Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 42 (1996)

Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES, ELLIPTIC OPERATORS AND COMPACT GROUP ACTIONS

Autor: HEITSCH, James L.

Kapitel: 3. The Lefschetz fixed point formula

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-87879

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 16.10.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

3. The Lefschetz fixed point formula

Endomorphisms of elliptic complexes

A collection $T = (T_0, \ldots, T_k)$ of complex linear maps $T_i : C^\infty(E_i) \to C^\infty(E_i)$ is an endomorphism of the complex (E, d) provided

$$T_{i+1} \cdot d_i = d_i \cdot T_i$$

for all i. The T_i then induce linear maps

$$T_i^* : H^i(E, d) \to H^i(E, d).$$

When M is compact, $H^i(E, d)$ is finite dimensional, and we may form $\operatorname{tr}(T_i^*)$. We define the Lefschetz number $L(T)$ of the endomorphism T to be

$$L(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(T_i^*).$$

We are interested in the so called *geometric endomorphisms*. To define these, let $f : M \to M$ be a smooth map and for $i = 0, \ldots, k$, suppose that $A_i : f^*E_i \to E_i$ is a smooth bundle map. Then for each $x \in M$, we have a linear map

$$A_{i,x} : E_{i,f(x)} \to E_{i,x}$$

from the fiber of E_i over $f(x)$, which is the fiber of f^*E_i over x, to $E_{i,x}$ the fiber of E_i over x. For any $s \in C^\infty(E_i)$, we define $T_i s \in C^\infty(E_i)$ by

$$(T_i s)(x) = A_{i,x} \cdot s\big(f(x)\big).$$

We assume that the A_i are chosen so that the T_i define an endomorphism of (E, d). For a geometric endomorphism associated to f, the Lefschetz number is denoted $L(f)$.

Two Examples

1) The classic example is that of a smooth map acting on the de Rham complex. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(E, d) &= \text{the de Rham complex of } M \\
f &= \text{an arbitrary map.} \\
A_i &= i\text{th exterior power of the adjoint, } df^*, \\
&\quad \text{of the differential } df \text{ of } f, \text{ extended to } T^*_{\mathbf{C}}\, M \\
A_{i,x} &= \wedge^i df_x^* : \wedge^i T^*_{\mathbf{C}} M_{f(x)} \to \wedge^i T^*_{\mathbf{C}}\, M_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Then T_i is the familiar $f_i^* : C^\infty(\wedge^i T^*_{\mathbf{C}}M) \to C^\infty(\wedge^i T^*_{\mathbf{C}}M)$

2) An action of a compact Lie group G on a Spin manifold M is an action of G by orientation preserving isometries which preserves the given Spin structure on M. G then acts on E^+ and E^- and so also on $C^\infty(E^+)$ and $C^\infty(E^-)$ and this action commutes with D^+. Thus each $g \in G$ is an endomorphism of $(E^\pm, D^+)$ and $g \to L(g)$ defines a character of the group G. When $g = 1$, this is just the Spinor index of M.

Our aim is to relate the Lefschetz number of a geometric endomorphism to invariants defined on the fixed point set of f. To do so we need f to be non-degenerate along its fixed point set in the sense that at each fixed point p, $df_p : TM_p \to TM_p$ has no eigen vectors with eigenvalue $+1$ in directions *transverse* to the fixed point set. Such fixed points are called non-degenerate. Note in particular that $f = id_M$ satisfies this condition !

THEOREM 3.1 (The Lefschetz Theorem, [AB], [AS]). *Let f and (E, d) be as above and T a geometric endomorphism of (E, d) for f. Assume that M is compact and oriented and let M_f be the fixed point set of f. Then $L(f)$ is given by an integral over M_f of characteristic cohomology classes on M_f determined by local data on M_f.*

The general formula for L(f) is quite complicated. We will give the formula for the Spin case (see [AH], p. 20). Let G be as in 2. above acting on a compact Spin manifold M of dimension $n = 2\ell$. Fix $g \in G$. The normal bundle V_g of M_g in M has a canonical decomposition invariant under g,

$$V_g = \bigoplus_\lambda V_g(\lambda)$$

where $\lambda \in S^1 \subset \mathbf{C}$ and g acts on $V_g(\lambda)$ by multiplication by λ. Only a finite number of λ actually occur and we assume $\lambda = -1$ does not occur. (In applications it does not). Thus V_g is a complex bundle and V_g and M_g are canonically oriented. For every complex number $z \neq 1$, set

$$Q_z(x) = z^{1/2} e^{-x/2} / (1 - z e^{-x}) .$$

Denote the associated multiplicative sequence by $B(\ , z)$. Because of the factor of $z^{1/2}$, it is only defined up to sign. In [AH], p.21 it is explained how to remove this ambiguity. Then

$$L(g) = (-1)^\ell \int_{M_g} \widehat{A}(TM_g) \cdot \prod_\lambda B\big(V_g(\lambda), \lambda\big) .$$

Outline of the proof of the Lefschetz Theorem

We outline a proof which does not rely in an essential way on the compactness of M. This allows us to generalize these results to complexes and endomorphisms defined along the leaves of a foliation of a compact manifold even though the leaves may be non-compact. A general reference for the material in this section is [RS].

