

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Herausgeber:** Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 39 (1993)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS WITH 0, 1 COEFFICIENTS  
**Autor:** Odlyzko, A. M. / Poonen, B.  
**Kapitel:** 6. Graphs, computations, and the shape of  $\bar{W}$   
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-60430>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 16.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

6. GRAPHS, COMPUTATIONS, AND THE SHAPE OF  $\bar{W}$ 

The computations of zeros graphed in our figures were performed in double precision (approx. 18 decimal places) on a Silicon Graphics workstation. Some of the zeros were checked for accuracy by recomputing them in double precision (approx. 28 decimal places) on a Cray X-MP. The zero-finding program used the Jenkins-Traub algorithm and was taken from a standard subroutine library. Checks showed that the values that were obtained were accurate on average to at least 10 decimal places, which was sufficient for our graphs. The program that was used appeared to produce accurate values on the Cray for the zeros for polynomials of degrees up to about 150. (Computation of zeros of polynomials of much higher degree would have required better algorithms, cf [9].)

Zeros of a large set of random polynomials  $f(z) \in P$  of degree 100 were computed on the Cray, and they exhibit most of the features visible in Figures 1-3. However, they are not as interesting as the lower degree zeros that are exhibited in Figures 1-3. The “spikes” or “tendrils” that generate the fractal appearance in the graphs we include come from a small fraction of the polynomials. Sampling even  $10^4$  of the  $2^{99}$  polynomials  $f(z) \in P$  of degree 100 does not yield a good representation of the extremal features that we expect to see for high as well as low degrees.

Graphs were prepared using the S system [2].

The graphs in Figures 4-6 were prepared differently. A program was written that checked whether a given  $w$  with  $|w| < 1$  is in  $\bar{W}$ . Note that

$$(6.1) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k w^k \right| \leq B = \max(1, |1+w|)/(1-|w|^2),$$

where the  $a_k$  are any 0, 1 coefficients, since we can write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k w^k = (a_0 + a_1 w) + (a_2 + a_3 w) w^2 + \dots.$$

The procedure was to test all sets of 0, 1 coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_{120}$  to see whether they could be the initial segment of coefficients of a power series

$$(6.2) \quad f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

for which  $f(w) = 0$ . Let us regard the strings of coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_{120}$  as the leaves of a balanced binary tree, with the nodes below the root

corresponding to  $a_1$ , those below to  $a_1, a_2$ , etc. The procedure was to explore this tree, checking whether

$$(6.3) \quad \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^d a_j w^j \right| > |z|^{d+1} B$$

at any stage. If (6.3) is satisfied, then  $w$  is not a zero of any power series of the form (6.2) with initial coefficients  $1, a_1, \dots, a_d$ , and the subtree of that node does not have to be explored. If all the leaves are discarded by this procedure, we have a rigorous proof that  $w \notin \bar{W}$ , and so in fact an open neighborhood of  $w$  is outside  $\bar{W}$ . On the other hand, if a leaf was found with

$$(6.4) \quad \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{120} a_j w^j \right| < |z|^{121} B/10 ,$$

then the program assumed that  $w \in \bar{W}$ . (By establishing lower bounds for the derivative of the polynomial  $1 + \sum_1^{120} a_j z^j$  at  $w$  and using crude upper bounds for the second derivative, one could in principle prove that there is some point  $w'$  close to  $w$  such that  $w' \in \bar{W}$ , although the 10 in condition (6.4) might have to be decreased. Another way to prove this would be to use Lemma 3.1. This step was not carried out.) Figures 4-6 were produced by testing each  $w$  in a  $1936 \times 1936$  or a  $1944 \times 1944$  grid (corresponding to the resolution of our laser printer). There were few points  $w$  for which neither condition (6.3) nor condition (6.4) held. The exceptions occur primarily in Figure 4, but they do not affect how the picture looks. Had we used a tree of depth 80, the exceptions would have been much more frequent.

