Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 40 (1994)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: UNIMODULAR LATTICES WITH A COMPLETE ROOT SYSTEM
Autor: Kervaire, Michel
Kapitel: 4. Weight enumerators of finite scalar product modules
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-61105

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 18.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

The associated Witt class is

$$w(\mathbf{E}_6) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad \text{in} \quad W(\mathbf{F}_3) .$$

CASE $R = \mathbf{E}_7$.

The definition is

$$\mathbf{ZE}_7 = \{ \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i e_i : 2x_i \in \mathbf{Z},\ x_i - x_j \in \mathbf{Z},\ \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i = 0 \} .$$

Here,

$$(\mathbf{ZE}_7)^{\#} = \mathbf{ZE}_7 \sqcup (\mathbf{ZE}_7 + z_1) ,$$

where

$$z_1 = \tfrac{1}{4} (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6 - 3(e_7 + e_8))$$

satisfies $(z_1, z_1) = \frac{3}{2}$ and is of minimal scalar square in its class $mod\, \mathbf{ZE}_7$.

Again, z_1 is noted $x_1(\mathbf{E}_7)$ if convenient.

The Witt class $w(\mathbf{E}_7)$ is the generator $\langle 1 \rangle$ of $W(\mathbf{F}_2) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

CASE $R = \mathbf{E}_8$.

Here, $T(\mathbf{E}_8) = 0$. The associated Witt class is 0.

4. WEIGHT ENUMERATORS OF FINITE SCALAR PRODUCT MODULES

Let T be a finite abelian group with a non-degenerate bilinear form $b : T \times T \to \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$.

Suppose that we have a decomposition of T as an orthogonal direct sum of subgroups $T_1, \ldots, T_s$:

$$T = T_1 \boxplus T_2 \boxplus \ldots \boxplus T_s .$$

Then we can define the weight $x^{w(u)} \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_s]$ of an element $u \in T$ by tabulating its non-zero components in the decomposition $u = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_s$, $u_i \in T_i$, as

$$x^{w(u)} = x_1^{w(u_1)} \cdot x_2^{w(u_2)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_s^{w(u_s)} ,$$

where

$$w(u_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u_i = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } u_i \neq 0 . \end{cases}$$

If M is a subset of T, the *weight enumerator* of M is the polynomial

$$P_M(x_1, \ldots, x_s) = \sum_{u \in M} x^{w(u)} .$$

We denote by q_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$ the order of the subgroup T_i.

We show in this section that MacWilliams duality is still valid in this more general setting:

THEOREM. *Let $M \subset T$ be a subgroup of the scalar product module $T = T_1 \boxplus T_2 \boxplus \ldots \boxplus T_s$. Set $q_i = Card(T_i)$, and let $M^\perp$ be the subgroup orthogonal to M. Then, we have the formula, where $|M| = Card(M)$:*

$$P_{M^\perp}(x_1, \ldots, x_s) = \frac{1}{|M|} \prod_{i=1}^{s} (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i) \cdot P_M\left(\frac{1 - x_1}{1 + (q_1 - 1)x_1}, \ldots, \frac{1 - x_s}{1 + (q_s - 1)x_s}\right) .$$

Note that if some of the subgroups $T_1, \ldots, T_s$ are mutually isomorphic (or more generally have the same order), then we can write the decomposition of T in the form

$$T = n_1 T_1 \boxplus n_2 T_2 \boxplus \ldots \boxplus n_r T_r ,$$

where $n_i T_i$ stands for the orthogonal sum

$$n_i T_i = T_i \boxplus T_i \boxplus \ldots \boxplus T_i$$

of n_i copies of T_i.

The weight of an element

$$u = (u_{1,1} + \ldots + u_{1,n_1}) + \ldots + (u_{r,1} + \ldots + u_{r,n_r})$$

is then defined as

$$x^{w(u)} = x_1^{\nu_1} \cdot x_2^{\nu_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_r^{\nu_r} ,$$

where ν_i is the number of non-zero components of $u_{i,1} + \ldots + u_{i,n_i}$ in $n_i T_i$.

The duality theorem then takes the seemingly more general form

$$P_{M^\perp}(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \frac{1}{Card(M)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i)^{n_i} \cdot P_M\left(\frac{1 - x_1}{1 + (q_1 - 1)x_1}, \ldots, \frac{1 - x_r}{1 + (q_r - 1)x_r}\right) .$$

This identity can be viewed as a system of linear equations for the coefficients of the weight enumerator polynomial P_M of any putative metabolizer $M = M^\perp$. If M exists, this system must be solvable in non-negative integers.

