**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
**Band:** 41 (1995)
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** HIGHER EULER CHARACTERISTICS (I)
**Autor:** Geoghegan, Ross / Nicas, Andrew
**Kapitel:** 3. SOME CALCULATIONS
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-61816

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 16.10.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

## 3. Some calculations

In this section we give some computations of $\chi_1(X)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1(X)$ which make use of explicit cell decompositions of the universal cover, $\tilde{X}$, of $X$. The simplest non-trivial example is the circle, $X = S^1$, which is treated in (A). In (B) we consider aspherical 2-complexes, $X$, arising from groups with two generators and one defining relation. In (C), $X$ is a 3-dimensional lens space with odd order fundamental group; in fact, the computation there is already implicit in [$\mathrm{GN}_1$, §5(B)]. In (D), $X$ is the real projective plane.

### (A) Finite graphs

A finite connected 1-complex, $X$, is aspherical so by Propositions 1.3 and 2.4, $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathscr{E}(X), \mathrm{id})$ is trivial unless $X$ has the homotopy type of $S^1$. Take $X$ to be $S^1$ with one 0-cell, $v$, and one 1-cell, $e$. Then $\tilde{X}$ is the real line with the usual CW structure. Orient $v$ by $+1$ and $e$ by $u \mapsto e^{2\pi i u}$. Let $t \in T \equiv \pi_1(S^1, v)$ be represented by the loop $u \mapsto e^{-2\pi i u}$ (this generator of $T$ has been chosen for compatibility with §6). Recall that we use the right action of $T$, so

$$\tilde{\partial} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t-1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\tilde{D}^{[R_1]}$ corresponding to positive rotation, $R_1 : S^1 \times I \to S^1$, through $2\pi$ (the first "tumble" in the language of §6) is

$$\tilde{D}^{[R_1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

note that the Sign Convention of §1 is used here. Thus $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_1(S^1)([R_1])$ is represented by $(t-1) \otimes 1$ which is homologous to $t \otimes 1$, and $\chi_1(S^1)([R_1]) = \{t\}$. Now, $[R_1]$ generates the infinite cyclic group $\Gamma$. Making the standard identifications of $\Gamma$ and $T$ with $\mathbf{Z}$ (i.e. identifying $[R_1]$ and $t^{-1}$ with $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$), we obtain:

*Example 3.1.* $\chi_1(S^1) : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is multiplication by $-1$.

*Remark.* The circle belongs to the classes of spaces considered in §4 and §6, so the methods there also apply.

### (B) Groups with two generators and one relation

Let $X$ be a finite 2-complex with one vertex, $v$, and one 2-cell, $e^2$. We further assume that $X$ is aspherical. By Lyndon's theorem [Ly], this is the case if and only if the element of the free group defined by the

attaching map of the 2-cell is not a proper power. As in (A), the group $\Gamma \cong Z(\pi_1(X, v))$ is trivial unless $X$ has two 1-cells, $e_1^1$ and $e_2^1$ (otherwise $\chi(X) \neq 0$), so we assume this.

The case when $X$ is homotopy equivalent to the 2-torus is exceptional. The following calculation is a special case of Example 6.15. Alternatively, the same result can be obtained by the method of Example 3.8 below. See also Corollary 4.8.

*Example 3.2.* Let $X$ be homotopy equivalent to the 2-torus. Then $\tilde{\chi}_1(X) = 0$. Consequently, Proposition 2.8 implies $\chi_1(X) = 0$.

In all (aspherical) cases other than the 2-torus, $\Gamma$ is known to be either trivial or infinite cyclic [Mu].

Orient $v$ by $+1$, and choose orientations for the the other cells. There is a corresponding presentation $\langle x_1, x_2 \mid r \rangle$ of $G = \pi_1(X, v)$, where $x_i$ denotes the element of $G$ represented by the oriented loop $e_i^1$, and $r$ is the attaching word in $\{x_i^{\pm}\}$ with respect to the chosen orientation on $e^2$. Choose lifts of the cells so that:

$$\tilde{\partial}_1(\tilde{e}_i^1) = (x_i - 1)\tilde{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\partial}_2(\tilde{e}^2) = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}\tilde{e}_1^1 + \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_2}\tilde{e}_2^1 .$$

We have written these in terms of the left action of $G$ because we are using the free differential calculus [B, p. 45] which is traditionally done in terms of left actions. We will then convert to right actions using the involution $*: \mathbf{Z}G \to \mathbf{Z}G$, $\sum_i n_i g_i \mapsto \sum_i n_i g_i^{-1}$.

