3. Invariant Theory

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **41 (1995)**

Heft 3-4: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **27.04.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$. We may and shall choose the positive roots so that they take strictly positive values on H_0. The action of W on $\mathfrak{t}$ is generated by reflections about the kernels of the positive roots.

Since each $\mathfrak{m}_i$ is also preserved by $ad(\mathfrak{t})$, we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{X_i, X_{\nu+i}\}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_i$ such that, for $H \in \mathfrak{t}$, the matrix of $ad(H)|_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ with respect to this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha(H) \\ -\alpha(H) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the *ad*-invariance of the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ implies, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu$, all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\nu$ and all $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ that

$$\langle H, [X_i, X_j] \rangle = \langle [H, X_i], X_j \rangle = -\alpha_i(H) \langle X_{i+\nu}, X_j \rangle .$$

By orthonormality, this last pairing can only be nontrivial if $j = i + \nu$. Hence if $j \neq i + \nu$, we have $[X_i, X_j] \in \mathfrak{m}$. The same thing happens if $i > \nu$ and $j \neq i - \nu$.

On the other hand, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu$, set $H_i = [X_i, X_{\nu+i}]$. This is $Ad(T)$-invariant, so $H_i \in \mathfrak{t}$, and $ad(H_i)\mathfrak{m}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i$. It follows that the span of $X_i, X_{i+\nu}, H_i$ is a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is always isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

3. Invariant Theory

All proofs missing from this section may be found in the textbook [H], the expository article [F], or [Bk].

(3.1) Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{l} \quad (l = \dim \mathfrak{t})$$

be the symmetric and exterior algebras on $\mathfrak{t}^*$, respectively. The adjoint action of W on $\mathfrak{t}$ induces representations of W on $\mathcal{S}$ and Λ by degree-preserving algebra automorphisms. For example, the action of W on Λ^l is multiplication by the *sign character*

$$\varepsilon: W \to \{\pm 1\} \quad \text{given by} \quad \varepsilon(w) = \det Ad(w)_{\mathfrak{t}} .$$

Note that $\varepsilon(w)$ is the parity of the number of reflections needed to express $Ad(w)_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

We are interested in W-invariant polynomials, and more generally, W-invariant differential forms with polynomial coefficients. For the unitary group $U(n)$, the ring of invariants $\mathscr{S}^W$ is generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ defined as

$$s_d(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leqslant n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} .$$

The elementary symmetric polynomials are algebraically independent, and their number equals the dimension n of a maximal torus of $U(n)$. In general, we have

(3.2) THEOREM (Chevalley). *The ring $\mathscr{S}^W$ has algebraically independent homogeneous generators $F_1, \ldots, F_l$, hence is a polynomial ring*

$$\mathscr{S}^W = \mathbf{R}[F_1, \ldots, F_l] .$$

We number these generators so that $\deg F_1 \leqslant \deg F_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \deg F_l$. (Note to experts: Since we are not assuming G to be semisimple, some of the F_i's could have degree one.) The *exponents* $m_1 \leqslant m_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_l$ of W acting on $\mathfrak{t}$ are defined by the relations $m_i + 1 = \deg F_i$. It is known that $m_1 + \cdots + m_l = \nu$, and $(1 + m_1) \cdots (1 + m_l) = |W|$.

Every compact connected Lie group is, up to finite covering, the product of a central torus with a direct product of classical groups $SU(n)$, $SO(n)$, $Sp(n)$, and exceptional groups G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8. For these groups the m_i's are given as follows:

$SU(n)$: $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. $\quad$ $SO(2n)$: $1, 3, \ldots, 2n-3, n-1$.

$SO(2n+1)$ and $Sp(n)$: $1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$.

G_2: $1, 5$. $\quad$ F_4: $1, 5, 7, 11$.

E_6: $1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$.

E_7: $1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17$.

E_8: $1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$.

These numbers are easy to verify for the classical groups and G_2 (whose maximal torus T is that of $SU(3)$ with Weyl group S_3 extended by the inverse map on T), using elementary symmetric polynomials as above. Computing the exponents for the other exceptional groups is more difficult. See [C].

(3.3) The W-module structure of the whole polynomial ring $\mathscr{S}$ is given as follows. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the ring of constant coefficient differential operators on $\mathscr{S}$. We can think of $\mathscr{D}$ as the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{t})$, where $H \in \mathfrak{t}$

corresponds to the derivation of $\mathscr{S}$ extending the functional on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ given by evaluation at H. Then W acts naturally on $\mathscr{D}$ and one defines the "harmonic polynomials" in $\mathscr{S}$ to be those annihilated by the W-invariant differential operators:

$$\mathscr{H} = \{ f \in \mathscr{S} : \mathscr{D}^W f = 0 \} .$$

Let $\mathscr{H}^p = \mathscr{H} \cap \mathscr{S}^p$. Then $\mathscr{H} = \oplus_p \mathscr{H}^p$, since a differential operator is W-invariant only if each of its homogeneous components is so. The action of W on $\mathscr{S}$ leaves $\mathscr{H}$ invariant.

Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the ideal in $\mathscr{S}$ generated by the elements of $\mathscr{S}^W$ of positive degree. It is known (see [H, p. 360] that $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{I}$, and the multiplication map is a linear isomorphism $\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{S}^W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{S}$. The former implies that $\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ are isomorphic W-modules. They are in fact isomorphic to the regular representation of W, as we shall see in (5.4). The isomorphism $\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{S}^W \simeq \mathscr{S}$ implies the identity

$$\sum_{p \geqslant 0} \dim \mathscr{H}^p t^p = \prod_{i=1}^{l} (1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^{m_i}) ,$$

which in turn shows that $\dim \mathscr{H}^\nu = 1$, and $\mathscr{H}^p = 0$ for $p > \nu$.

(3.4) Let V be any irreducible W-module. Suppose V is a constituent of $\mathscr{S}^b$, and not a constituent of $\mathscr{S}^c$, for any $c < b$. We call b the *birthday* of V. Then the V-isotypic component of $\mathscr{S}^b$ must consist of harmonic polynomials, for otherwise, a W-invariant differential operator of positive degree would intertwine V with a space of polynomials of lower degree.

For example, the *primordial* harmonic polynomial is

$$\Pi = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha \in \mathscr{H}^\nu ,$$

where we recall that Δ^+ is the set of positive roots. For $U(n)$, Π is the van der Monde determinant $\prod_{i<j} x_i - x_j$, which transforms under the symmetric group S_n by the sign character. In general, Π transforms by the sign character ε of W, and any other polynomial transforming by ε must vanish on all root hyperplanes, hence be divisible by Π. Therefore Π is harmonic, ν is the birthday of ε and (1.4) shows that $\mathscr{H}^\nu$ is spanned by Π.

We say that Π is primordial because $\mathscr{H}$ is spanned by the partial derivatives of Π (see [S]). This turns out to be the algebraic analogue of Poincaré duality for G/T.

As we have seen, the sign character is also afforded by Λ^l. In general, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple then each exterior power Λ^q is an irreducible W-module. We shall determine the birthday of each Λ^q shortly.

(3.5) Now consider the algebra $\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda$ of differential forms on $\mathfrak{t}$ with polynomial coefficients. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_l$ be homogeneous generators of $\mathscr{S}^W$ as in (3.2). Extending that result, Solomon [Sol] has described the W-invariants in $\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda$. Because it seems not so well known but is important here, we give a proof, taken from [H].

(3.6) THEOREM (Solomon). *The space* $(\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda)^W$ *of* W*-invariants in* $\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda$ *is a free* $\mathscr{S}^W$*-module with basis*

$$\{dF_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{i_q} : 1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leqslant l\} .$$

Proof. It is a general fact about polynomials that the algebraic independence of $F_1, \ldots, F_l$ is equivalent to the form $dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_l$ not being identically zero. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Then

$$dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_l = J dx_1 \cdots dx_l ,$$

where the Jacobian J is a polynomial of degree $m_1 + \cdots + m_l = \nu$. The left side is W-invariant and $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_l$ affords the sign character ε. Hence J must also afford ε and, because of its degree, J must be a nonzero multiple of the primordial harmonic polynomial Π. Thus

$$dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_l = c \Pi dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_l ,$$

for some nonzero real number c.

For a sequence $I = i_1 < \cdots < i_q$, let I' be the increasing sequence of all integers in $\{1, \ldots, l\} - \{i_1, \ldots, i_q\}$. Set $dF_I = dF_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{i_q}$ for any sequence I. Let k be the quotient field of $\mathscr{S}$. If $f_I \in k$ are such that $\sum_I f_I dF_I = 0$ then multiplying by $dF_{I'}$ kills all terms but I, leaving $\pm c f_I \Pi dx_1 \cdots dx_l = 0$, so $f_I = 0$. Counting dimensions, we find that the dF_I are a k-basis of $k \otimes \Lambda$, and are in particular linearly independent over $\mathscr{S}^W$. Now suppose $\omega \in \mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda$ is W-invariant. We can express $\omega = \sum_I g_I dF_I$ for some $g_I \in k$. Multiplying by $dF_{I'}$ again, we have

$$\omega \wedge dF_{I'} = \pm c g_I \Pi dx_1 \cdots dx_l \in [\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda]^W .$$

This forces g_I to be not only W-invariant, but also polynomial. □

For $\omega \in \mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda$, let $\omega' \in \mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda$ be obtained by reducing the coefficients of ω modulo $\mathscr{I}$. This induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda)^W \to (\mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda)^W \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \omega'} (\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda)^W \to 0 .$$

It follows immediately from Solomon's theorem that $\{dF'_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF'_{i_q} : 1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leqslant l\}$ spans $(\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda)^W$ (over $\mathbf{R}$). This is in fact a

basis, since $\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I}$ affords the regular representation of W, so $\dim(\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda)^W = 2^l$. We therefore have the following

