

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 41 (1995)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: HIGHER EULER CHARACTERISTICS (I)
Autor: Geoghegan, Ross / Nicas, Andrew
Kapitel: 2. Discussion of Définition \$A_1\$
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-61816>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 19.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let G be of type \mathcal{F} . If $\chi(G) \neq 0$ then $\chi_1(G; R)$ is trivial for any coefficient ring R .*

Proof. The center, $Z(G)$, is trivial, by [Got, Theorem IV.1]. Indeed, a short proof of this fact is included below as Proposition 2.4. \square

We end this section with the promised fourth definition of $\chi_1(X, R)$ in terms of the transfer maps of [BG], [D₃]. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, consider $\Phi^\gamma: X \times S^1 \rightarrow X$ as above. This defines $\bar{\Phi}^\gamma: X \times S^1 \rightarrow X \times S^1$ by $\bar{\Phi}^\gamma(x, z) = (\Phi^\gamma(x, z), z)$ which is a fiber map with respect to the trivial fibration $X \rightarrow X \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. There is an associated S -map (the *transfer*) $\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma): \Sigma^\infty S^1_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty (X \times S^1)_+$. Here, the subscript “+” indicates union with a disjoint basepoint and “ Σ^∞ ” denotes the suspension spectrum of a space. The S -map $\tau(\bar{F})$ induces a homomorphism in homology $\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma)_*: H_*(S^1; R) \rightarrow H_*(X \times S^1; R)$.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let R be a field. Then $\chi_1(X; R) = -p_*\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma)_*([S^1])$.* \square

This is proved in §10.

2. DISCUSSION OF DEFINITION A₁

To explain where Definition A₁ comes from, we must review some basic facts about Hochschild homology. Then we show that the formula in Definition A₁ is well-defined and homotopy invariant.

Let R be a commutative ground ring and let S be an associative R -algebra with unit. If M is an $S - S$ bimodule (i.e. a left and right S -module satisfying $(s_1 m)s_2 = s_1(ms_2)$ for all $m \in M$, and $s_1, s_2 \in S$), the *Hochschild chain complex* $\{C_*(S, M), d\}$ consists of $C_n(S, M) = S^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ where $S^{\otimes n}$ is the tensor product of n copies of S and

$$\begin{aligned} d(s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes m) &= s_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes ms_1 \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_i s_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes m \\ &+ (-1)^n s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{n-1} \otimes s_n m . \end{aligned}$$

The tensor products are taken over R . The n -th homology of this complex is the n -th *Hochschild homology of S with coefficient bimodule M* . It is denoted by $HH_n(S, M)$. If $M = S$ with the standard $S - S$ bimodule structure then we write $HH_n(S)$ for $HH_n(S, M)$.

We will be concerned mainly with HH_1 and HH_0 which are computed from

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow S \otimes S \otimes M &\xrightarrow{d} S \otimes M \xrightarrow{d} M \\ s_1 \otimes s_2 \otimes m &\mapsto s_2 \otimes ms_1 - s_1s_2 \otimes m + s_1 \otimes s_2m \\ s \otimes m &\mapsto ms - sm \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider traces in Hochschild homology. If A is a square matrix over M , we interpret its trace $\sum_i A_{ii}$ as an element of M (i.e. as a Hochschild 0-cycle). The corresponding homology class is denoted by $T_0(A) \in HH_0(S, M)$. If $A^i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are $q_i \times q_{i+1}$ matrices over S and B is a $q_{n+1} \times q_1$ matrix over M , we define $A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n \otimes B$ to be the $q_1 \times q_1$ matrix with entries in the R -module $S^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ given by

$$(A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n \otimes B)_{ij} = \sum_{k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}} A^1_{i, k_2} \otimes A^2_{k_2, k_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n_{k_n, k_{n+1}} \otimes B_{k_{n+1}, j}.$$

The *trace* of $A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n \otimes B$, written $\text{trace}(A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n \otimes B)$, is

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}} A^1_{k_1, k_2} \otimes A^2_{k_2, k_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n_{k_n, k_{n+1}} \otimes B_{k_{n+1}, k_1}.$$

which we interpret as a Hochschild n -chain. Observe that the 1-chain $\text{trace}(A \otimes B)$ is a cycle if and only if $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$, in which case we denote its homology class by $T_1(A \otimes B) \in HH_1(S, M)$. In the application below, S will be a groupring over the ground ring R and $M = S$.

