity. There are recursive [We] and partial results concerning formulae for this idempotent, but to our knowledge, the closed formula we give below is new (see also [Li]).

(3.7) COROLLARY. Assume that  $q^2$  has (multiplicative) order  $l$  in  $R$ . Then the primitive idempotent (sometimes referred to as the Jones or augmentation idempotent)  $e \in \mathbf{T}(l-1)$  which is associated with the trivial representation of  $\mathbf{T}(l-1)$  is given by

$$e = \sum_{\alpha} h_{F(\alpha)}(q)\alpha$$

where the sum is over finite diagrams  $\alpha: l-1 \rightarrow l-1$  and  $h_{F(\alpha)}(x)$  is the polynomial associated to the forest of orbits of  $\phi_{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* It clearly suffices to prove that for any non-identity finite diagram  $\beta: l-1 \rightarrow l-1$ , we have  $\beta * e = e * \beta = 0$ . Now the finite diagrams  $\alpha: l-1 \rightarrow l-1$  are in canonical bijection with finite diagrams  $\alpha': 0 \rightarrow 2l-2$ ; to see this, imagine the line of lower vertices of  $\alpha$  rotated clockwise until it is collinear with the line of upper vertices of  $\alpha$ , giving a graph for  $\alpha'$ . Moreover if  $\alpha, \beta$  are two finite diagrams  $: l-1 \rightarrow l-1$ , it is easily verified that

$$(3.7.1) \quad (\alpha\beta)' = \beta^* \circ \alpha'$$

where  $\beta^* \in \mathbf{T}(l-1)$ , regarded as a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{T}(2l-2)$  in the usual way i.e. as the subalgebra generated by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{l-2}\} \in \mathbf{T}(2l-2)$ . By (3.6), there is a homomorphism  $\theta: W_{2l-2}(2l-2) \rightarrow W_0(2l-2)$  with image the  $R$ -span of  $e' := \sum_{\substack{\alpha': 0 \rightarrow 2l-2 \\ \text{finite}}} h_{F(\alpha')}(q)\alpha'$ . But under the identification above,

$h_{F(\alpha)}(q) = h_{F(\alpha')}(q)$  for any finite diagram  $\alpha: l-1 \rightarrow l-1$ . Hence under the identification,  $e'$  corresponds to the element  $e$  of the statement. But  $\mathbf{T}(l-1)$  clearly acts on this image via the trivial representation. By (3.7.1), it follows that  $\mathbf{T}(l-1)$  acts on  $Re$  via the trivial representation as required.  $\square$

(3.8) REMARK. Part of the significance of (3.7) derives from the fact that the element  $e$  is known to generate the radical of Jones' trace function [J1] on the Temperley-Lieb algebra  $\mathbf{T}(N)$  (for any  $N$ ),  $\mathbf{T}(l-1)$  being regarded as a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{T}(N)$  as explained in the proof of (3.7) and therefore yields a presentation of Jones' projection algebra.

More specifically, Jones (op. cit.) showed that there is a unique trace  $tr: \mathbf{T}(N) \rightarrow R$  which satisfies  $tr(1) = 1$  and  $tr(xf_i) = \delta^{-1}tr(x)$  for any element  $x \in \mathbf{T}(i) \subseteq \mathbf{T}(i+1)$ . This trace defines an Hermitian (or bilinear) form on  $\mathbf{T}(N)$ , which is known to be degenerate if and only if  $l \leq N+1$ , i.e.  $N \geq l-1$ . When the Jones form is degenerate, the element  $e \in TL(l-1)$  generates (as ideal of  $\mathbf{T}(N)$ ) its radical. Jones' projection algebra  $A_{N,\beta}$  [J1] is defined as the quotient of  $\mathbf{T}(N)$  by this ideal; hence we obtain an presentation

for  $A_{N,\beta}$  by simply adding the relation  $e = 0$  to the usual presentation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra. For a discussion of other contexts for  $e$ , see [MV].

We remark also that it follows from (3.6) (cf. also §5 below) and the theory of cellular algebras that  $\mathbf{T}(N)$  is non-semisimple if and only if  $N \geq l$ . Thus the case  $N = l - 1$  is distinguished as the unique one where  $\mathbf{T}(N)$  is semisimple, but the Jones form is degenerate.

(3.9) REMARK concerning the Jones (annular) algebras. Since the Jones algebra  $\mathbf{J}(n)$  (see (2.10) above) is a quotient of the algebra  $\mathbf{T}^a(n)$ , any  $\mathbf{J}(n)$ -module lifts to a  $\mathbf{T}^a(n)$ -module. The  $W_{t,z}(n)$  which correspond to  $\mathbf{J}(n)$ -modules in this way are those where  $z^t = 1$  and  $t > 0$  (2.10). Now the conditions  $z^2 = q^s$  and  $y = zq^{-k}$  (where  $s = t + 2k$ ) of Theorem (3.4) imply (if  $t > 0$ ) that  $z^t = 1$  if and only if  $y^s = 1$ . Hence if  $z^t = 1$ , the modules  $W_{t,z}(n)$  and  $W_{s,y}(n)$  of (3.4) may be thought of as  $\mathbf{J}(n)$ -modules and the map  $\theta_n$  as a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{J}(n)$ -modules. If  $t = 0$ ,  $z = q$  and the order  $l$  of  $q^2$  is finite, then Theorem (3.4) provides a homomorphism  $W_{s,y} \rightarrow W_{0,q}/M: x \mapsto x + M$  where  $s = 2l - 2$ ,  $y = q^l (= \pm 1)$  and  $M$  is the module defined in (2.9).

§4. DISCRIMINANTS

(4.1) DEFINITION. Throughout this section  $R$  denotes the function field  $\mathbf{Q}(q)$  and we consider the affine Temperley-Lieb algebras over the ring  $R[z, z^{-1}]$  of Laurent polynomials. If  $t \leq s$  are non-negative integers of the same parity define

$$[t; s]_x := \left[ \begin{matrix} s \\ (s - t)/2 \end{matrix} \right]_x.$$

The goal of this section is to compute the *discriminant* of the bilinear pairing

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle_{t,z}: W_{t,z}^s(n) \times W_{t,z^{-1}}^s(n) \rightarrow R \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

This is the determinant of the *gram matrix*  $G_{t,z}^s(n)$  with entries  $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle_{t,z}$  indexed by pairs of standard monic diagrams  $: t \rightarrow n$  of rank (strictly) less than  $(s - t)/2$ . Recall from (2.12) that these diagrams span a  $\mathbf{T}(n)$ -submodule  $W_{t,z}^s(n)$  of  $W_{t,z}(n)$  and that these submodules form an increasing filtration of  $W_{t,z}(n)$  as  $s$  increases. When  $n < s$ , we write  $G_{t,z}(n)$  for this matrix, because it is then independent of  $s$ . Similarly define the gram matrix  $G_{t,0}^s(n)$  for the pairing  $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{t,0}: W_{t,0}^s(n) \times W_{t,\infty}^s(n) \rightarrow R$  and let  $G_t(n)$  denote the gram matrix of  $\langle \ , \ \rangle_t: W_t(n) \times W_t(n) \rightarrow R$  with respect to the basis of finite, monic diagrams. We maintain the standard notation  $s - t = 2k$ .