We begin by redefining $e^{-t\Delta_i}$. Let C be the curve in the complex plane

$$C = \{z = (x, y) \mid y^2 = x + 1\}$$

and set

$$e^{-t\Delta_i} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{e^{-t\lambda}}{(\lambda I - \Delta_i)} d\lambda ,$$

i.e.

$$(e^{-t\Delta_i} s)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{-t\lambda} \left[(\lambda I - \Delta_i)^{-1} s\right](x)\, d\lambda$$

for $s \in L^2(E_i)$. A Riemannian manifold has bounded geometry if its curvature is bounded and its injectivity radius is bounded away from zero. On any complete manifold of bounded geometry, $(\lambda I - \Delta_i)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(E_i)$ for all $\lambda \in C$ so $e^{-t\Delta_i}$ is defined.

Note that when M is compact, this agrees with our previous definition. To see this, use Cauchy's Theorem to show that the two definitions agree on an orthonormal basis.

Some facts about $e^{-t\Delta_i}$

Assume that M is a complete manifold of bounded geometry. Then

1. As before, $e^{-t\Delta_i}$ is a smoothing operator with smooth Schwartz kernel $k_t^i(x, y)$ (ref. [S]), so if M is compact, it is of trace class.
2. $\pi_{\ker \Delta_i}$, the projection onto the kernel of Δ_i, is a smoothing operator, so if M is compact, it is of trace class.
3. $\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-t\Delta_i} = \pi_{\ker \Delta_i}$ in the strong operator topology, so if M is compact, it follows that
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t\Delta_i}) = \operatorname{tr}(\pi_{\ker \Delta_i})$$
4. Let T_i be as in the Lefschetz Theorem. Then $T_i e^{-t\Delta_i}$ is a smoothing operator with Schwartz kernel
$$k_t^{T_i}(x, y) = A_{i,x} k_t^i\big(f(x), y\big) ,$$

and if M is compact it has trace

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_i \cdot e^{-t\Delta_i}) = \int_M \operatorname{tr}\big(k_t^{T_i}(x,x)\big)dx\,.$$

5. As $t \to 0$, if $x \neq y$, $k_t^i(x,y) \to 0$ to infinite order and this convergence is uniform in distance (x,y). Roughly speaking, this is because as $t \to 0$, $e^{-t\Delta_i} \to I$, and its Schwartz kernel is converging to the distribution on $M \times M$ which on each $\{x\} \times M$ is the Dirac δ distribution at x. Thus if $f(x) \neq x$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \operatorname{tr}\big(k_t^{T_i}(x,x)\big) = \lim_{t\to 0} \operatorname{tr}\big(A_{i,x}k_t^i\big(f(x),x\big)\big) = 0\,,$$

and given $\varepsilon > 0$, this convergence is uniform for all x with distance $\big(x,f(x)\big) > \varepsilon$.

Now suppose that M is compact and consider

$$A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(T_i \cdot e^{-t\Delta_i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \int_M \operatorname{tr}\big(k_t^{T_i}(x,x)\big)dx\,.$$

By 5. above, $\lim_{t\to 0} A(t)$ can be computed by integrating only over a neighborhood of the fixed point set M_f of f. This integration can be done using only *local* information about (E,d), f and T_i on M_f. Thus $\lim_{t\to 0} A(t)$ gives the right hand side of the Lefschetz Theorem.

6. By 3. above and the fact that $\ker \Delta_i \simeq H^i(E,d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{tr}(T_i e^{-t\Delta_i}) &= \operatorname{tr}(T_i \cdot \pi_{\ker \Delta_i})\\ &= \operatorname{tr}(T_i \cdot \pi^2_{\ker \Delta_i})\\ &= \operatorname{tr}(\pi_{\ker \Delta_i} \cdot T_i \cdot \pi_{\ker \Delta_i})\\ &= \operatorname{tr}(T_i^*)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} A(t)$ gives the left hand side of the Lefschetz Theorem, so to complete the proof of the Lefschetz Theorem we need only show:

THEOREM 3.2. $A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\,(T_i \cdot e^{-t\Delta_i})$ *is independent of* t.

Proof. Set

$$\phi(\Delta_i) = e^{-t_1\Delta_i} - e^{-t_2\Delta_i} = \Delta_i\psi(\Delta_i)$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \frac{e^{-t_1 x} - e^{-t_2 x}}{x}.$$

Now formally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(T_i e^{-t_1\Delta_i}) - \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(T_i e^{-t_2\Delta_i}) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_i \phi(\Delta_i)\big) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_i \Delta_i \psi(\Delta_i)\big) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_i d_{i-1} d_i^* \psi(\Delta_i)\big) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_i d_{i+1}^* d_i \psi(\Delta_i)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

We now show that the first sum is the negative of the second.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_i d_{i-1} d_i^* \psi(\Delta_i)\big) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(d_{i-1} T_{i-1} d_i^* \psi(\Delta_i)\big) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(T_{i-1} d_i^* \psi(\Delta_i) d_{i-1}\big) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(T_{i-1} d_i^* d_{i-1} \psi(\Delta_{i-1})) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{i+1} \operatorname{tr}(T_i d_{i+1}^* d_i \psi(\Delta_i))
\end{aligned}$$

and done. Of course this manipulation is purely formal and must be justified as we are working with operators on infinite dimensional spaces and not on finite dimensional ones. For this, see [ABP] and [HL 1]. Note also that in order to have a Lefschetz Theorem for complete manifolds of bounded geometry, it is only necessary to find an appropriate trace for which the above results hold.