(3.7) COROLLARY. *$(\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \otimes \Lambda)^W$ is an exterior algebra with generators*

$$dF_i' \in [(\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I})^{m_i} \otimes \Lambda^1]^W, \quad \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant l .$$

We will see later that this exterior algebra is, with degrees in $\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I}$ doubled, the cohomology ring of the compact Lie group G. As W-representations, we have $\mathscr{S}/\mathscr{I} \simeq \mathscr{H}$ and the corollary gives the following

(3.8) MULTIPLICITY FORMULA.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\nu} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_W(\Lambda^q, \mathscr{H}^n) u^n = s_q(u^{m_1}, \ldots, u^{m_l}) ,$$

where s_q is the elementary symmetric polynomial in l-variables, and the m_i are the exponents of W.

In particular, the birthday of Λ^q is $m_1 + \cdots + m_q$, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple.

(3.9) We close this section with a digression. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, so all Λ^q are irreducible W-modules. We can actually witness the birth of Λ^q in $\mathscr{H}$ using the differentials dF_i, as follows. Choose a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ of $\mathfrak{t}^*$, and consider a q-form

$$\omega = \sum f_{i_1, \ldots, i_q} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q} \in \mathscr{S} \otimes \Lambda^q .$$

The linear span of the coefficient polynomials $f_{i_1, \ldots, i_q}$ is independent of the choice of basis $\{x_i\}$. Moreover, if ω is W-invariant and nonzero, then its coefficients span a W-invariant subspace of $\mathscr{S}$ which is isomorphic to Λ^q as a W-module, since the latter is irreducible and self-contragredient.

For example, we have seen that

$$dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_l = c\Pi dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_q ,$$

where c is a nonzero scalar, and Π is the primordial harmonic polynomial, affording the sign character of W. We have a generalization of this for all Λ^q.

(3.10) PROPOSITION. *For $1 \leqslant q \leqslant l$, the coefficients of $dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_q$ are harmonic polynomials. They span an irreducible W-submodule of $\mathscr{H}^{m_1 + \cdots + m_q}$, isomorphic to Λ^q.*

Proof. The coefficients of $dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_q \in (S^{m_1 + \cdots + m_q} \otimes \Lambda^q)^W$ span a W-invariant subspace of $S^{m_1 + \cdots + m_q}$, isomorphic to Λ^q. As in (3.4), these coefficients are harmonic because $m_1 + \cdots + m_q$ is the birthday of Λ^q, by the multiplicity formula (3.8). □

4. Invariant Differential Forms

The ideas in this section go back to E. Cartan and de Rham. For a thorough exposition, see [C-E].

(4.1) Suppose a compact Lie group G acts transitively on a manifold M. Let τ_g be the diffeomorphism of M corresponding to $g \in G$. A differential p-form $\omega \in \Omega^p(M)$ is *G-invariant* if $\tau_g^* \omega = \omega$. Such a form is determined by its value at any one point of M. One shows by averaging that every de Rham cohomology class on M is represented by a G-invariant form, and that the subcomplex of invariant forms is preserved by the exterior derivative.

Identify $M = G/K$ where K is the stabilizer of a point $o \in M$. We have an orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{r}$ is the Lie algebra of K. Moreover this decomposition is preserved by $Ad(K)$. For example if G acts on itself by left multiplication then $K = 1$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}$. For another example take $M = G/T$, so $K = T$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}$. In general, $\mathfrak{n}$ is naturally identified with the tangent space $T_o(M)$, so an invariant form $\tilde{\omega}$ is determined by the skew-symmetric multilinear map

$$\omega = \tilde{\omega}_o : \mathfrak{n} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathbf{R} .$$

That is, $\omega \in \Lambda^p \mathfrak{n}^*$. The invariance of $\tilde{\omega}$ under K implies the $Ad(K)$-invariance of ω. Conversely, any element $\omega \in (\Lambda^p \mathfrak{n}^*)^K$ determines a G-invariant form $\tilde{\omega}$ on M by the formula

$$\tilde{\omega}_{g \cdot o}((d\tau_g)_o X_1, \ldots, (d\tau_g)_o X_p) = \omega(X_1, \ldots, X_p) ,$$

for $X_1, \ldots, X_p \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $g \in G$. Thus we may identify the G-invariant p-forms on M with the space $(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{n}^*)^K$. In this view, the exterior derivative becomes the map $\delta : (\Lambda^p \mathfrak{n}^*)^K \to (\Lambda^{p+1} \mathfrak{n}^*)^K$ given by

$$\delta\omega(X_0, \ldots, X_p) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_{\mathfrak{n}}, X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_p) .$$

Here $\hat{}$ means the term is omitted, and $[X_i, X_j]_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the projection of $[X_i, X_j]$ into $\mathfrak{n}$ along $\mathfrak{r}$. The complex $\{(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{n}^*)^K, \delta\}$ computes the de Rham cohomology of M.