For $\gamma \in Z(G)$, there is a unique (up to homotopy) cellular homotopy $F^{\gamma}: \mathrm{id}_X \to \mathrm{id}_X$. The track of the basepoint presents $\gamma$ as a word in $\{x_i^{\pm}\}$, and

$$\tilde{D}_0^{\gamma}(\tilde{v}) = -\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}\tilde{e}_1^1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}\tilde{e}_2^1 .$$

There are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbf{Z}G$ such that $\tilde{D}_1^{\gamma}(\tilde{e}_i) = \sigma_i \tilde{e}^2$. Thus the relevant matrices are:

$$\tilde{\partial}_1 = [x_1^{-1} - 1 \;\; x_2^{-1} - 1], \quad \tilde{\partial}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}\right)^* \\ \left(\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_2}\right)^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{D}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\left(\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}\right)^* \\ -\left(\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}\right)^* \end{bmatrix} .$$

and $\tilde{D}_1 = [\sigma_1^* \;\; \sigma_2^*]$. So $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_1(X)(\gamma)$ is represented by the chain:

$$\text{(3.3)} \quad \mathrm{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^{\gamma}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (x_i^{-1} - 1) \otimes \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_i}\right)^* + \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i}\right)^* \otimes \sigma_i^* \right] .$$

By Proposition 2.1, this implies:

$$\chi_1(X)(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ -\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_i}\right) A(x_i) - \varepsilon(\sigma_i) A\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i}\right)\right]$$

where $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}G \to Z$ is augmentation. For any $g \in G$ represented by the word $w$ in $\{x_i^{\pm}\}$, $A(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j}\right) A(x_j)$. Substituting, we get:

$$\chi_1(X)(\gamma) = -A(\gamma) - \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant 2} \varepsilon(\sigma_i)\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}\right) A(x_j) .$$

The fact that $\tilde{D}\tilde{\partial} - \tilde{\partial}\tilde{D} = \tilde{I}(1 - \eta_{\#}(\gamma)^{-1})$ yields six equations in $\mathbf{Z}G$. It is straightforward to check that when $\varepsilon$ is applied to these they reduce to:

LEMMA 3.4. *For all* $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2$, $\varepsilon(\sigma_i)\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_j}\right) = 0$. □

The chain complex $C_*(X)$ is $\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{Z}$ where

$$\partial_2(1) = \left[\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}\right), \quad \varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_2}\right)\right]$$

and $\partial_1 = 0$. If $H_2(X) = 0$ then $\partial_2 \neq 0$, and by Lemma 3.4, $\varepsilon(\sigma_1) = \varepsilon(\sigma_2) = 0$. Hence:

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If* $H_2(X) = 0$ *then* $\chi_1(X) = -A$. □

If $H_2(X) \neq 0$ then $\partial_2 = 0$. In this case we may regard $A(x_1)$ and $A(x_2)$ as a basis for the free abelian group $G_{\mathrm{ab}}$. Writing $H(r)$ for the *Fox Hessian* matrix of $r$, namely $H(r)_{ij} = \varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)$, and $H(r)^t$ for its transpose we have:

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If* $H_2(X) \neq 0$ *then*

$$\chi_1(X)(\gamma) = -A(\gamma) - [\varepsilon(\sigma_1)\ \varepsilon(\sigma_2)] H(r)^t \begin{bmatrix} A(x_1) \\ A(x_2) \end{bmatrix} . \quad \square$$

The matrix $H(r)$ can be computed once we are given the relation $r$. The integers $\varepsilon(\sigma_1)$ and $\varepsilon(\sigma_2)$ depend on $\gamma$; in general, they are hard to compute although we will do so in some special cases (see Examples 3.8 and 3.9 below).

The matrix $H(r)$ is determined by the cup product $H^1(X) \otimes H^1(X) \to H^2(X)$:

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Assume* $H_2(X) \neq 0$. *Let* $\{\bar{A}(x_1), \bar{A}(x_2)\}$ *be the dual basis for* $H^1(X)$. *Then* $H(r)_{ij} = (\bar{A}(x_i) \cup \bar{A}(x_j))([e^2])$; *hence:*

$$\chi_1(X)(\gamma) = -A(\gamma) - (\bar{A}(x_1) \cup \bar{A}(x_2))([e^2])(\varepsilon(\sigma_1)A(x_2) - \varepsilon(\sigma_2)A(x_1)) .$$

*Proof.* This is the same formula given by Definition $B_1$ (note that $H_*(X)$ is free abelian and so Definition $B_1$ applies to integral coefficients). A direct proof of Proposition 3.7 is also possible. □