Proof of the duality theorem. One of the classical proofs of MacWilliams duality in a vector space over a finite field goes over with only insignificant changes. We repeat the argument for the reader's convenience.

Let $\chi : \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{C}^*$ be the character given by $\chi(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$. Set $\beta(u, v) = \chi(b(u, v))$.

We cook up the function $f: T \to \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_s]$ given by

$$f(u) = \sum_{v \in T} \beta(u, v) \cdot x^{w(v)}$$

and evaluate $\sum_{u \in M} f(u)$ in two different ways, using the following lemma:

LEMMA.

$$\sum_{u \in M} \beta(u, v) = \begin{cases} Card(M) & \text{if } v \in M^{\perp}, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \notin M^{\perp}. \end{cases}$$

We first recall the proof of the lemma.

If $v \in M^{\perp}$, then $\beta(u, v) = 1$ for every $u \in M$, thus $\sum_{u \in M} \beta(u, v) = Card(M)$ as stated in this case.

If $v \notin M^{\perp}$, there is an element $u_1 \in M$ such that $b(u_1, v) \neq 0$, and then $\beta(u_1, v) \neq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in M} \beta(u, v) &= \sum_{u \in M} \beta(u_1 + u, v) \\ &= \sum_{u \in M} \beta(u_1, v)\beta(u, v) = \beta(u_1, v) \sum_{u \in M} \beta(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the statement of the lemma for $v \notin M^{\perp}$.

We now proceed to the proof of the duality theorem.

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in M} f(u) &= \sum_{u \in M} \sum_{v \in T} \beta(u, v) \cdot x^{w(v)} = \sum_{v \in T} \Big(\sum_{u \in M} \beta(u, v)\Big) \cdot x^{w(v)} \\ &= \sum_{v \in M^{\perp}} Card(M) \cdot x^{w(v)} = Card(M) \cdot P_{M^{\perp}}(x_1, \ldots, x_s). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \sum_{v \in T} \beta(u, v) \cdot x^{w(v)} \\ &= \sum_{v_1 \in T_1, \ldots, v_s \in T_s} \beta(u_1, v_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \beta(u_s, v_s) \cdot x_1^{w(v_1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_s^{w(v_s)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Big(\sum_{v \in T_i} \beta(u_i, v) \cdot x_i^{w(v)}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $u = u_1 + \ldots + u_s$ is the decomposition of $u \in T = T_1 \boxplus \ldots \boxplus T_s$.

Using the lemma again, we have

$$\sum_{v \in T_i} \beta(u_i, v) \cdot x_i^{w(v)} = \begin{cases} 1 + (q_i - 1)x_i & \text{if } u_i = 0, \\ 1 - x_i & \text{if } u_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$f(u) = \prod_{i \in S} (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i) \cdot \prod_{i \in S'} (1 - x_i) ,$$

where $S \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ is the set of indices i for which $u_i = 0$, and $S' \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ the set of indices i for which $u_i \neq 0$.

Another way of writing $f(u)$ is

$$f(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (1 - x_i)^{w(u_i)} \cdot (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i)^{1 - w(u_i)} .$$

Plugging this formula into $\sum_{u \in M} f(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\sum_{u \in M} f(u) &= \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{s} (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i) \cdot \sum_{u \in M} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{1 - x_i}{1 + (q_i - 1)x_i}\right)^{w(u_i)} \\ &= \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{s} (1 + (q_i - 1)x_i) \cdot P_M \left(\frac{1 - x_1}{1 + (q_1 - 1)x_1}, \ldots, \frac{1 - x_s}{1 + (q_s - 1)x_s}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions for $\sum_{u \in M} f(u)$, we get the theorem.

5. The deficiency

The main further necessary condition for a root system to be contained in an even unimodular lattice of the same rank is provided by the notion of deficiency (Defekt) introduced and studied in [KV].

If R is a root system of rank n, the *deficiency* of R, denoted $d(R)$, is the difference $n - m$, where m is the maximal cardinality of a set $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \subset R$ of mutually orthogonal roots

$$(a_i, a_j) = 2\delta_{ij}, \qquad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m .$$

We use this notion only if all roots in R have the same scalar square 2.

If $R = R_1 \boxplus R_2$, then $d(R) = d(R_1) + d(R_2)$. The values of the deficiency for the irreducible root systems are

$$d(\mathbf{A}_l) = \left[\tfrac{l}{2}\right] ,$$

$$d(\mathbf{D}_l) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } l \text{ even}, \\ 1 & \text{for } l \text{ odd} , \end{cases}$$

$$d(\mathbf{E}_6) = 2, \; d(\mathbf{E}_7) = d(\mathbf{E}_8) = 0 .$$