We will use the notation G_1 for the set of conjugacy classes of a group G . The partition of G into the union of its conjugacy classes induces a direct sum decomposition of $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)$ as follows: each generating chain $c = g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \otimes m$ can be written in *canonical form* as $g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \otimes g_n^{-1} \cdots g_1^{-1} g$ where we think of $g = g_1 \cdots g_n m \in G$ as “marking” the conjugacy class $C(g)$. All the generating chains occurring in the boundary $d(c)$ are easily seen to have markers in $C(g)$ when put into canonical form. For $C \in G_1$ let $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$ be the subgroup of $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G)$ generated by those generating chains whose markers lie in C . The decomposition $\mathbf{Z}G \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} \mathbf{Z}C$ as a direct sum of abelian groups determines a decomposition of chain complexes $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} C_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$. There results a natural isomorphism $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$ where the summand $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$ corresponds to the homology classes of Hochschild cycles marked by the elements of C . We call this summand the *C-component*. Given any $\mathbf{Z}G$ - $\mathbf{Z}G$ bimodule N let \bar{N} be the left $\mathbf{Z}G$ module whose underlying abelian group is N and whose left module structure is given by $gm = g \cdot m \cdot g^{-1}$. There is a natural isomorphism $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G, N) \cong H_*(G, \bar{N})$

which is induced from an isomorphism of the Hochschild complex to the bar complex for computing group homology; see [I, Theorem 1.d]. The decomposition $\overline{\mathbf{Z}G} \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} \mathbf{Z}C$ is a direct sum of left $\mathbf{Z}G$ modules, inducing a direct sum decomposition $H_*(G, \overline{\mathbf{Z}G}) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} H_*(G, \mathbf{Z}C)$. Choosing representatives $g_C \in C$ we have an isomorphism of left $\mathbf{Z}G$ modules $\mathbf{Z}C \cong \mathbf{Z}(G/Z(g_C))$ where $Z(h) = \{g \in G \mid h = ghg^{-1}\}$ denotes the centralizer of $h \in G$. Since $H_*(G, \mathbf{Z}(G/Z(g_C)))$ is naturally isomorphic to $H_*(Z(g_C))$, we obtain a natural isomorphism $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} H_*(Z(g_C))$; furthermore, $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$ corresponds to the summand $H_*(Z(g_C))$ under this identification. In particular $HH_0(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \mathbf{Z}G_1$, the free abelian group generated by the conjugacy classes, and $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \cong \bigoplus_{C \in G_1} H_1(Z(g_C))$, the direct sum of the abelianizations of the centralizers. Indeed, if $g \otimes g^{-1}g_C$ is a cycle then its homology class in $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ corresponds to $\{g\} \in H_1(Z(g_C))$.

The augmentation $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ can be viewed as a morphism of $\mathbf{Z}G$ - $\mathbf{Z}G$ bimodules, where \mathbf{Z} is given the trivial bimodule structure, or as a morphism $\varepsilon: \overline{\mathbf{Z}G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ of left $\mathbf{Z}G$ -modules. Then there is an induced chain map $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z})$ and a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \mu \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\ H_*(G, \overline{\mathbf{Z}G}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & H_*(G, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

Recall the abelianization homomorphism $A: \mathbf{Z}G \rightarrow G_{\text{ab}} = H_1(X) = H_1(G)$ used in Definition A_1 .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $\sum_i c_i \otimes n_i \in C_1(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z})$ is a Hochschild 1-cycle representing $z \in HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z})$, where $c_i \in \mathbf{Z}G$ and $n_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\mu(z) = \sum_i A(c_i n_i) \in H_1(G)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $d: \mathbf{Z}G \otimes \mathbf{Z}G \otimes \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}G \otimes \mathbf{Z}$ becomes $g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes 1 \mapsto (g_2 - g_1 g_2 + g_1) \otimes 1$. One easily shows that the map $g \otimes 1 \mapsto A(g)$ induces μ . \square

With notation as in §1, let $\tilde{D}_k^\gamma: C_k(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(\tilde{X})$ be the lift of D_k^γ . Write $\tilde{\partial} = \bigoplus_k \tilde{\partial}_k$, $\tilde{D}^\gamma = \bigoplus_k (-1)^{k+1} \tilde{D}_k^\gamma$ and $\tilde{I} = \bigoplus_k (-1)^k \text{id}_k$ (viewed as matrices). The chain homotopy relation becomes $\tilde{D}^\gamma \tilde{\partial} - \tilde{\partial} \tilde{D}^\gamma = \tilde{I} (1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1})$ [Explanation: the minus sign occurs on the left because of the sign convention built into the matrix \tilde{D}^γ ; the right hand side is

thus because the 0-end of the homotopy F^γ is lifted to the identity, while the 1-end is lifted to the covering translation corresponding to $\eta_\#(\gamma)$; the inversion occurs because we have G acting on the right.]