*Example 3.8.* $G = \langle x_1, x_2 \mid x_2 x_1^m x_2^{-1} x_1^{-m} \rangle$, $m \geqslant 2$. Here, $Z(G)$ is generated by $x_1^m$, and $H_2(X) \neq 0$. One calculates: $\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_1} = (x_2 - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^i$, $\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_2} = 1 - x_1^m$, $\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^i$, $\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2} = 0$, $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = 1$. (Actually, one sees these values for the sigmas intuitively and then one checks that the resulting $\tilde{D}$ gives the right answer.) Thus $\tilde{X}_1(X)(x_1^m)$ is represented by the cycle $(x_1^{-1} - 1) \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^{-i} + (1 - x_1^{-m}) \otimes 1$ which is homologous to the canonical form: $x_1^{-1} \otimes x_1 \ (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_1^{-i}) + x_1^{m-1} \otimes x_1^{-(m-1)} x_1^{-m}$. It follows that (see §2) $\tilde{X}_1(X)(x_1^m) \in HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \oplus_{C \in G_1} H_1(Z(g_C))$ has $[x_1^{-i}]$-summand $-\{x_1\} \in H_1(Z(x_1^{-i}))$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, and $[x_1^{-m}]$-summand $(m-1)\{x_1\} \in H_1(G) = G_{ab}$; here, $[g]$ denotes the conjugacy class of $g$. By Proposition 2.1 (or 3.6), $\chi_1(X)(x_1^m) = 0$. It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{X}_1(X)$ is not an inner derivation. In particular, the first order Euler characteristic is zero, while $\tilde{\chi}_1(X) \neq 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.9. $G = \langle x_1, x_2 \mid x_1^m x_2^n \rangle$, $m \neq 0$ and $n \neq 0$. (If $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime, then $G$ is the group of the $(m, -n)$ torus knot.) Here, $Z(G)$ is generated by $x_1^m = x_2^{-n}$, and $H_2(X) = 0$. By Proposition 3.5, $\chi_1(X)(x_1^m) = -mA(x_1) = nA(x_2)$. It is also of interest to calculate $\tilde{X}_1(X)(x_1^m)$. We get $\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^i$, $\dfrac{\partial r}{\partial x_2} = x_1^m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_2^i$, $\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^i$, $\dfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2} = 0$, $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = x_2 - 1$. Thus $\tilde{X}_1(X)(x_1^m)$ is represented by the cycle $(x_1^{-1} - 1) \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_1^{-i} + (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_2^{-i}) x_1^{-m} \otimes (x_2^{-1} - 1)$ which is homologous to the canonical form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_1^{-1} \otimes x_1 x_1^{-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_2 \otimes x_2^{-1} x_2^i) + x_1^{m-1} \otimes x_1^{-(m-1)} x_1^{-m}$$
$$+ x_2 \otimes x_2^{-1} 1 .$$

(C) LENS SPACES

Let $(p, q)$ be a pair of relatively prime positive integers with $p > 1$. The lens space $L(p, q)$ is the orbit space of the action of the cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}/p = \langle x \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$ on the 3-sphere $S^3 = \{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = 1\}$ defined by $x(z_0, z_1) = (e^{2\pi\iota/p} z_0, e^{2\pi\iota q/p} z_1)$. The point in $L(p, q)$ determined by the orbit of $(z_0, z_1) \in S^3$ will be denoted $[z_0, z_1]$.

For any pair of integers $(m, n)$ such that $m = n \bmod p$ define a smooth $S^1$ action $\gamma_{m,n}: S^1 \times L(p, q) \to L(p, q)$ by $e^{2\pi\iota\theta}[z_0, z_1] = [e^{2\pi\iota\theta m/p} z_0, e^{2\pi\iota\theta nq/p} z_1]$. These actions represent elements of $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathscr{E}(L(p, q)), \mathrm{id})$.

The group $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/p])$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $p$ copies of $\mathbf{Z}/p$; furthermore, the Hochschild 1-cycles $\{x \otimes x^{-1-k} \mid k = 0, \ldots, p-1\}$ project to a set of generators for $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/p])$. Define $c_i, d_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$ by $m - i - 1 = (c_i - 1)p + b_i$ and $nq - i - 1 = (d_i - 1)p + b_i'$ where $0 \leqslant b_i, b_i' \leqslant p - 1$. Let $s_k = c_{k-1} + rd_{kq-1}$, where the indices are interpreted mod $p$ and $rq = 1 \bmod p$.

