Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 39 (1993)

Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: TREPREAU'S EXAMPLE, A PEDESTRIAN APPROACH

Autor: Rosay, Jean-Pierre

Kapitel: V. More

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-60427

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 09.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

And Lemma 1 then gives that the polynomial hull of \overline{W} contains $\{0\} \times \overline{V}$, with V as in the claim. The sequence of approximating polynomials converges uniformly on \overline{V} to a function which provides the desired extension. The subclaim is thus proved.

Now we finish the proof of the proposition. Let φ be a function on \mathbb{R}^+ which is not analytic at 0, or, for the reader willing to use only the "subclaim", so that the function $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \varphi(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ does not have a continuous extension to \overline{V} , holomorphic on the interior of V, for any V intersection of a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^2 with a neighborhood of $\Sigma_{\varepsilon} - \{0\}$. Any smooth function φ nonidentically zero but vanishing on open intervals in any neighborhood of 0 has this property. As pointed out (*), the function $(w, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \varphi(z_1^2 + z_2^2)$ in a CR function on \mathcal{M} . It follows from V or the subclaim that it is a nondecomposable one. It cannot be written as the sum of continuous boundary values of holomorphic function on wedges.

Remark. There are some few technical details (such as precising the shape of V) to be dealt with, to adapt the approach that we have just used to the case of boundary values distributions. In this setting the Baouendi Treves approximation still gives approximation by polynomials (on wedges, with locally uniform convergence, and with uniformly controlled polynomial growth when approaching the edge). Also, one can still speak about the restriction of a CR distribution on \mathcal{M} to $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2(f(0, x_1, x_2))$, (this is a basic fact used to define mini F.B.I, see [8] Corollary I.4.1.).

But it seems pointless to go into this. Indeed this kind of difficulties merely disappear when using the results explained in the next paragraph.

V. More

1) In Lemma 1, the right conclusion is in fact that 0 belongs to the interior of the polynomial hull of \overline{W} . Applying Lemma 1, with trivial homogeneity considerations, and replacing $\mathbf{R}_{\epsilon'}^2$ by \mathbf{R}^2 , it reduces to the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let f be a function defined on some neighborhood of 0 in ${\bf R}^2$. Assume that near 0, f extends holomorphically to a conic neighborhood of ${\bf R}^2 - \{0\}$, and also to a wedge with edge ${\bf R}^2$. Then f is analytic at 0.

By conic neighborhood, we mean a cone which is a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . We did not make precise whether f is continuous, but

we can assume it by translation in the wedge, and uniformity, (and f could as well be a distribution or a hyperfunction).

Although the result follows trivially from Trepreau's work (at least), it may have been unnoticed. Here we give a direct proof.

Proof. The analyticity of f, at 0, is related to the exponential decay of its FBI transform as $|\xi| \to +\infty$. We can make the following choice of FBI transform:

$$\mathscr{F}f(x,\,\xi) = \int_{|s|< R} f(s)e^{-is\cdot\xi-|\xi|(s-x)^2}ds,$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) \approx 0$, $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $ds = ds_1 ds_2$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $s \cdot \xi = s_1 \xi_1 + s_2 \xi_2$, $|\xi| = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}$, $(s - x)^2 = (s_1 - x_1)^2 + (s_2 - x_2)^2$, and R > 0 is fixed (arbitrarily) (see e.g. [5] 9.6 or [8] page 416 formula (4)).

The holomorphic extendability of f, near 0, in the wedge $\mathbf{R}^2 + i\Gamma$ (Γ an open convex cone in \mathbf{R}^2) gives the exponential decay of $\mathscr{F}f$ as $|\xi| \to +\infty$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^2 - \Gamma_0$ (Γ_0 the dual cone). We can assume $|f| \le 1$, so $|\mathscr{F}f| \le 1$ (taking $R < \frac{1}{2}$).

It is a trivial fact that under the hypothesis of Proposition 2, there exists Ω a conic neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$ so that f has near 0, a holomorphic extension to a "wedge" $\Omega + i\Gamma$. It is just the fact that in \mathbb{R}^2 the union of a disk centered at 0 and a cone (with vertex at 0) contains cones with vertices near 0.

Fix U an open connected neighborhood in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the real rotation matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$. Take U so small that $U(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \Omega$. Consider $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as an open set in \mathbb{C}^4 .

Set $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For every U_1 nonempty open set $U_1 \subset U$, there exists c > 0 so that for every holomorphic function h defined on U, $|h| \leq 1$ one had

 $\log |h(e)| \le c \int_{U_1} \log |h(T)| dT$.

On applies this estimate to

$$h(T) = \int_{|s| < R} f \circ T(s) e^{-is \cdot \xi - |\xi|(s-x)^2} ds,$$

assuming that R is chosen small enough. It gives us that if for some nonempty open set of T's in U the FBI transform of $f \circ T$ has exponential decay in some direction, so has the FBI transform of f itself.

The key fact is now that if f extends to a wedge $\mathbb{R}^2 + i\Gamma$, $f \circ T$ extends to a totally different wedge.

For example, if T is a real rotation, $f \circ T$ extends to the wedge $\mathbf{R}^2 + i T^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Thus one gets the exponential decay of the FBI transform of f off the dual cone to the cone $T^{-1}(\Gamma)$ as well, and finally (letting T vary) the exponential decay of the FBI transform of f, as desired.

2) Now using Proposition 2 instead of Lemma 1 in IV, we are already able to prove, instead of the subclaim, that $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto f(0, x_1, x_2)$ is real analytic at (0, 0).

In fact the situation is even easier, since we can now translate in the wedge we need only to know that f is defined in the wedge, without growth condition when approaching the edge.

3) To really get the real analyticity of functions which extend to a wedge in Trepreau's example we need to prove that a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^3 (and not only in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^2$) is included in the polynomial hull of $\overline{\mathscr{W}}$. This requires an improvement of III.

Instead of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) in Lemma 3, we need to show that (ii)' \Rightarrow (i)' where (ii)' and (i)' are:

$$\begin{cases} (i)' & (w_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \hat{K} \\ (ii)' & (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (\bigcup_{|w_0| \leq t} K_t)^{\wedge} . \end{cases}$$

Set K' be the closure of the set

$$\bigcup_{|w_0| \leq |w|} (\{w\} \times K_{|w|}) .$$

If (ii)' holds $(0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \hat{K}'$ (by (ii) \Rightarrow (i)). Using invariance under rotation in w and the local maximum principle $\hat{K}' \cap \{|w| \leq |w_0|\}$ is the product $\{w \in \mathbb{C}, |w| \leq |w_0|\} \times L$ for some compact set L in \mathbb{C}^2 . So $(0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \hat{K}'$ implies $(w_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \hat{K}' \subset \hat{K}$.

Remarks. It would be possible to adapt the remark at the end of the proof of Lemma 3. The change is: take $|T(\theta)| \ge |w_0|$ and Q(0) = w.

After reading this paper, J.M. Trepreau has communicated to me a proof of Proposition 2, with some common features with the proof given here, but based on a simple construction of analytic disks in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, instead of F.B.I.