PROPOSITION 2.2. $\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma)$, as given in Definition A_1 , is independent of the choice of the cellular homotopy F^γ representing γ .

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$. We must show that if $F_1^\gamma \simeq F_2^\gamma: X \times I \rightarrow X \text{ rel } X \times \{0, 1\}$, with corresponding chain homotopies $D_{*}^{1, \gamma}$ and $D_{*}^{2, \gamma}$, then $A(\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} D^{1, \gamma})) = A(\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} D^{2, \gamma}))$.

There is a degree 2 chain homotopy $\tilde{E}_k: C_k(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C_{k+2}(\tilde{X})$ such that $\tilde{E}_{k-1} \tilde{\partial}_k - \tilde{\partial}_{k+2} \tilde{E}_k = \tilde{D}_{1,k}^\gamma - \tilde{D}_{2,k}^\gamma$. Write $\tilde{E} = \bigoplus_k (-1)^{k+2} \tilde{E}_k$ (viewed as a matrix). Then $\tilde{E} \tilde{\partial} + \tilde{\partial} \tilde{E} = \tilde{D}_1^\gamma - \tilde{D}_2^\gamma$. So $\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes (\tilde{D}_1^\gamma - \tilde{D}_2^\gamma)) = d \text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{E})$ is a Hochschild boundary. The desired result now follows from Proposition 2.1. \square

Direct calculation yields:

$$(2.3) \quad d(\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma)) = \chi(X)(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}).$$

This leads to a quick proof (translating an idea of Stallings [St]) of an important theorem of Gottlieb [Got, Theorem IV.1]:

PROPOSITION 2.4. If $\chi(X) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is trivial.

Proof. Since $\chi(X) \neq 0$, (2.3) shows that every $(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1})$ represents $0 \in HH_0(\mathbf{Z}G)$. This implies that $\eta_\#(\gamma) = 1$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.5. In the Hochschild complex, $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G)$, $\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma)$ is a cycle.

Proof. If $\chi(X) = 0$, use (2.3). If $\chi(X) \neq 0$, use (2.3) and Proposition 2.4. \square

Define the *lift* of $\chi_1(\cdot; \mathbf{Z})$ to be the function $\tilde{X}_1(X): \Gamma \rightarrow HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ which takes γ to $T_1(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma)$, the homology class of the cycle $\text{trace}(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma)$. The proof of Proposition 2.2 shows that this is also independent of the choice of F^γ representing γ .

There is a left action of $Z(G)$ on $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)$. At the level of chains it is defined by

$$\omega \cdot (g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \otimes m) = g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \otimes (m\omega^{-1})$$

where $\omega \in Z(G)$. One easily checks that this action is compatible with d

and hence makes $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)$ into a left $Z(G)$ -module. The summand $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_C$ is taken by the left action of ω isomorphically onto the summand $HH_*(\mathbf{Z}G)_{C\omega^{-1}}$ where $C\omega^{-1}$ is the conjugacy class $\{g\omega^{-1} \mid g \in C\}$.

Since η maps Γ into $Z(G)$, η defines a left action of Γ on $C_*(\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G)$ and on $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$. By considering lifts of homotopies, we clearly get:

PROPOSITION 2.6. *When $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$ is regarded as a left Γ -module, $\tilde{X}_1(X)$ becomes a derivation; i.e. $\tilde{X}_1(X)(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \tilde{X}_1(X)(\gamma_1) + \gamma_1 \cdot \tilde{X}_1(X)(\gamma_2)$. \square*

Derivations modulo inner derivations yield one-dimensional cohomology; in particular, $\tilde{X}_1(X)$ defines a cohomology class $\tilde{\chi}_1(X) \equiv [\tilde{X}_1(X)] \in H^1(\Gamma, HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G))$.

