

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 41 (1995)  
**Heft:** 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** HIGHER EULER CHARACTERISTICS (I)  
**Autor:** Geoghegan, Ross / Nicas, Andrew  
**Kapitel:** 1. Three définitions of the first order Euler characteristic  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-61816>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 09.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## 1. THREE DEFINITIONS OF THE FIRST ORDER EULER CHARACTERISTIC

Recall three definitions of the Euler characteristic,  $\chi(X)$ , of a finite complex  $X$ .

*Definition  $A_0$ .*  $\chi(X) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k$  (number of  $k$ -cells in  $X$ ).

*Definition  $B_0$ .*  $\chi(X; R) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \text{rank}_R H_k(X; R)$  where  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. (This integer is independent of  $R$ .)

When  $X$  is an oriented manifold,  $M$ , we also have:

*Definition  $C_0$ .*  $\chi(M) =$  intersection number of the graph of the identity map of  $M$  with itself.

We will introduce a higher analog called “the first order Euler characteristic” of  $X$ . There will be three analogous definitions, labelled  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$ , and  $C_1$  corresponding to the above definitions of the classical Euler characteristic. We prove in §10 that under appropriate hypotheses these new definitions are equivalent.

First, we establish some notation. Let  $X$  be a finite connected CW complex with base vertex  $\nu$ . Write  $G \equiv \pi_1(X, \nu)$  and  $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(X^X, \text{id})$  where  $X^X$  is the function space of all continuous maps  $X \rightarrow X$ . Each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be represented by a cellular homotopy  $F^\gamma: X \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $F_0^\gamma = F_1^\gamma = \text{id}_X$ . Orient the cells of  $X$ , thus establishing a preferred basis for the integral cellular chains  $(C_*(X), \partial)$ . Choose a lift,  $\tilde{e}$ , in the universal cover,  $\tilde{X}$ , for each cell  $e$  of  $X$ , and orient  $\tilde{e}$  compatibly with  $e$ . Regard the cellular chain complex  $(C_*(\tilde{X}), \tilde{\partial})$  as a free right  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module chain complex with preferred basis  $\{\tilde{e}\}$ . Let  $D_*^\gamma: C_*(X) \rightarrow C_{*+1}(X)$  be the chain homotopy induced by  $F^\gamma$ .

*Sign Convention.* If  $e$  is an oriented  $k$ -cell of  $X$  then  $D_k(e)$  is the  $(k+1)$ -chain  $(-1)^{k+1} F_*(e \times I) \in C_{k+1}(X)$ , where  $e \times I$  is given the product orientation.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Regard  ${}_R \tilde{\partial}_k \equiv \tilde{\partial}_k \otimes \text{id}: C_k(\tilde{X}) \otimes R \rightarrow C_{k-1}(\tilde{X}) \otimes R$  and  ${}_R D_k^\gamma \equiv D_k^\gamma \otimes \text{id}: C_k(X) \otimes R \rightarrow C_{k+1}(X) \otimes R$  as matrices over  $RG$  and  $R$  respectively using the preferred bases. The abelianization homomorphism  $A: G \rightarrow G_{ab} \cong H_1(X)$  extends to a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules  $A: RG \rightarrow H_1(X; R) = H_1(X) \otimes R$ .

We can now state the first definition of our *first order Euler characteristic* with coefficients in a commutative ring  $R$ . It is a homomorphism  $\chi_1(X; R): \Gamma \rightarrow H_1(X; R)$ . When  $R = \mathbf{Z}$  we write, in abbreviated form,  $\chi_1(X): \Gamma \rightarrow H_1(X)$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is abelian, and when  $X$  is aspherical,  $\Gamma \cong Z(G)$ , the center of  $G$ ; see Proposition 1.3.

*Definition A<sub>1</sub>.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of coefficients.

$$\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{k+1} A(\text{trace}({}_R \tilde{\partial}_{k+1} {}_R D_k^\gamma)).$$

Here, we are multiplying  $RG$ -matrices by  $R$ -matrices to obtain  $RG$ -matrices. Note that  $\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma) = \chi_1(X)(\gamma) \otimes 1$ . We will show (Corollary 2.10) that this formula is independent of the various choices that have been made. Note that in order to know the right hand side, we must have information at the chain level, namely the matrices  ${}_R \tilde{\partial}_{k+1}$  and  ${}_R D_k^\gamma$ . Definition A<sub>1</sub> is the “reduction” of a trace in 1-dimensional Hochschild homology; the corresponding trace (of the identity map) in 0-dimensional Hochschild homology “reduces” in the same way to Definition A<sub>0</sub>; see §2 for more on this.

Our second definition requires the assumption that  $H_*(X; R)$  be a free  $R$ -module where  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. This will be true, for example, if  $R$  is a field. For each  $k \geq 0$ , choose a basis  $\{b_1^k, \dots, b_{\beta_k}^k\}$  for  $H_k(X; R)$ . Let  $\{\bar{b}_j^k\}$  be the corresponding dual basis for  $H^k(X; R)$ . Let  $\Phi^\gamma: X \times S^1 \rightarrow X$  be the obvious quotient obtained from  $F^\gamma$ , above. By means of the Künneth formula,  $\Phi^\gamma$  induces  $\Phi_*^\gamma: H_k(X; R) \otimes H_1(S^1; R) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(X; R)$ . Let  $u \in H_1(S^1; R)$  be the generator which defines the usual orientation on  $S^1$ .

