

5.2 Two and Three Dimensions

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **42 (1996)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **26.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

If Y is homotopy-equivalent to $\mathbf{R}P^3\#\mathbf{R}P^3$ then $\pi_1(Y)$ is amenable, which is a contradiction. So we must be in the second case. Using Property 3, we may assume that $Y = Y'$. Then as Y is prime, it follows from [24, Chapter 1] that either $Y = S^1 \times D^2$ or Y has incompressible (or empty) boundary. If $Y = S^1 \times D^2$ then $\pi_1(Y)$ is amenable. If Y has incompressible (or empty) boundary then from [21, Theorem 0.1.5], $\alpha_2(Y) \leq 2$ unless Y is a closed 3-manifold with an \mathbf{R}^3 , $\mathbf{R} \times S^2$ or Sol geometric structure. In the latter cases, Γ is amenable. Thus in any case, we get a contradiction. \square

The next proposition gives examples of big groups.

PROPOSITION 14.

1. *A product of two nonamenable groups is big.*
2. *If Y is a closed nonpositively-curved locally symmetric space of dimension greater than three, with no Euclidean factors in \tilde{Y} , then $\pi_1(Y)$ is big.*

Proof. 1. Suppose that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with Γ_1 and Γ_2 nonamenable. Then Γ is nonamenable. Let K_1 and K_2 be presentation complexes with fundamental groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively. Put $K = K_1 \times K_2$. Then $\Gamma = \pi_1(K)$. Let $\Delta_p(\tilde{K})$, $\Delta_p(\tilde{K}_1)$ and $\Delta_p(\tilde{K}_2)$ denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on p -cochains on \tilde{K} , \tilde{K}_1 and \tilde{K}_2 , respectively, as defined in Subsection 5.2 below. Then

$$(5.4) \quad \inf(\sigma(\Delta_1(\tilde{K}))) = \min(\inf(\sigma(\Delta_1(\tilde{K}_1))) + \inf(\sigma(\Delta_0(\tilde{K}_2))), \inf(\sigma(\Delta_0(\tilde{K}_1))) + \inf(\sigma(\Delta_1(\tilde{K}_2)))) > 0.$$

Using Proposition 11, the first part of the proposition follows.

2. If \tilde{Y} is irreducible then part 2. of the proposition follows from the second remark after Proposition 7. If \tilde{Y} is reducible then we can use an argument similar to (5.4). \square

REMARK. Let Γ be an infinite finitely-presented discrete group with Kazhdan's property T. From [6, p. 47], $H^1(\Gamma; \ell^2(\Gamma)) = 0$. This implies that Γ is nonamenable and $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$. We do not know if it is necessarily true that $\alpha_2(\Gamma) = \infty^+$.

5.2 TWO AND THREE DIMENSIONS

In this subsection we relate the zero-in-the-spectrum question to a question in combinatorial group theory. Let K be a finite connected 2-dimensional

CW-complex. Let \tilde{K} be its universal cover. Let $C^*(\tilde{K})$ denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable cellular cochains on \tilde{K} . There is a cochain complex

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C^0(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{d_0} C^1(\tilde{K}) \xrightarrow{d_1} C^2(\tilde{K}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define the Laplace-Beltrami operators by $\Delta_0 = d_0^*d_0$, $\Delta_1 = d_0d_0^* + d_1^*d_1$ and $\Delta_2 = d_1d_1^*$. These are bounded self-adjoint operators and so we can talk about zero being in the spectrum of \tilde{K} .

PROPOSITION 15. *Zero is not in the spectrum of \tilde{K} if and only if $\pi_1(K)$ is big and $\chi(K) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that zero is not in the spectrum of \tilde{K} . From the analog of Proposition 11, Γ must be big. Furthermore, from Properties 1 and 7, $\chi(K) = 0$.

Now suppose that $\pi_1(K)$ is big and $\chi(K) = 0$. From the analog of Proposition 11, $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_0)$ and $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$. In particular, $\text{Ker}(\Delta_0) = \text{Ker}(\Delta_1) = 0$. From Properties 1 and 7, $\text{Ker}(\Delta_2) = 0$. As $C^2(\tilde{K}) = \text{Ker}(\Delta_2) \oplus d_1C^1(\tilde{K})$, we conclude that $0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_2)$. \square

Let Γ be a finitely-presented group. Consider a fixed presentation of Γ consisting of g generators and r relations. Let K be the corresponding presentation complex. Then $\chi(K) = 1 - g + r$. Thus zero is not in the spectrum of \tilde{K} if and only if $\pi_1(K)$ is big and $g - r = 1$.