The derivation $\tilde{X}_1(X)$ depends on the choice of lifts \tilde{e} of the cells e of X (see §1). However, we have:

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Up to inner derivations, $\tilde{X}_1(X)$ is independent of the choice of cell orientations and of the choice of lifts. Hence $\tilde{\chi}_1(X)$ is a well-defined cohomology class.*

Proof. Another choice of cell orientations and lifts to the universal cover determines a chain complex $(C'_*(\tilde{X}), \tilde{\partial}'_*)$ and a chain homotopy $\tilde{E}_k^\gamma: C'_k(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C'_{k+1}(\tilde{X})$. By the “change of basis formula”, [GN₁, Proposition 3.3], we have:

$$T_1(\tilde{\partial}' \otimes \tilde{E}^\gamma) - T_1(\tilde{\partial} \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma) = T_1(U \otimes U^{-1}(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}))$$

where U is the change of basis matrix. Since $\gamma \mapsto T_1(U \otimes U^{-1}(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}))$ is clearly an inner derivation, the conclusion follows. \square

We may regard Definition A₁ as defining a cohomology class $\chi_1(X) \in H^1(\Gamma, H_1(G))$. Clearly we have:

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Under the homomorphism induced by $\varepsilon_*: HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow H_1(G)$, $\tilde{\chi}_1(X)$ is taken to $\chi_1(X)$. Thus Definition A₁ is independent of the choice of lifts and $\chi_1(X)$ is homomorphism. \square*

Despite Propositions 2.2 and 2.8, the formula in Definition A₁ might appear to depend on the CW structure of X . However, we have:

THEOREM 2.9. *The cohomology classes $\tilde{\chi}_1(X)$ and $\chi_1(X)$ are homotopy invariants.*

Proof. Since $\varepsilon_*(\tilde{\chi}_1(X)) = \chi_1(X)$, it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{\chi}_1(X)$ is a homotopy invariant. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy equivalence. By making use of mapping cylinders, we may assume without loss of generality that $X \rightarrow Y$ is an inclusion of X into Y as a subcomplex. Choose orientations for the cells of Y and oriented lifts of these cells to the universal cover, \tilde{Y} , of Y . Let $\tilde{X} = p^{-1}(X)$ where $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is the covering projection. Since $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, \tilde{X} is the universal cover of X . Choose the basepoint to be a vertex of X . Given $\gamma \in \Gamma' = \pi_1(\mathcal{E}(Y), \text{id})$, the homotopy extension property allows one to find a self homotopy of the identity $F^\gamma: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ which has the additional property that $F^\gamma(X \times I) \subset X$. Let $\tilde{D}_*^\gamma: C_*(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{Y})$ be the chain homotopy determined by F^γ and let $\tilde{D}_*^\gamma|$ be the restriction of \tilde{D}_*^γ to $C_*(\tilde{X})$. Let $C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$ be the relative chain complex with boundary operator denoted by $\bar{\partial}$. Then \tilde{D}_*^γ induces a chain homotopy on this complex which we will denote by \bar{D}_*^γ . There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_*(\tilde{X}) & \rightarrow & C_*(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \\ \tilde{D}_*^\gamma| \downarrow & & \tilde{D}_*^\gamma \downarrow & & \bar{D}_*^\gamma \downarrow \\ C_*(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & C_*(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) . \end{array}$$

By [GN₁, Proposition 3.5], we have that, in $HH_1(\mathbf{Z}G)$:

$$T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes \bar{D}^\gamma) - T_1(\bar{\partial}| \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma|) = T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes \bar{D}^\gamma) .$$

Although for a given $\gamma \in \Gamma'$, $T_1(\bar{\partial}_* \otimes \bar{D}_*^\gamma)$ could, in principle, be nonzero we will show that $\gamma \mapsto T_1(\bar{\partial}_* \otimes \bar{D}_*^\gamma)$ is a coboundary. Let $\bar{C}_* = C_*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$. Since $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, \bar{C} is a contractible chain complex. Let $H_*: \bar{C}_* \rightarrow \bar{C}_*$ be a chain contraction. Then \bar{D}_*^γ is chain homotopic to $H_*(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1})$ via the chain homotopy $H_*(\bar{D}_*^\gamma - H_*(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}))$. Using the given bases, we can represent $\bar{\partial}$ and H as matrices over $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1(Y)$. Reusing symbols, we write $\bar{\partial} = \oplus_i \bar{\partial}_i$, $H = \oplus_i (-1)^{i+1} H_i$ (viewed as matrices). Then, by [GN₁, Lemma 3.2], $T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes \bar{D}^\gamma) = T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes H(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}))$ where $H(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1})$ is the matrix obtained by multiplying each element of H on the right by $1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}\pi_1(Y)$. Clearly, $\gamma \mapsto T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes H(1 - \eta_\#(\gamma)^{-1}))$ is an inner derivation. It follows that the derivations $\gamma \mapsto T_1(\bar{\partial} \otimes \bar{D}^\gamma)$ and $\gamma \mapsto T_1(\bar{\partial}| \otimes \tilde{D}^\gamma|)$ represent the same cohomology class. \square

COROLLARY 2.10. *The formula in Definition A_1 is a well-defined homotopy invariant of X . \square*