*Definition B<sub>1</sub>.* Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. Suppose that  $H_*(X; R)$  is a free  $R$ -module.

$$\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_j \bar{b}_j^k \cap \Phi_*^\gamma(b_j^k \otimes u)$$

where  $\cap$  is the cap product in the sense of [D<sub>2</sub>].

It is straightforward to show that the formula in Definition B<sub>1</sub> is independent of the choice of basis for  $X_*(X; R)$ .

*Remark.* Throughout this paper we use Dold's conventions [D<sub>2</sub>] for cap and cup products. These conventions are the same as those of [MS] but differ from those of [Sp]. Writing  $\cap'$  and  $\cup'$  for the cap and cup products of [Sp], we have  $x \cap y = (-1)^{|x|(|x|-|y|)} x \cap' y$  and  $u \cup v = (-1)^{|u||v|} u \cup' v$  where “ $||$ ” denote the degree of a homology or cohomology class.

The above expression for  $\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma)$  can also be written:

$$\chi_1(X; R)(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_1} \sum_{k,j} (-1)^{k+1} \langle \bar{b}_i^1 \cup \bar{b}_j^k, \Phi_*^\gamma(b_j^k \otimes u) \rangle b_i^1$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the Kronecker pairing. A trace formula of this kind, for parametrized maps  $X \times Y \rightarrow X$  was introduced by R.J. Knill in [Kn]. In order to know the right hand side in Definition  $B_1$ , we only need homological information about  $\Phi^\gamma$  and cup product information about  $H^*(X; R)$ . The theory of [Kn] when applied to the identity map of  $X$  yields Definition  $B_0$ ; hence the analogy with Definition  $B_1$  (also see §10).

Our third definition, Definition  $C_1$  below, is an analog of the geometric Definition  $C_0$  of  $\chi(X)$ . Let  $M$  be a compact oriented smooth (or PL) manifold with boundary. The *fixed point set* of  $F^\gamma$  is  $\text{Fix}(F^\gamma) \equiv \{(x, t) \mid F^\gamma(x, t) = x\}$ , i.e. the coincidence set of  $F^\gamma$  and the projection  $p: M \times I \rightarrow M$ . As before, we form  $\Phi^\gamma: M \times S^1 \rightarrow M$ . We may perturb  $\Phi^\gamma$  to a smooth (or PL) map  $\Psi^\gamma$  whose image misses  $\partial M$  and whose graph meets the graph of the projection  $p$  transversely. Then  $\text{Fix}(\Psi^\gamma) \equiv \{(x, t) \mid \Psi^\gamma(x, t) = x\}$  is a closed 1-manifold which naturally carries the “intersection orientation”, using the order (graph of  $p$ , graph of  $\Psi^\gamma$ ), as explained, for example, in [DG, §8 and §11] and [GN<sub>1</sub>, §6(A)]. This oriented 1-manifold defines an integral 1-cycle,  $U(\gamma)$ , in  $X \times S^1$ . The integral homology class determined by this cycle will be called the *intersection class*. If  $R$  is a commutative coefficient ring, let  $\theta_R(\gamma) \in H_1(M; R)$  be the image of the homology class represented by the cycle  $U(\gamma) \otimes 1$  under  $p_*: H_1(M \times S^1; R) \rightarrow H_1(M; R)$ . When  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  we write  $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma) = \theta(\gamma)$ .

*Definition  $C_1$ .* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of coefficients.

$$\chi_1(M; R)(\gamma) = -\theta_R(\gamma) .$$

Definitions  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  define homomorphisms  $\Gamma \rightarrow H_1(X; R)$  which are related as follows:

THEOREM 1.1 (Equivalence).

- (i) When  $R$  is a principal ideal domain and  $H_*(X; R)$  is a free  $R$ -module, Definitions  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  agree;
- (ii) when  $X$  is an oriented manifold and  $R$  is any commutative coefficient ring, Definitions  $A_1$  and  $C_1$  agree.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is deferred until §10 so as not to interrupt the development of the  $\chi_1$ -invariant. It is a technical proof, more or less independent of everything else in the paper.

Suppose that  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is homotopy equivalence where  $Y$  is a finite CW complex. Let  $h^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$  be a homotopy inverse for  $h$ . Then the map  $h_\#: X^X \rightarrow Y^Y$  given by  $f \mapsto hfh^{-1}$  is a homotopy equivalence. In

particular,  $h_{\#}$  induces an isomorphism  $(h_{\#})_*: \Gamma \cong \Gamma' \equiv \pi_1(Y^Y, \text{id})$ . The assertion that  $\chi_1(X; R)$  is a “homotopy invariant” means that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\chi_1(X; R)} & H_1(X; R) \\ (h_{\#})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\chi_1(Y; R)} & H_1(Y; R) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Note that the vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

THEOREM 1.2.  $\chi_1(X; R)$  is a homotopy invariant.