Recall that the *deficiency* $\text{def}(\Gamma)$ is defined to be the maximum, over all finite presentations of Γ , of $g - r$. If $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ then from the equation

$$(5.6) \quad \chi(K) = 1 - g + r = b_0^{(2)}(\Gamma) - b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + b_2^{(2)}(K),$$

we obtain $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1$. This is the case, for example, when Γ is big or when Γ is amenable [5].

As any finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complex is homotopy-equivalent to a presentation complex, it follows from Proposition 15 that the answer to the zero-in-the-spectrum question is “yes” for universal covers of such complexes if and only if the following conjecture is true.

CONJECTURE 1. *If Γ is a big group then $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$.*

REMARK. If $\pi_1(K)$ has property T then the ordinary first Betti number of K vanishes [6], and so $\chi(K) = 1 + b_2(K) > 0$. Thus zero lies in the spectrum of \tilde{K} .

Now let Y be a 3-manifold satisfying the conditions of Proposition 13. If $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, we define Δ_p on \tilde{Y} using absolute boundary conditions on $\partial\tilde{Y}$.

PROPOSITION 16. *Zero lies in the spectrum of \tilde{Y} .*

Proof. This is a consequence of Propositions 11 and 13. \square

5.3 FOUR DIMENSIONS

In this subsection we relate the zero-in-the-spectrum question to a question about Euler characteristics of closed 4-dimensional manifolds.

If M is a Riemannian 4-manifold then the Hodge decomposition gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.7) \quad \Lambda^0(M) &= \text{Ker}(\Delta_0) \oplus \Lambda^0(M) / \text{Ker}(d), \\
 \Lambda^1(M) &= \text{Ker}(\Delta_1) \oplus \overline{d\Lambda^0(M)} \oplus \Lambda^1(M) / \text{Ker}(d), \\
 \Lambda^2(M) &= \text{Ker}(\Delta_2) \oplus \overline{d\Lambda^1(M)} \oplus \overline{*d\Lambda^1(M)}, \\
 \Lambda^3(M) &= *\text{Ker}(\Delta_1) \oplus \overline{*d\Lambda^0(M)} \oplus *(\Lambda^1(M) / \text{Ker}(d)), \\
 \Lambda^4(M) &= *\text{Ker}(\Delta_0) \oplus *(\Lambda^0(M) / \text{Ker}(d)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for the zero-in-the-spectrum question, it is enough to consider $\text{Ker}(\Delta_0)$, $\text{Ker}(\Delta_1)$, $\sigma(\Delta_0 \text{ on } \Lambda^0 / \text{Ker}(d))$, $\sigma(\Delta_1 \text{ on } \Lambda^1 / \text{Ker}(d))$ and $\text{Ker}(\Delta_2)$.

Let Γ be a finitely-presented group. Recall that Γ is the fundamental group of some closed 4-manifold. To see this, take a finite presentation of Γ . Embed the resulting presentation complex in \mathbf{R}^5 and take the boundary of a regular neighborhood to get the manifold.

Now consider the Euler characteristics of all closed 4-manifolds X with fundamental group Γ . Given X , we have $\chi(X\#\mathbf{C}P^2) = \chi(X) + 1$. Thus it is easy to make the Euler characteristic big. However, it is not so easy to make it small. From what has been said,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.8) \quad &\{\chi(X) : X \text{ is a closed connected oriented 4-manifold with} \\
 &\pi_1(X) = \Gamma\} = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} : n \geq q(\Gamma)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $q(\Gamma)$. *A priori* $q(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, but in fact $q(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{Z}$ [17, Theorem 1]. (This also follows from (5.9) below.) It is a basic problem in 4-manifold topology to get good estimates of $q(\Gamma)$.

Suppose that $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$. From Properties 4, 7 and 8 above,

$$(5.9) \quad \chi(X) = 2b_0^{(2)}(\Gamma) - 2b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + b_2^{(2)}(X).$$

In particular, if $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ then $\chi(X) \geq 0$ and so $q(\Gamma) \geq 0$. This is the case, for example, when Γ is big or when Γ is amenable [5].