The computations of Figures 4-6 are not completely rigorous in that the determination of  $w \notin \bar{W}$  is rigorous, while that of  $w \in \bar{W}$  is not. Moreover, an implicit premise in the preparation of Figures 4-6 was that if a point  $w \in \bar{W}$ , then the whole neighborhood of  $w$  represented by the corresponding pixel is in  $\bar{W}$ . On the other hand, the computations of Figures 1-3 are rigorous.

It is possible to use computations to obtain rigorous estimates for  $\bar{W}$  that are sharper than those of Theorem 2.1. As an example, we sketch how a moderate amount of straightforward computing establishes that there are no  $w \in \bar{W} \setminus \mathbf{R}$  with  $|w| < 0.7$ . We modify the method of proof of Theorem 2.1. Write

$$(6.5) \quad f(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{10} a_j z^j + \frac{1}{2} (z^{11} + z^{12} + \dots) + g(z) ,$$

where

$$(6.6) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=11}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k z^k, \quad \varepsilon_k = \pm 1.$$

Then we can write

$$f(z) = F(z) + g(z),$$

$$F(z) = G(z)/2(1-z),$$

$$G(z) = 2(1-z) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{10} a_j z^j \right) + z^{11}.$$

If we establish that  $|F(z)| > |g(z)|$  on some simple closed contour about the origin, then by Rouché's theorem  $f(z)$  and  $F(z)$  will have the same number of zeros inside that contour. To prove that  $|F(z)| > |g(z)|$  on a contour  $C$ , it suffices to show that  $|F(z)| > |g(z)| + \delta$  on a discrete set of points  $z$  on  $C$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is such that bounds on the derivatives of  $F(z)$  and  $g(z)$  guarantee that  $|F(z)| - |g(z)|$  will not decrease by more than  $\delta$  between the sampling points. This was applied to each of the  $2^{10}$  choices of  $a_1, \dots, a_{10}$ . Of the 1024 functions  $F(z)$ , 997 satisfied  $|F(z)| > |g(z)|$  on

$$C_3 = \{z : |z| = 0.7\}.$$

The remaining 27 functions  $F(z)$  were shown to satisfy  $|F(z)| > |g(z)|$  on the contour

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 = & \{z : |z| = 0.7, |y| \geq 0.04\} \\ & \cup \{z : x = -0.74, |y| \leq 0.04\} \\ & \cup \{z : |y| = 0.04, -0.74 \leq x \leq -0.6, |z| \geq 0.7\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, zeros of each of the 1024 polynomials  $G(z)$  were computed, and it was found that 85 of these polynomials had a single zero in  $|z| \leq 0.74$ , and the remaining 939 had none. Thus in all cases we can conclude that  $f(z)$  has at most one zero in  $|z| \leq 0.7$ . Such a zero has to be real.

The estimates used above were crude, and with more care one can either decrease the amount of computing (and even eliminate it altogether) or obtain better bounds for  $\bar{W}$ .

The basic principle that makes it possible to obtain good estimates of  $\bar{W}$  is that for extremal points  $w \in \bar{W}$ , the power series  $f(z)$  with 0, 1 coefficients such that  $f(w) = 0$  are restricted. For example the region depicted in Figures 3 and 4 is

$$V = \{z = x + iy : -0.501 \leq x \leq -0.497, 0.537 \leq y \leq 0.541\}.$$

Numerical computation (evaluating polynomials of degrees  $\leq 9$  with 0, 1 coefficients at a  $41 \times 41$  uniform grid, and bounding derivatives) shows that if  $w \in V \cap \bar{W}$ , then  $w$  can only be a zero of a power series of the form

$$f(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^4 + z^7 + z^9 + \sum_{k=10}^{\infty} a_k z^{10}.$$

This restricted the set of  $f(z)$  that had to be considered, and made possible the computation of Figure 3, as it would not have been feasible to examine all polynomials of degrees  $\leq 32$ . Furthermore, this restriction on the coefficients of  $f(z)$  makes it possible to estimate the shape of  $V \cap \bar{W}$ .