For the proof, see Corollary 2.10. Theorem 1.2 allows us to extend the definition of  $\chi_1(X; R)$  to any topological space  $X$  which is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex.

Let  $\mathcal{E}(X) \subset X^X$  be the subset of self homotopy equivalences of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{E}(X, v) \subset \mathcal{E}(X)$  consist of those homotopy equivalences which fix  $v$ . There is an *evaluation fibration*  $\mathcal{E}(X, v) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(X) \xrightarrow{\eta} X$ , where  $\eta(f) = f(v)$ . The homotopy exact sequence of this fibration yields the exact sequence:

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{E}(X, v), \text{id}) \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\eta_{\#}} G \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(X, v)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{E}(X))$$

where  $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(X^X, \text{id}) = \pi_1(\mathcal{E}(X), \text{id})$  and  $G = \pi_1(X, v)$ . The group  $\mathcal{E}(X) \equiv \eta_{\#}(\Gamma)$  is called the *Gottlieb subgroup* of  $G$ .

Gottlieb showed ([Got, Theorem I.4]) that  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  lies in the subgroup consisting of those elements of  $G$  which act trivially on  $\pi_n(X, v)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ; in particular,  $\mathcal{E}(X) \subset Z(G)$ , the center of  $G$ . Indeed by elementary obstruction theory one obtains (see [Got]):

PROPOSITION 1.3. If  $X$  is aspherical then  $\mathcal{E}(X) = Z(G)$  and  $\eta_{\#}: \Gamma \rightarrow Z(G)$  is an isomorphism.

In view of this, we will often identify  $\Gamma$  with  $Z(G)$  when  $X$  is aspherical. (The example of  $X = S^2$  shows that the kernel of  $\eta_{\#}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$  may be nontrivial when  $X$  not aspherical.)

A group  $G$  is of type  $\mathcal{F}$  if there exists a  $K(G, 1)$  which is a finite complex. By Theorem 1.2, the first order Euler characteristic is a homotopy invariant. In particular, applying these definitions to any finite  $K(G, 1)$  complex we obtain the *first order Euler characteristic of the group  $G$  of type  $\mathcal{F}$* . For any commutative ring  $R$  of coefficients, it is a homomorphism  $\chi_1(G; R): Z(G) \rightarrow G_{\text{ab}} \otimes R$ .

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let  $G$  be of type  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\chi(G) \neq 0$  then  $\chi_1(G; R)$  is trivial for any coefficient ring  $R$ .*

*Proof.* The center,  $Z(G)$ , is trivial, by [Got, Theorem IV.1]. Indeed, a short proof of this fact is included below as Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

We end this section with the promised fourth definition of  $\chi_1(X, R)$  in terms of the transfer maps of [BG], [D<sub>3</sub>]. For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , consider  $\Phi^\gamma: X \times S^1 \rightarrow X$  as above. This defines  $\bar{\Phi}^\gamma: X \times S^1 \rightarrow X \times S^1$  by  $\bar{\Phi}^\gamma(x, z) = (\Phi^\gamma(x, z), z)$  which is a fiber map with respect to the trivial fibration  $X \rightarrow X \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ . There is an associated  $S$ -map (the *transfer*)  $\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma): \Sigma^\infty S^1_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty (X \times S^1)_+$ . Here, the subscript “+” indicates union with a disjoint basepoint and “ $\Sigma^\infty$ ” denotes the suspension spectrum of a space. The  $S$ -map  $\tau(\bar{F})$  induces a homomorphism in homology  $\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma)_*: H_*(S^1; R) \rightarrow H_*(X \times S^1; R)$ .

THEOREM 1.5. *Let  $R$  be a field. Then  $\chi_1(X; R) = -p_*\tau(\bar{\Phi}^\gamma)_*([S^1])$ .*  $\square$

This is proved in §10.

## 2. DISCUSSION OF DEFINITION A<sub>1</sub>

To explain where Definition A<sub>1</sub> comes from, we must review some basic facts about Hochschild homology. Then we show that the formula in Definition A<sub>1</sub> is well-defined and homotopy invariant.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ground ring and let  $S$  be an associative  $R$ -algebra with unit. If  $M$  is an  $S - S$  bimodule (i.e. a left and right  $S$ -module satisfying  $(s_1 m)s_2 = s_1(ms_2)$  for all  $m \in M$ , and  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ), the *Hochschild chain complex*  $\{C_*(S, M), d\}$  consists of  $C_n(S, M) = S^{\otimes n} \otimes M$  where  $S^{\otimes n}$  is the tensor product of  $n$  copies of  $S$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d(s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes m) &= s_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes ms_1 \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_i s_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n \otimes m \\ &+ (-1)^n s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{n-1} \otimes s_n m. \end{aligned}$$

The tensor products are taken over  $R$ . The  $n$ -th homology of this complex is the  $n$ -th *Hochschild homology of  $S$  with coefficient bimodule  $M$* . It is denoted by  $HH_n(S, M)$ . If  $M = S$  with the standard  $S - S$  bimodule structure then we write  $HH_n(S)$  for  $HH_n(S, M)$ .