Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique

Herausgeber: Commission Internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

Band: 39 (1993)

Heft: 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: REMARKS AND PROBLEMS ON FINITE AND PERIODIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS

Autor: Mendès France, Michel

Kapitel: §2. A QUESTION CONCERNING PISOT NUMBERS

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-60426

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 17.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

This observation led me to ask in 1971 [10] whether it was indeed true that

$$\sup_n \delta((a/b)^n) = \infty$$

whithout any other assumptions than $1 < b < a$, $(a, b) = 1$. The problem was solved by Y. Pourchet in an unpublished letter he sent me [14] and by G. Choquet in a series of Comptes Rendus à l'Académie des Sciences [2].

THEOREM 1. *If a and b are two coprime integers $1 < b < a$ then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta((a/b)^n) = \infty .$$

Choquet's proof involves dynamical systems. He could only show that the "sup" is infinite. Pourchet's proof is number theoretical and uses the Mahler-Ridout theorem which strengthens Roth's famous result on the rational approximations of algebraic numbers.

It is a pity that Pourchet never published his result. Fortunately A. van der Poorten gave some details of the proof in [16].

§2. A QUESTION CONCERNING PISOT NUMBERS

Let $x > 1$ be a real number. Define the set

$$E(x) = \{x^n \pmod 1 \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset [0, 1]$$

Let $E'(x)$ be the derived set i.e. the set of cluster points of $E(x)$. Define $E^{(n)}(x)$ recursively to be the derived set of $E^{(n-1)}(x)$, $n \geqslant 1$. In [12] Pisot establishes that if x is a real algebraic number larger that 1 such that $E''(x) = \varnothing$ then x is a Pisot number. I ask the following question.

PROBLEM 1. *Is it true that if $x > 1$ is algebraic and if for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$ $(k \geqslant 2)$ $E^{(k)}(x) = \varnothing$ then x is a Pisot number?*

A positive answer to this problem implies the weak form of Theorem 1, namely that the sup is infinite. Indeed, define $A_0 = \{0\}$ and for $k \geqslant 1$

$$A_{2k} = \{\zeta \in (0, 1) \mid \delta(\zeta) \leqslant 2k\} .$$

Let $A'_{2k}, A''_{2k}, \cdots$ be the derived sets of A_{2k}. Clearly $A'_{2k} = A_{2k-2}$, therefore

$$A_{2k}^{(k+1)} = \varnothing .$$

Now let $x > 1$ be a rational number which is not an integer. Suppose

$$\sup_n \delta(x^n) < \infty$$

Then for some k

$$E(x) \subset A_{2k}$$

hence

$$E^{(k+1)}(x) = \varnothing .$$

Assuming a positive answer to Problem 1, we conclude that x is a Pisot number, i.e. a rational integer. This contradicts the assumption hence

$$\sup_n \delta(x^n) = \infty . \qquad QED$$

§3. More questions on $\delta(x^n)$

H. Heilbronn [7], T. Tonkov [15] and finally J.W. Porter [13] improving on one another established that as a tends to infinity

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(a)} \sum_{\substack{b < a \\ (a,b) = 1}} \delta\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{12}{\pi^2} \ln 2 \ln a + O(1) .$$

Independtly, J.D. Dixon [6] showed that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $a, b, 1 < b < a < x$ with the exception of at most $o(x^2)$ couples, one has

$$\left| \delta\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - \frac{12}{\pi^2} \ln 2 \ln a \right| \leqslant (\ln a)^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} .$$

See H. Daudé's work for a dual result [5]. These results suggest the second problem.

Problem 2. *Is it true that for all coprime* a *and* $b, 1 < b < a$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \delta\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n\right) = \frac{12}{\pi^2} \ln 2 \ln b \ ? \tag{1}$$

The limit should indeed be what is stated above and not

$$\frac{12}{\pi^2} \ln 2 \ln a .$$