It should be possible to prove rigorously, with the help of numerical computations, such as those mentioned above, that the hole in  $\bar{W}$  mentioned in the Introduction and pictured in Figure 6 is isolated in the sense that there is a continuous closed curve in  $\bar{W} \cap U$ , for  $U$  a small rectangle, that encloses the hole. We have not done this.

To explain the fractal appearance of  $\bar{W}$ , suppose that  $w \in W$ ,  $|w| < 1$ , and that  $f(w) = 0$  where

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^d a_j z^j, \quad a_j = 0, 1.$$

Suppose that

$$g(z) = f(z) + z^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad b_k = 0, 1.$$

If  $g(z) = 0$  and  $|z - w|$  is small, while  $d$  is large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = g(z) &\cong g(w) + (z - w)g'(w) \\ &\cong w^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k w^k + (z - w)f'(w). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f'(w) \neq 0$  (which as far as we know may hold for all  $w$  with  $|w| < 1$ ), then  $g'(w) \neq 0$  for  $d$  large enough, and we can expect that

$$z \cong w - \frac{w^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k w^k}{f'(w)}.$$

Thus if

$$Q(w) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k w^k : b_k = 0, 1 \right\},$$

then we expect to find zeros in a neighborhood of each point of

$$w - w^{d+1} (f'(w))^{-1} Q(w) .$$

The set  $Q(w)$  is connected [1], and for  $w \notin \mathbf{R}$ , it seems that it contains a small disk around the origin. The set  $Q(w)$  is a continuous function of  $w$ , which accounts for the similarity of the protrusions from  $\bar{W}$  visible in Figures 5 and 6. (The protrusions in Figure 4 are different, since there the sets  $Q(w)$  are of different shape from those in Figures 5 and 6.)

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank E.R. Rodemich for originally raising the question of the distribution of zeros of 0, 1 polynomials, M. Sambandham for providing a copy of [15], A.R. Wilks for help with graphics, and D. Zagier for informing them of the work of T. Bousch [5, 6]. Special thanks are due to David desJardins and Emanuel Knill for permission to use their proofs of Lemma 5.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BARNSLEY, M. *Fractals Everywhere*. Academic Press, 1988.
- [2] BECKER, R.A., J.M. CHAMBERS and A.R. WILKS. *The New S Language*. Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, 1988.
- [3] BHANOT, G. and J. LACKI. Partition function zeros and the 3-d Ising spin glass. *J. Stat. Physics* 71 (1933), 259-267.
- [4] BHARUCHA, A.T. and M. SAMBANDHAM. *Random Polynomials*. Academic Press, 1986.
- [5] BOUSCH, T. Paires de similitudes. Unpublished manuscript, 1988.
- [6] —— Sur quelques problèmes de dynamique holomorphe. Ph. D. thesis, Univ. Paris-Sud, 1992.
- [7] BRENTI, F., G.F. ROYLE and D.G. WAGNER. Location of zeros of chromatic and related polynomials of graphs. *Canadian J. Math.* To appear.
- [8] BRILLHART, J., M. FILASETA and A.M. ODLYZKO. On an irreducibility theorem of A. Cohn. *Canad. J. Math.* 33 (1981), 1055-1059.
- [9] CHUDNOVSKY, D. V. and G. V. CHUDNOVSKY. Computer algebra in the service of mathematical physics and number theory. In *Computers in Mathematics*, D. V. Chudnovsky and R. D. Jenks, editors, pp. 109-232. Marcel Dekker, 1990.
- [10] DEVANEY, R.L. *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*. 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [11] ERDÖS, P. and P. TURÁN. On the distribution of roots of polynomials. *Ann. Math.* 51 (1950), 105-119.
- [12] FILASETA, M. Irreducibility criteria for polynomials with non-negative coefficients. *Canad. J. Math.* 40 (1988), 339-351.
- [13] FLATTO, L., J.C. LAGARIAS and B. POONEN. Lap numbers and periodic points of the  $\beta$ -transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Sys.* To appear.