There is a natural cell structure on the universal cover, $S^3$, of $L(p, q)$ (see [GN$_1$, §5(B)]). Using this cell structure, [GN$_1$, Lemma 5.3] asserts:

PROPOSITION 3.10. $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_1(L(p, q))([\gamma_{m,n}]) \in HH_1(\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/p])$ *is represented by the Hochschild cycle* $-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} s_k x \otimes x^{-1-k}$. □

*Remark.* We take this opportunity to correct some inadvertently omitted minus signs from the computed examples in [GN$_1$, §5]. In order to conform with our Sign Convention (see §1) used both here and in [GN$_1$], the various chain homotopies $\tilde{D}$ appearing in the explicit computations of [GN$_1$, §5] should be replaced by $-\tilde{D}$. Consequently, in [GN$_1$, Lemma 5.3], [GN$_1$, Proposition 5.4] and [GN$_1$, Corollary 5.5] $\beta(\gamma_{m,n})$, $R(\gamma_{m,n})$ and $L(\gamma_{m,n})$ should be replaced by $-\beta(\gamma_{m,n})$, $-R(\gamma_{m,n})$ and $-L(\gamma_{m,n})$ respectively. Similarly, $R(F_n)$ should be replaced by $-R(F_n)$ in [GN$_1$, Theorem 5.1] and $R(\Phi_2)$ should be replaced by $-R(\Phi_2)$ in [GN$_1$, §5(C)].

The homomorphism $\varepsilon: HH_1(\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z}/p]) \to H_1(\mathbf{Z}/p)$ takes the generators $\{x \otimes x^{-1-k}\}$ to the same generator, $\alpha$, of $H_1(\mathbf{Z}/p)$. From the proof of [GN$_1$, Corollary 5.5], we deduce:

PROPOSITION 3.11. $\chi_1(L(p, q))([\gamma_{m,n}]) = -(m + n)\alpha$. □

If $p$ is odd then Propositions 3.10 and 3.11 give complete computations of $\tilde{\chi}_1(L(p, q))$ and $\chi_1(L(p, q))$ respectively because the $[\gamma_{m,n}]$'s generate $\Gamma$;

indeed by [$GN_1$, Proposition 5.7], for odd $p$, $\Gamma$ is cyclic of order $2p^2$. The proof there also shows that $2[\gamma_{1,1}]$ is of order $p^2$ and that $p[\gamma_{0,p}]$ is of order 2 in $\Gamma$, so $[\gamma_{2,2+p^2}]$ generates $\Gamma$.

(D) THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

We saw that when $X$ is aspherical and $\chi(X) \neq 0$ then $\Gamma = 0$ and so our first order invariants vanish. In the presence of non-trivial higher homotopy these invariants need not vanish, despite $\chi(X) \neq 0$, as demonstrated by the example of the real projective plane $X = P^2$.

Write $G \equiv \pi_1(P^2) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2$; denote the generator of $G$ by $t$. Give $P^2$ the customary cell structure consisting of one cell in each of dimensions 0, 1, and 2. The universal cover $\tilde{P}^2$ is naturally identified with $S^2$ and the corresponding cellular chain complex is:

$$C_2(S^2) \xrightarrow{1+t^{-1}} C_1(S^2) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}-1} C_0(S^2) .$$

Every element of $\Gamma$ can be represented by a basepoint preserving homotopy $F: P^2 \times I \to P^2$ with $F_0 = F_1 = \mathrm{id}_{P^2}$. We have $\tilde{F}_0 = \tilde{F}_1 = \mathrm{id}_{S^2}$ because the basepoint is preserved. It is easy to verify that the corresponding chain homotopy $\tilde{D}_*: C_*(S^2) \to C_*(S^2)$ is then zero on $C_0(S^2)$ and takes $\tilde{e}_1$ to $\tilde{e}_2 m(1-t^{-1})$ where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. By elementary obstruction theory, there exists $F \equiv F^{(m)}$ realizing any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case $\mathrm{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}) = (1+t^{-1}) \otimes m(1-t^{-1})$ which is homologous to the canonical form $mt^{-1} \otimes tt^{-1} - mt^{-1} \otimes tt^{-2}$. Since $\chi(P^2) = 1 \neq 0$, the Gottlieb group $\eta_\#(\Gamma) \equiv \mathcal{G}(P^2) = 0$ and so the derivation $\tilde{X}_1(P^2)$ is a homomorphism and need not be distinguished from its cohomology class $\tilde{\chi}_1(P^2) \in H^1(\Gamma, HH_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}/2))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, HH_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}/2)))$. It follows that

$$\tilde{\chi}_1(P^2)\,([F^{(m)}]) = (m, -m) \in \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2 \cong HH_1(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}/2)) .$$

In particular, when $m$ is odd $\tilde{\chi}_1(P^2)\,([F^{(m)}]) \neq 0$. On the other hand, this shows $\chi_1(P^2) = 0$.

## 4. $S^1$-FIBRATIONS

In this section we investigate the first order Euler characteristic of the total space of an orientable Serre fibration with $S^1$-fiber.

Let $S^1 \to X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be an orientable Serre fibration where $B$ is a (not necessarily finite) connected CW complex and $X$ has the homotopy type of a finite complex. By classical obstruction theory, fiber homotopy